

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

**Persisting in defaunated landscapes: reduced plant
population connectivity after seed dispersal collapse**

Néstor Pérez-Méndez¹, Pedro Jordano and Alfredo Valido*

*Department of Integrative Ecology, Estación Biológica de Doñana (EBD-CSIC), C/Americo
Vespucio 26, La Cartuja, 41092 Sevilla, Spain*

Running title: Genetic impact of frugivore defaunation

¹ New address: *Instituto de Investigación en Recursos Naturales, Agroecología y Desarrollo Rural (IRNAD), Universidad Nacional de Río Negro (UNRN), Sede Andina, Mitre 630, CP 8400, San Carlos Bariloche, Argentina*

* Correspondence author. E-mail: avalido@ebd.csic.es (AV)

25 **Summary**

26 **1.** Defaunation of large-bodied frugivores could be causing severe losses of crucial ecosystem
27 functions such as seed dispersal. The immediate ecological consequences may include
28 alteration or even collapse of seed-mediated gene flow affecting plant population
29 connectivity, with impacts on the regional scale distribution of genetic variation. Yet these
30 far-reaching consequences of defaunation remain understudied.

31 **2.** Here we tested whether human-induced defaunation of the Canarian frugivorous lizards
32 (*Gallotia*, Lacertidae) altered within-island population connectivity and the amount and large-
33 scale distribution of genetic variation of *Neochamaelea pulverulenta* (Rutaceae), which relies
34 exclusively on these lizards for seed dispersal. Our study system defines a lizard downsizing
35 gradient with three contrasted ecological scenarios (islands) with relatively optimal (Gran
36 Canaria; large-sized lizards), sub-optimal (Tenerife; medium) and collapsed seed dispersal
37 processes (La Gomera; small). We extensively sampled individual plant genotypes from 80
38 populations spanning the full geographic range of the plant to examine their genetic diversity,
39 population-genetic network topologies, and the patterns of isolation both by distance (IBD)
40 and resistance (IBR) across these three ecological scenarios.

41 **3.** Plant genetic diversity appeared unaffected by defaunation-mediated downsizing of
42 frugivorous lizards. However, we found a reduced overall plant population connectivity
43 together with an increased isolation by distance within the most defaunated islands (La
44 Gomera and, to a lesser extent, Tenerife) when compared with the scenario preserving the
45 functionality of lizard-mediated seed dispersal (Gran Canaria). The results, with a significant
46 effect of lizard downsizing, were robust when controlling for biotic/abiotic differences among
47 the three islands by means of isolation by resistance models (IBR).

48 **4. *Synthesis.*** Our results provide valuable insights into the far-reaching consequences of the
49 deterioration of mutualisms on plant population dynamics over very large spatial scales.

50 Conservation of large-bodied frugivores is thus essential because their irreplaceable
51 mutualistic dispersal services maintain an extensive movement of seeds across the landscape,
52 crucial for maintaining the genetic cohesiveness of metapopulations and the adaptive potential
53 of plant species across their entire geographic range.

54

55 **Key-words:** Canary Islands, extinction, frugivorous lizards, *Gallotia*, genetic diversity,
56 *Neochamaelea pulverulenta*, population connectivity, seed dispersal.

57

58 **Introduction**

59 Defaunation, the sustained loss of distinct groups of animals (e.g., top predators, megafauna),
60 is causing added losses of crucial ecosystem functions (Dirzo *et al.* 2014; McCauley *et al.*
61 2015; Malhi *et al.* 2016; Young *et al.* 2016), such as dispersal of seeds for animal-dependent
62 plants (Markl *et al.* 2012; Fontúrbel *et al.* 2015). Central ecological consequences of these
63 cascading effects include changes in vegetation structure (Johnson 2009; Bakker *et al.* 2016),
64 reductions of plant regeneration (Cordeiro & Howe 2003; Terborgh *et al.* 2008) and carbon
65 storage potential (Bello *et al.* 2015; Peres *et al.* 2016), and altered evolutionary regimes
66 (Valido 1999; Galetti *et al.* 2013). Additional consequences may follow defaunation, such as
67 the alteration or even collapse of seed-mediated gene flow affecting connectivity among plant
68 populations, with subsequent changes in the spatial distribution of genetic variation both at
69 local and landscape scales. Recent studies have documented the fast-paced action of these
70 changes and their consequences, ultimately leading to significant alterations of population-
71 scale genetic diversity (i.e., the distribution of genetic variation within plant populations)
72 (Pacheco & Simonetti 2000; Carvalho *et al.* 2016; Pérez-Méndez *et al.* 2016). Yet the
73 consequences at larger, regional scales (i.e. among populations) remain understudied (Voigt *et*
74 *al.* 2009; Calviño-Cancela *et al.* 2012).

