Absorptive and dispersive optical responses of excitons in a single quantum dot
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The authors have determined both the real and imaginary parts of the dielectric polarizability of a single quantum dot. The experiment is based on the observation and the manipulation of Rayleigh scattering at photon frequencies near the resonance of an optical exciton transition in single self-assembled InAs and InGaAs quantum dots. The interference between the narrow-band laser field and the weak electromagnetic field coherently scattered by the quantum dot is detected with a cryogenic Fabry-Pérot setup by combined differential transmission and reflectivity measurements. © 2006 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2354431]

Considerable progress in realizing various regimes of coupling between the electromagnetic field and semiconductor quantum dots (QDs) has been achieved recently. Observations of phenomena related to the interaction of photons with discrete states in self-assembled dots such as ground state Rabi oscillations1 and weak2–4 and strong5,6 coupling regimes in various microcavity structures have strengthened the picture of the optical electron-hole pair excitation in a natural QDs.11 In the context of dispersive coupling schemes our work demonstrates that the dispersive nature of the QD polarizability function of a single self-assembled InAs/InGaAs QD. Our model describes the line shape evolution of the differential transmission and reflectivity spectra. We show that either optical signal can be used to deduce both the decoherence rate and the oscillator strength of the corresponding optical transition yielding its total scattering cross section. Our results exemplify that confocal detection of reflectivity provides a high-resolution spectroscopy tool complementary to the near-field optics as used recently for natural QDs.11 In the context of dispersive coupling schemes our work demonstrates that the dispersive nature of the QD is accessible experimentally.

The interferometry setup used in our experiments is depicted schematically in Fig. 1(a). The planar Fabry-Pérot interferometer with a cavity length of $D=10\text{ mm}$ is formed by

---

**FIG. 1.** Setup schematics. (a) The polished fiber end and the sample surface separated by two aspheric lenses with numerical apertures 0.15 and 0.55 form a Fabry–Pérot cavity with a characteristic length of $D=10\text{ mm}$. The refractive indices of the fiber, sample, and free space in between are $n_1$, $n_2$, and $n_0$, respectively. The QD layer is located at $d=150\text{ nm}$ below the semitransparent surface. (b) The excitation light of a tunable laser is delivered with an optical single-mode fiber to the interferometer in a helium bath cryostat (dashed box) at 4.2 K. Below the sample a photodiode detects the differential transmission $\Delta T/T$. The differential reflectivity $\Delta R/R$ is measured with a detector at room temperature.
the polished glass fiber end and the sample surface. The separation between the surface and the QD layer \( d = 150 \text{ nm} \) was determined by the sample growth sequence. The microscope objective consisting of two aspheric lenses with numerical apertures of 0.15 and 0.55 aligns the cavity mirrors in a confocal arrangement. The optical path for interferometry detection is shown in Fig. 1(b). The light of a tunable narrow-band laser is guided with a single-mode glass fiber to the interferometry setup which was cooled down to cryogenic temperature (4.2 K). The photodiode placed directly below the sample detects the QD forward scattering as differential transmission signal \( \Delta T/T \), whereas the backward scattering is detected with a photodiode at room temperature which measures the differential reflectivity \( \Delta R/R \).

Two different samples were investigated. InAs QDs (Ref. 12) in sample A were used to probe the excited state transition \( p \)- to \( p \)-shell (Fig. 2, right panel) resonant with the laser energy \( E_0 = 1.171 \text{ eV} \) (\( \lambda = 1058.9 \text{ nm} \)). For the ground state transition \( s \)- to \( s \)-shell resonant with \( E_0 = 1.271 \text{ eV} \) (\( \lambda = 975.6 \text{ nm} \)), sample B containing InGaAs QDs (Ref. 13) was used. In both samples, the self-assembled QDs were embedded in a field-effect structure. The QD layer was grown 25 nm above the back contact and 150 nm below the metalized gate on the sample surface with a 120 nm GaAs/AlAs superlattice in between. The device allows us to control precisely the number of resident electrons in an individual QD and to exploit the Stark shift for modulation spectroscopy.\(^{14,15}\)