75 Long-distance dispersal (LDD) assisted by frugivores (Nathan 2008) is a crucial
76 ecological process affecting functional connectivity of fleshy-fruited plant populations at both
77 local and regional scales. Yet this process is being severely altered by human-driven
78 disturbances (Markl *et al.* 2012; Fontúrbel *et al.* 2015), such as anthropogenic defaunation
79 selectively removing large-bodied vertebrates (Estes *et al.* 2011; Dirzo *et al.* 2014) which, in
80 turn, are primarily responsible for LDD across landscapes (Jordano *et al.* 2007). Despite the
81 fact that pollen flow may contribute to genetic exchange among isolated populations, seed
82 dispersal is a crucial process because it moves both maternal and paternal gametic genomes
83 and determines the final establishment of the genotypes, ultimately leading to realized gene-
84 flow (e.g. Bacles *et al.* 2006; Grivet *et al.* 2009; Zhou & Chen 2010). The deterioration of the
85 dispersal process (e.g., the reduction of seed dispersal distances) following defaunation-driven
86 downsizing of frugivore assemblages (Pérez-Méndez *et al.* 2016), is then expected to impair
87 and eventually collapse among-plant population connectivity, with potential effects on the
88 regional distribution of genetic variation. Specifically, we should expect a loss of genetic
89 diversity triggered by a reduced gene-flow and increased genetic drift. We also expect a loss
90 of population connectivity and increased isolation by distance across the landscape that
91 mirrors the extirpation of larger frugivores. These indirect effects of human-induced
92 disturbances remain understudied despite their potential for triggering losses of ecological
93 functionality (Western 2001; Valiente-Banuet *et al.* 2015).

94 Here we use a comparative approach to address these questions on the Canary Islands
95 by taking advantage of a gradient of human-driven frugivore downsizing across Gran Canaria,
96 Tenerife and La Gomera islands. We focus on the mutualistic interaction occurring between
97 *Neochamaelea pulverulenta* (Rutaceae), a fleshy-fruited long-lived shrub species only present
98 in these islands, and their unique primary seed dispersers, the endemic frugivorous lizards of
99 the genus *Gallotia* (Lacertidae) (Valido & Nogales 1994). Large-bodied lizards were

100 abundant in these islands until the first arrival of Aborigines (~2500 years BP) (Onrubia-
101 Pintado 1987) triggered a defaunation process that impacted the insular lizard fauna
102 (Barahona *et al.* 2000; Gonzalez *et al.* 2014). Yet the magnitude of the impact was markedly
103 different in each island, defining a lizard downsizing gradient with three contrasted ecological
104 situations (Pérez-Méndez, Jordano & Valido 2015; Pérez-Méndez *et al.* 2016): 1) Gran
105 Canaria, an island close to the pre-human situation; i.e., preserving large-sized lizards (*G.*
106 *stehlini*) that facilitate relatively long-distance dispersal (up to 94.2 m), 2) Tenerife, hosting
107 medium-sized lizards (*G. galloti*) dispersing seeds over shorter distances (up to 46.4 m), and
108 3) La Gomera, an island with collapsed seed dispersal because the extant lizards (*G. caesaris*)
109 are not large enough to swallow whole fruits and efficiently disperse *N. pulverulenta* seeds,
110 where the maximum dispersal distances recorded reach only 4.5 m.

111 Most previous analyses of the consequences of seed dispersers loss have focused at
112 limited spatial scales, usually documenting local demographic effects or changes in within-
113 population, fine-scale spatial distribution of genetic variation (Pacheco & Simonetti 2000;
114 Pérez-Méndez *et al.* 2016). Specifically, in a previous study we evaluated the consequences of
115 the defaunation-driven loss of large-bodied lizards on seed dispersal distances and the
116 distribution of genetic variation over local scales (within populations) (Pérez-Méndez *et al.*
117 2016). Here, we examine how the loss of mutualistic species and interactions resulting from a
118 defaunation process may extend beyond these local scales and imprint the large-scale
119 structuring of genetic variation throughout the full geographic range of a plant species. We
120 hypothesize a reduction of plant genetic diversity at landscape scales (i.e. average genetic
121 diversity of populations within island) on islands where large-sized lizards have been
122 extirpated because of the impact of both reduced gene flow and increased genetic drift. In
123 addition, we expected a reduction of within-island population connectivity and an increase of
124 genetic isolation by distance mirroring the reduction of lizard-mediated dispersal distances.

125 To test our hypothesis we first compare the amounts of genetic diversity across the
126 three insular scenarios, with an extensive sampling of plant individual genotypes within
127 populations spanning the species full geographic range. Then, we analyse the population
128 connectivity within islands on each of these three ecological scenarios by using three
129 complementary analytical approaches: population graphs to compare the topology of the
130 genetic connectivity networks (Dyer & Nason 2004; Dyer, Nason & Garrick 2010; Dyer
131 2015), and isolation both by distance (IBD) and resistance (IBR) to effectively test for the
132 simultaneous influence of biotic/abiotic environmental characteristics (e.g., topography,
133 climate, vegetation) differences among islands (McRae 2006). Our results may provide
134 valuable insights into the far-reaching consequences of the deterioration of mutualisms on
135 plant population dynamics over very large spatial scales.

136

137 **Material and methods**

138 STUDY SYSTEM

139 *Neochamaelea pulverulenta* (Vent) Erdtman (Rutaceae) is an endemic shrub from the
140 Canarian archipelago and only present in Gran Canaria, Tenerife and La Gomera (Fig. S1).
141 Recent studies suggest that it is a neoendemism, which was originated during the Miocene in
142 these islands (Appelhans *et al.* 2011, 2012). It was originated most likely from an African
143 ancestor shared with *Cneorum tricoccon*, which is distributed in the Mediterranean Basin and
144 in the Balearic Islands, and has lizards also acting as seed dispersers (Traveset, González-
145 Varo & Valido 2012). *N. pulverulenta* is a relatively common species, distributed along
146 xerophytic lowlands (< 400 m a.s.l.; Fig. S1) where mean temperature is 21°C and mean
147 annual precipitation < 300 mm (AEMET-IP 2012). The vegetation is dominated by
148 *Euphorbia* spp. (Euphorbiaceae), *Lavandula* spp. (Labiatae), *Lycium intricatum* (Solanaceae),
149 *Periploca laevigata* (Asclepiadaceae), *Plocama pendula* and *Rubia fruticosa* (Rubiaceae),

150 among others. *N. pulverulenta* is a self-incompatible, insect-pollinated species. Although the
151 identity of some pollinator species changes across islands, pollinator guilds of *N. pulverulenta*
152 are very similar in the three studied scenarios and are composed mainly by flies (Fam.
153 Bibionidae, Calliphoridae, Muscidae and Syrphidae), wasps (*Leptochilus cruentatus*), solitary
154 bees (*Amegilla* spp., *Lasioglossum* spp., *Bombus canariensis*, *Colletes dimidiatus*) and ants
155 (*Camponotus feae*, *Linepithema humile*) (Hohmann *et al.* 1993, Trojelsgaard *et al.* 2015, A.
156 Valido & N. Pérez-Méndez, unpublished data). From spring to early summer *N. pulverulenta*
157 bears fleshy fruits (1-4 cocci; functionally drupes) with hard-coated seeds (8-10 mm in
158 diameter) (Valido 1999). Only medium- to large-bodied endemic lizards (g. *Gallotia*,
159 Lacertidae) are legitimate seed dispersers of *N. pulverulenta* (Valido & Nogales 1994; Valido
160 1999; Valido, Nogales & Medina 2003). The percentage of seeds that germinate ranges
161 between 29-37%. However, germination is much faster when seeds are consumed by *G.*
162 *galloti* lizards (mean \pm SD; 382.2 ± 583 days) than when they are not ingested by them (1177
163 ± 385 days). In addition, germination was even faster for those seeds consumed for the largest
164 bodied *G. stehlini* species (189.8 ± 504 days) (Valido 1999). Seed germination also occurs
165 beneath adult plants, where aggregated groups of seedlings are often found, but also in open
166 spaces where lizards usually drop the consumed seeds (Pérez-Méndez *et al.* 2015). No
167 seedling herbivory has been observed, thus seeds escaping from post-dispersal predation are
168 likely to germinate and recruit beneath maternal plants. In addition, it is frequent to observe
169 dispersed seeds and seedlings growing on open microhabitats, where *Gallotia* lizards usually
170 defecate seeds while basking for thermoregulation.

171 There is a gradient of progressive reduction of both lizard body-sizes (Barahona *et al.*
172 2000), and seed dispersal distances (Pérez-Méndez *et al.* 2016) across these three islands.
173 This is the result of a historical defaunation-mediated downsizing process starting ~ 2500 yr
174 B.P. with the human colonization of the islands (Onrubia-Pintado 1987; Barahona *et al.* 2000;