The right panel of Fig. 2 depicts schematically the relevant optical interband transitions. The ground state excitation \( s \)-\( s \) includes a QD charged with one resident electron such that only one resonance is present,\(^{16}\) the \( p \)-\( p \) dipole transition is excited resonantly in a QD charged with two electrons.\(^{15}\) In the left panel of Fig. 2 typical differential transmission and reflectivity spectra of single QDs are shown. The linewidths of the \( \Delta T/T \) spectra \( \hbar \Gamma = 3.2 \text{ \mu eV} \) and \( \hbar \Gamma = 11 \text{ \mu eV} \) measured at 4.2 K for the ground and the first excited states, respectively, are consistent with previously reported results.\(^{15,16}\) In the absence of interference effects, the transmission signature of a QD in a weakly focused Gaussian beam can be treated in the plane wave scattering limit.\(^{17}\) The forward scattering resonance is a Lorentzian of purely absorptive character. The low finesse Fabry-Pérot cavity in the present experiment is expected to modify the transmission spectrum only moderately. This is confirmed in Figs. 2 and 3. However, for the backward scattered light the tuning of the cavity plays a major role, leading to a high sensitivity of the \( \Delta R/R \) signal to interference effects (Fig. 3).

In order to describe the line shape evolution of both the differential transmission and reflectivity in Fig. 3, we model the following simplified situation: a QD excitonic dipole scatterer is positioned at distance \( d \) on one side of an optical cavity of length \( D \) with effective contrast \( F = -4 r_1 r_2 / (1 + r_1 r_2)^2 \) (effective finesse is \( F = \pi \langle F \rangle / 2 \) and corresponding characteristic Fabry-Pérot transmission function \( T(\Phi) = 1 / (1 + F \sin^2 \Phi) \)). The effective amplitude reflectance coefficients of the fiber \( r_1 \) and the sample surface \( r_2 \) are determined through the effective refractive indices \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) as well as the vacuum reflectance index \( n_0 = 1 \) through relations \( r_1 = (n_1 - n_0) / (n_1 + n_0) \) and \( r_2 = (n_0 - n_2) / (n_0 + n_2) \). Length scales \( d \) and \( D \) establish relative phase angles \( \varphi = 2 \pi k_2 d \) between the QD response field and the laser field reflected at the sample-vacuum interface as well as \( \Phi = 2 \pi k_0 D \) between the QD field and the cavity field. Here, \( k_2 = 2 \pi n_2 / \lambda \) and \( k_0 = 2 \pi n_0 / \lambda \) are the wave vectors in the embedding material and vacuum, respectively.

The complex polarizability \( \tilde{\alpha}_{QD} \) of a QD two-level dipole transition driven by a harmonic laser field of frequency \( \omega_0 \) is a function of the decoherence rate \( \gamma \) and laser detuning \( \delta = \omega - \omega_0 \) from the resonance frequency \( \omega_0 \). The decoher-
ence rate is also linked to the full width at half maximum linewidth through $2\hbar \gamma = \hbar \Gamma$. In resonance approximation with $\gamma << \omega_0$, as satisfied for QD transitions at cryogenic temperatures, the linear response function is given by

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{\text{QD}} = -\tilde{\alpha}_R + i\tilde{\alpha}_I,$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}_R = \tilde{\alpha}_0 \frac{\delta \gamma}{\delta + \gamma^2}, \quad \tilde{\alpha}_I = \tilde{\alpha}_0 \frac{\gamma^2}{\delta + \gamma^2}.$$

(1)

The on-resonance maximum polarizability $\tilde{\alpha}_0$ $= e^2/[(2m_0\epsilon_0\omega_0)]$ is determined by the oscillator strength $f$ and the decoherence rate ($\epsilon$ is the electron charge, $m_0$ the free electron mass, and $\epsilon_0$ the vacuum permittivity). $\tilde{\alpha}_0 = \sigma_0/k_2$ can be interpreted as the scattering volume of a single QD, with the maximum total scattering cross section $\sigma_0$ and the scattering depth $k_2$ of one wave number in the optical medium. On the other hand, for weakly focussed Gaussian beams the maximum contrast in an optical transmission experiment on resonance $\alpha_0 = \sigma_0/\lambda A$ is given by the ratio of the scattering cross-section to the focal spot area $\lambda A$. The dispersive and absorptive responses of the dipole transition in Eq. (1) are identified with the real part $\tilde{\alpha}_R$ and the imaginary part $\tilde{\alpha}_I$, respectively.