175 Gonzalez *et al.* 2014) resulting in the markedly different body sizes of extant lizards on each
176 of these islands (Barahona *et al.* 2000). Lizard downsizing in Gran Canaria was relatively
177 subtle, from the large-sized individuals of *G. stehlini* sub-fossils (maximum snout- to vent-
178 length; max. SVL= 367 mm) to the extant *G. stehlini* individuals (max. SVL= 280 mm) which
179 still provide effective and long-distance dispersal of *N. pulverulenta* seeds, up to 94.2 m.
180 Intermediate downsizing occurred in Tenerife, from the extinct *G. goliath* species (max.
181 SVL= 502 mm) to the sub-efficient, medium-sized *G. galloti* (max. SVL= 144 mm)
182 dispersing seeds at intermediate distances (maximum dispersal distance= 46.4 m). Finally,
183 lizard size reduction was very intense in La Gomera, where the extinct *G. goliath* (max. SVL=
184 466 mm) was four times larger than the extant *G. caesaris* (max. SVL= 111 mm), which
185 performs very inefficient seed dispersal of *N. pulverulenta* (maximum dispersal distance= 4.5
186 m). Additional giant lizard species inhabit Tenerife (*G. intermedia*) and La Gomera (*G.*
187 *bravoana*), but only present in marginal remnant sites on very inaccessible cliffs (Hernández,
188 Nogales & Martín 2000; Valido *et al.* 2000). Thus, in Gran Canaria the defaunation-mediated
189 downsizing process included only one lizard species (*G. stehlini*), while in Tenerife and La
190 Gomera included the already extinct *G. goliath* or near extinction of several large-bodied
191 lizard species (*G. intermedia* in Tenerife, and *G. bravoana* in La Gomera) (for details see
192 Barahona *et al.* 2000; Pérez-Méndez *et al.* 2015). Common kestrels (*Falco tinnunculus*,
193 Falconidae), which prey upon *Gallotia* lizards, have been also cited as potential secondary
194 seed dispersers of *N. pulverulenta* (Padilla, González-Castro & Nogales 2012).

195

196 SAMPLING DESIGN AND MICROSATELLITE GENOTYPING

197 We sampled leaves of adult individual plants from 30, 28 and 22 populations in Gran Canaria,
198 Tenerife, and La Gomera, respectively, spanning the full distribution range of *N. pulverulenta*
199 (Fig. S1). Within each population we followed 3-4 linear transects covering an area of ~1 ha,

200 sampling 12-33 adult individual plants/population (total= 2358 individuals) spaced at least 5
201 m from any other (Fig. S1 and Table S1).

202 Unique multilocus genotypes from 12 microsatellite markers (Rigueiro *et al.* 2009)
203 were obtained for all collected plants. Dried leaves were ground in a ball-mill (Mixer Mill
204 MM301, Retsch, Germany) and DNA extraction was performed with a modified CTAB
205 extraction protocol (Rigueiro *et al.* 2009). Amplified fragments were analysed on an ABI
206 3130xl, and the scoring was manually assessed using GeneMapper 4.0 (Applied Biosystems)
207 and LIZ 500 size standard. A subset of the scoring was performed independently by two
208 people and cross-checked to assess and reduce the frequency of genotyping errors.

209

210 PLANT GENETIC DIVERSITY

211 First, we built a diversity-accumulation curve to assess alleles/population richness with
212 increasing sampling effort (Fig. S2). Population genetic diversity was estimated as the
213 expected heterozygosity (H_e), observed heterozygosity (H_o), and average allelic richness
214 (AR) by using HIERFSTAT package in R (Goudet 2005). To test for differences among
215 islands, we applied post hoc contrasts (Tukey) after fitting linear models, with island as the
216 main fixed factor. We also performed an analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) with the
217 genetic information of sampled populations. We used the R package *ade4* (Dray & Dufour
218 2007) and included three hierarchical levels in the analyses (among islands, among
219 populations within islands, and among individuals within populations).

220

221 POPULATION CONNECTIVITY

222 To understand how defaunation of large-bodied lizard species affects among-population
223 connectivity we used genetic information to create a network of populations within each
224 island (Dyer 2007). We used an approach based on population graph theory (Dyer & Nason

225 2004; Dyer *et al.* 2010; Dyer 2015), which uses the concept of conditional dependence to
226 obtain a network with the minimal edge (links) set that sufficiently describes the total among-
227 population genetic covariance structure. Links denoted the presence of significant genetic
228 covariance among populations after accounting for overall genetic covariation. We started
229 from a full-connected network in each island where all populations were connected with each
230 other by an edge with a variable weight (proportional to genetic distance). Then, redundant
231 edges that did not sufficiently contribute to explaining the overall genetic covariance structure
232 of the network were pruned. Thus, populations v_i and v_j will share an edge if and only if there
233 is significant genetic covariance between the populations after removing the covariation each
234 population has with all the remaining populations in the data set. A significance level of 0.05
235 was established as a threshold value for edge retention (see Dyer and Nason 2004, Dyer 2007
236 for details). We used the R package *popgraph* (Dyer 2014). We compared the resulting
237 networks for each island using several parameters: 1) *Degree*: the number of edges a
238 population has to other populations; thus we estimated the average degree across populations
239 within island. Larger values indicate higher overall connectivity at the island level. 2) *Edge*
240 *length*: a proxy of conditional genetic dependence among pairs of populations; the shorter the
241 link between two populations, the smaller is their conditional genetic distance (cGD; i.e.
242 higher connectivity) after controlling for the differences with the rest of populations. 3)
243 *Closeness*: a centrality measure of networks, which is defined as the number of steps required
244 to connect every node of the network from a given node. As genetic population connectivity
245 increases, the average value of node closeness increases. We used the R package *igraph* for
246 these analyses (Csárdi & Nepusz 2006). In addition, the standard deviation plots (mean \pm SD
247 vs. sample size) were assessed for each parameter and network to check the accuracy of
248 parameter estimations and sampling robustness (Fig. S3). We applied a set of generalized

249 linear models (GLMs) with islands as a fixed factor and post-hoc Tukey comparisons to
250 evaluate among island differences for each network parameter.

251

252 ISOLATION BY RESISTANCE (IBR)

253 The classical ‘isolation by distance’ models (IBD hereafter) (Wright 1943) predicts, as the
254 outcome of both dispersal limitation and genetic drift, an increase of genetic differentiation
255 between populations with the Euclidean geographic distance. The IBD models assume that
256 gene flow is symmetric and homogeneous across space, however it is known that landscape
257 complexity also shapes patterns of gene flow (McRae & Beier 2007). At this respect, some
258 discrete or continuous landscape characteristics can impose “resistance” to dispersal, i.e.
259 isolation by resistance (IBR hereafter; McRae 2006). Generally, the IBR approach improves
260 IBD models by using the resistance distance, a metric based on circuit theory (McRae 2006,
261 McRae *et al.* 2008). In our comparative inter-insular context, an added advantage of the IBR
262 model is that it takes into account different biotic/abiotic variables (landscape characteristics)
263 that may modify the genetic connectivity among plant populations in parallel to the variation
264 in lizard downsizing. Thus, according to our hypothesis we would expect an increased IBR
265 (i.e. higher slope for the regression “genetic distance ~ resistance distance”) in the scenarios
266 showing impaired seed dispersal (i.e. we would expect a higher genetic differentiation at a
267 given resistance distance in those scenarios showing reduced gene flow). For genetic
268 distances, we incorporate two estimates, the classical Euclidean genetic distance (GD), and
269 the conditioned genetic distance obtained from *popgraph* (cGD). To test this hypothesis, we
270 modelled resistance distances as a function of five landscape variables, each of them with
271 different potential effects on gene flow (Fig. S4): topographic complexity, climate-related
272 variables, potential and current vegetation, and the range shape of *N. pulverulenta*.

273 The topographic complexity was measured by using the surface ratio index for each
274 cell from the global digital elevation model (ASTER GDEM 2011) using the ‘DEM
275 SURFACE TOOLS’ script package (Jennes 2004) in ARCGIS 10.1 (ESRI, Redlands, CA,
276 USA). We create raster layers with a spatial resolution standardized to 30-m grid cell size. To
277 be consistent with the rest of resistance maps, the final layer of this continuous, topographic
278 complexity variable was transformed to 500 m resolution. Values of resistance close to one
279 indicate flat areas (lesser resistance to lizards movement) and higher values indicate abrupt
280 reliefs with deep slopes (Jennes 2004). For the climate-related resistance map, we used the
281 Köppen-Geiger climate classification for the Canary Islands which defines different types of
282 climate using average monthly precipitation and temperature (AEMET-IP 2012). We
283 reclassified climatic areas into three basic categories that may impose increasing resistances
284 to lizard-mediated seed dispersal because of increasing limitation of lower temperatures for
285 lizard activity: dry (Type B in Köppen-Geiger), temperate (Type C), and cold (Type D)
286 climates. Resistance maps of both potential and current vegetation were characterized using
287 the cartographic information provided by del Arco *et al.* (2006). The vegetation categories
288 were reclassified into three broad groups: *i*) evergreen or wet forests (including laurel and
289 ‘fayal-breza’ woodlands) imposing a high resistance for lizards, *ii*) pine forests (intermediate
290 resistance), and *iii*) the remaining vegetation grouped as ‘scrublands’ (low resistance). This
291 latter category includes xerophytic lowlands (e.g. *Euphorbia*, *Kleinia*), thermophilous forests
292 (e.g. *Olea*, *Rhamnus*), and sub-alpine vegetation (e.g. *Spartocytisus*, *Descurainia*). We
293 considered these scrubland areas as ‘minimal barriers’ for dispersal as lizards are extremely
294 abundant in this type of vegetation in comparison with evergreen and pine forests. Urban and
295 rural areas were also included as maximum barriers on the current vegetation map. Besides,
296 lizard abundances are much lower on evergreen than on pine forests as the result of the less
297 insolation and higher humidity of the evergreen forests that mismatch the thermoregulation

298 requirements of ectothermic animals. Under this context, we assume that dispersal of seeds by
299 lizards in this habitat is impaired when compared with warmer habitats (xerophytic scrublands
300 or pine forests). Finally, the range shape of *N. pulverulenta* was included as a simple binary
301 habitat/nonhabitat resistance map, assuming that the habitat occupancy of the plant is bounded
302 (McRae & Beier 2007). For this we used the *N. pulverulenta* distribution map available in the
303 ATLANTIS project from the Canarian government.
304 (<http://www.biodiversidadcanarias.es/atlantis>) (see Figs. S4 for a detailed account of all used
305 resistance maps).