In order to model the line shape evolution we derive analytical expressions for the differential transmission and reflectivity using the transfer-matrix method of light propagation. With the definitions

$$\mathcal{H}(\Phi) = -\frac{(1 - r_2)(1 - r_2^*)}{(1 + r_1 + r_2)F\sin^2 \Phi},$$

$$\mathcal{W}(\varphi, \Phi) = \frac{(1 + r_1^*)^2 + r_1 [r_1^2 e^{i(\varphi - \Phi)} + e^{-i(\varphi + \Phi)}]}{(1 + r_1 r_2^*)^2},$$

and the Fabry-Pérot transmission function $T(\Phi)$, the differential transmission and reflectivity can be written as

$$\Delta T/T = \frac{k_2}{A} \left\{ -\tilde{\alpha}_I + T \left[ \tilde{\alpha}_I \text{Re}(\mathcal{W}) - \tilde{\alpha}_R \text{Im}(\mathcal{W}) \right] \right\},$$

$$\Delta R/R = \frac{k_2}{A} \left\{ \mathcal{H} T \left[ \tilde{\alpha}_I \text{Re}(\mathcal{W}) - \tilde{\alpha}_R \text{Im}(\mathcal{W}) \right] \right\}.$$

Dependent on the phase angles $\varphi$ and $\Phi$, the function $\mathcal{W}$ weights the contributions of the absorptive and dispersive responses, as displayed in Fig. 3, for the case of resonant $p\rightarrow p$ excitation. While $d$ and thus $\varphi$ are fixed by sample design, the phase $\Phi$ can be tuned either by the cavity length $D$ or the laser wavelength $\lambda$. We choose to tune the latter parameter which can be controlled very precisely. In the working point $C$ the conditions for the optical reflectivity signal are such that the dispersive part is finite but the absorptive is nearly zero. The corresponding spectrum reveals a purely dispersive line shape and is depicted both in Fig. 2 and in the lower panel of Fig. 3, together with other spectra recorded at fixed phases marked A, B, and D. All fits to the data were obtained with Eq. (3). The parameters are $\alpha_0 = 2 \times 10^{-4}$ and $\hbar \Gamma = 11 \mu$eV, resulting in an oscillator strength of $\gamma \sim 10$, and $n_1 = 1.4$ and $n_2 = 2.9$. The corresponding finesse of the Fabry-Pérot resonator is $\mathcal{F} \approx 1$ comparable to 1.2 as calculated with refractive indices $n_1 = 1.5$ for the glass fiber and $n_2 = 3.5$ for GaAs. The effective refractive indices $n_1$ and $n_2$ used in the model account for omitted superlattice, the metalized gate and potential cavity misalignment. The transmission is modified only slightly due to the low finesse of the cavity. The reflectivity spectra, however, reveal the full cyclic transition from an absorptive to a dispersive spectrum and back, while the phase $\Phi$ of the interferometer is varied by $\pi$. The evolution is well reproduced by our model, although it overestimates slightly the asymmetry in the spectrum A. This notwithstanding, our results demonstrate clearly that the reflectivity signal can be modeled in detail to provide the oscillator strength and decoherence rate of the optical transition.

In summary, our work demonstrates that high-resolution interferometric Rayleigh scattering can be performed on a single self-assembled dot. The complex dielectric polarization function of a single QD is accessible experimentally. Our results encourage the use of the reflectivity signal which can be modeled elaborately, thus providing both the decoherence rate and oscillator strength of the QD optical transition.
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