306 We used CIRCUITSCAPE v.4.0.5 (McRae 2006) to calculate pairwise resistance
307 distance among populations for each landscape resistance scenario. Populations were included
308 as focal points of a single cell in the raster, and adjacent cells were connected to eight
309 neighbours by average resistances. In addition, we calculated pairwise distances among
310 populations under a non-resistance scenario (i.e. an uniform raster with all cells equaling to 1;
311 non-resistance; IBD) as they are more appropriate than euclidean geographic distances for
312 comparisons with IBR models (McRae & Beier 2007; Noguerales *et al.* 2016).
313 For each island, IBR models were tested using a set of Mantel tests on the different resistance
314 distances against the genetic distances (GD, cGD) between all pairs of populations. For this,
315 we used the IBDWS web service version 3.23 (Jensen, Bohonak & Kelley 2005). The
316 significance of Mantel's Z test statistics and the estimation of the Mantel *r* coefficient were
317 based on 10,000 permutations. For this, we applied a reduced major axis regression. We
318 calculated the 95% confidence intervals for slopes of the regression for each resistance
319 variable in the three islands after 10,000 permutations (Jensen *et al.* 2005) and tested for
320 differences among islands by applying a set of t-tests.

321 Finally, for testing differences due to defaunation effects when controlling for the
322 effects of among-islands variation in IBR we specified a saturated linear model with genetic

323 distance (GD, cGD) as a response variable and defaunation status (fixed factor), and IBR
324 variables as predictors in the model (see Wang 2013). Defaunation status was coded as
325 "Large-sized lizards" (level 1); "Medium-sized lizards" (level 2) and "Small-sized lizards"
326 (level 3), according to an increasing level of loss of dispersers effectiveness with increasing
327 defaunation intensity across the three islands. In order to avoid strong collinearity among the
328 six IBR variables we applied a stepwise selection of non-collinear variables based on their
329 variance inflated factors (package VIF, R Development Core Team 2015), with threshold
330 parameter of 10. The significance of the multiple regression models for genetic distance on
331 both defaunation and the IBR predictors was tested with the *lmPerm* R package, using 10,000
332 iterations. We used a combined AIC weights analysis on candidate models including and
333 excluding the defaunation effect to assess the importance value of the defaunation parameter
334 (*aictab* and *importance* functions in R library *AICcmodavg*). For this we defined a set of
335 models including both defaunation and the IBR variables retained with the VIF criterium on
336 one hand, and another set just excluding defaunation. The importance function calculates the
337 relative importance (w_+) of the defaunation effect based on the sum of Akaike weights
338 (model probabilities) of the models that include the effect compared to the importance (w_-) of
339 models excluding it and including just the IBR predictors. To account for the potential effects
340 of differences among islands in abiotic and biotic variables masking the defaunation effect,
341 we estimated the partial regression for just the effect of defaunation level on GD (and cGD)
342 when the other predictors are held constant. We used the *visreg* package in R (Breheny &
343 Burchett 2017).

344

345 GENETIC STRUCTURE

346 A Bayesian clustering method implemented in the STRUCTURE software v.2.3 (Pritchard,
347 Stephens & Donnelly 2000) was used to estimate the genetic structure of populations. We

348 used the admixture model and correlated allele frequency among populations. We ran 100,000
349 MCMC repetitions after a burn-in of 10,000 for a range of K between 1 and the maximum
350 number of populations in each island. The optimal number of K was estimated following the
351 method of Evanno, implemented in STRUCTURE HARVESTER (Earl & vonHoldt 2012).
352 We ran this model 5 times, and we averaged to correct for label switching by applying
353 CLUMPP (Jakobsson & Rosenberg 2007). The DISTRUCT software (Rosenberg 2003) was
354 used to graphically display the outputs of the models.

355 Finally, to assess the genetic separation of populations at the archipelago scale, we
356 calculated a UPGMA cluster analysis of pairwise Euclidean genetic distances (Nei's
357 distances) with bootstrap support ($n= 1000$ replications), and displayed it as a phylogram. We
358 used the R package *poppr* for analyses (Kamvar, Brooks & Grünwald 2015).

359 All statistical analyses were conducted in R, version 3.2.4 (R Development Core Team
360 2015).

361

362 **Results**

363 GENETIC DIVERSITY

364 Genetic diversity (H_e , H_o , and AR) within populations was highly variable, ranging from
365 populations exhibiting relatively low values (e.g. populations 29, 30, 33) to others with much
366 higher values (e.g. populations 16, 67, 68) (Table S1). Unexpectedly, the most defaunated La
367 Gomera showed the highest genetic diversity at the island level (i.e. average genetic diversity
368 of populations within island), with all parameters (except H_e) being significantly higher than
369 Gran Canaria and Tenerife ($p < 0.05$ for all pairwise contrasts; Table 1). In turn, H_e was
370 similar between La Gomera and Gran Canaria, and both islands showed higher values than
371 Tenerife (Table 1). The AMOVA indicates that among the spatial hierarchical levels
372 examined, most variation is explained by among-island differences (17.6%), with lower, but

373 significant, values accounted for by differences among populations within island (13.9%), and
374 among individuals within populations (3.2%), yet with a high fraction of overall genetic
375 variation being attributed to residual variation (65.3%) (Table S2).

376

377 POPULATION CONNECTIVITY

378 The network topology parameters differ markedly among islands (Table 1, Fig. 1). First,
379 *degree* decreases from Gran Canaria to Tenerife and to La Gomera, although no significant
380 statistical differences were found ($p > 0.05$; Tukey a-posteriori test). Secondly, the average
381 *edge length* increases from Gran Canaria to Tenerife, and to La Gomera, with significant
382 statistical differences between La Gomera and the other two islands ($p < 0.05$). Finally,
383 *closeness* decreased from Gran Canaria to La Gomera, and to Tenerife, being significantly
384 lower in Tenerife ($p < 0.01$). Taken together these results suggest the highest among-
385 population connectivity in Gran Canaria than the other, more defaunated, scenarios, especially
386 La Gomera.

387

388 ISOLATION BY RESISTANCE (IBR)

389 All the univariate IBR models fit better to the pattern of genetic differentiation than the non-
390 resistance models for each island (i.e. resistance distances based on a completely ‘flat’
391 landscape) (Fig. S5). Both the Mantel tests and the reduced major axis regressions indicate a
392 significant correlation between Euclidean genetic distances (GD) and all the resistance
393 distances (Fig. 2; Table S3), with slopes being significantly higher in both La Gomera and
394 Tenerife than in Gran Canaria for almost all IBR variables (t-test; $p < 0.01$) (Fig. 2) (See also
395 figures S5 for results including conditional genetic distances; cGD).

396 We fitted a final linear model including current vegetation (VIF= 7.21), topography
397 complexity (VIF= 7.20), and defaunation status (VIF= 1.01) as predictors, as these were the

398 variables retained after applying the collinearity criterium. Euclidean genetic distances (GD)
399 among plant populations were significantly correlated with the combined defaunation and the
400 retained IBR variables ($F= 370.0$, $d.f.= 3$ and 1040 , $R^2_{adj}= 0.516$, $P< 0.0001$; Fig. 3) (see also
401 Fig. S7 for results including conditional genetic distance; cGD). Moreover, the importance
402 analysis of models excluding the defaunation effect (just with topographic complexity and/or
403 current vegetation) yielded extremely lower weights ($w= 6.57E-08$) than the models set
404 including this effect (defaunation and topographic complexity and/or current vegetation;
405 $w+\gg 0.999$) ($\chi^2= -17.3$, $P< 0.0001$). Moreover, accounting for the two retained IBR
406 variables, the conditional plots for just the effect of defaunation (insets in Fig. 3 and Fig. S7)
407 revealed a significant effect.

408 Genetic structure at large scales revealed by both the STRUCTURE (Fig. S8 and S9)
409 and the UPGMA analyses (Fig. S10) is consistent with IBR patterns, suggesting a progressive
410 genetic differentiation among populations with distance.

411

412 **Discussion**

413 Defaunation-mediated downsizing of frugivorous vertebrates may trigger cascading effects
414 with a myriad of consequences for demography (Cordeiro & Howe 2003; Traveset & Riera
415 2005; Traveset *et al.* 2012; Pérez-Méndez *et al.* 2015) and genetics of plant populations
416 (Pacheco & Simonetti 2000, Voigt *et al.* 2009, Calviño-Cancela *et al.* 2012, Pérez-Méndez *et*
417 *al.* 2016). Here, we demonstrate that when seed dispersal interactions are disrupted (La
418 Gomera) or functionally impaired (Tenerife), the genetic consequences may spread well
419 beyond the local population scale. Although plant genetic diversity does not appear to be
420 related to reduced or even collapsed seed dispersal, the contrasting topology of the population
421 networks and the IBR patterns revealed an overall reduction of genetic connectivity among
422 plant populations mirroring the downsizing gradient of frugivorous lizards. Our previous

423 study (Pérez-Méndez *et al.* 2016) showed a progressive reduction of seed dispersal distances
424 of *N. pulverulenta* according to lizard sizes, with marked implications for the fine-scale
425 spatial genetic structure within populations. Here, we show that these effects extend beyond
426 local population limits to have consequences for the spatial distribution of genetic diversity at
427 much broader scales.

428

429 PLANT GENETIC DIVERSITY

430 Genetic variation in plants results from both the demographic history and the extent of gene
431 flow among populations acting together with selection, drift, and mutation. The reduction, and
432 eventual collapse, of gene flow among plant populations in islands hosting small- to medium-
433 sized lizard species is expected to result in an increase of genetic isolation and genetic drift,
434 with added reductions of genetic variation across populations. Contrary to our predictions,
435 genetic plant diversity was higher in the island hosting the smallest lizards (La Gomera) and
436 no major differences were found between the other two islands. This result is also consistent
437 with our previous study (Pérez-Méndez *et al.* 2016), in which we found similar within-
438 population genetic diversity across the three studied insular scenarios. Overall, our results
439 suggest that current levels of overall genetic diversity in *N. pulverulenta* may be more related
440 to the past biogeographic and/or demographic history of the species than the effect of reduced
441 contemporary gene flow by seeds. Although no specific information is available on the
442 biogeographic history of *N. pulverulenta*, one possible explanation relates to the idea that La
443 Gomera could have acted as a center of high genetic diversity and source of propagules for
444 the other islands, as reported for other Canarian plant species such as *Olea europaea* (García-
445 Verdugo *et al.* 2010), and *Ruta* spp. (Salvo *et al.* 2010). In addition, genetic diversity
446 differences may arise as the result of a reduced human pressure in La Gomera (60
447 inhabitants/km²) than in the most populated Gran Canaria (546 inhab./km²) and Tenerife (442

448 inhab./km²) (<http://www.gobiernodecanarias.org/istac>). This explanation seems to be
449 supported by the relatively consistent, ring-arranged spatial structure of the populations in La
450 Gomera when compared with the other two islands. It suggests a collection of populations that
451 are relatively robustly connected in a way that maintains island-wide diversity without the
452 spatial gaps that are so prominent in the other two islands. This pattern appears prominent
453 despite the growing distance between populations and the relatively low number of
454 connections for each population. Accordingly, Miraldo *et al.* (2016) recently reported a global
455 decline of intraspecific genetic diversity in terrestrial mammals and amphibians in the most
456 human-disturbed habitats. Whatever the explanation, the high levels of genetic diversity found
457 in La Gomera suggest a delayed effect of defaunation relative to other anthropogenic
458 pressures such as fragmentation, which is usually accompanied by a rapid impoverishment of
459 genetic pools (e.g. Young, Boyle & Brown 1996).

460

461 POPULATION CONNECTIVITY

462 Our results of topological variation of the population graphs suggest a limited gene flow
463 among populations and that defaunation of frugivorous lizards impacts the overall landscape
464 connectivity. We detected that gene flow of *N. pulverulenta* was mostly restricted among
465 populations separated by no more than 10 km (Fig. 1; Fig. S1), a very short distance when
466 compared with other animal-dispersed plant species (e.g. Dyer 2015). Thus, the low
467 population connectivity detected in the three islands indicates overall highly restricted gene
468 flow. This is most likely the result of the specific interaction with their unique primary seed
469 dispersers (Valido & Nogales 1994; Valido 1999; Pérez-Méndez *et al.* 2015) and a small set
470 of pollinator species. Canarian lizards have very limited home ranges (Molina-Borja 1985;
471 Valido *et al.*, unpublished data) with reported seed dispersal distances below 100 m (Pérez-
472 Méndez *et al.* 2016). Likewise, the *N. pulverulenta* assemblage of pollinators is species-poor,

473 composed by several species of ants, small bees, and flies, which seem to exhibit restricted
474 foraging patterns according with pollination distances (median: 40.2 m) estimated by using
475 parentage analysis of embryos (Pérez-Méndez *et al.* 2016). In turn, the role of secondary seed
476 dispersers (e.g. common kestrels) (Padilla *et al.* 2012) as potential long distance seed
477 dispersers remains unstudied.

478 Despite this general trend, the topologies of the genetic networks also indicate that the
479 population connectivity varies markedly according with the defaunation status of the islands.
480 Gran Canaria, which still preserves large-size lizards, showed the highest connectivity or
481 degree, the shortest links, the largest closeness and a slight decrease of population
482 connectivity with distance. In contrast, the same parameters indicate a much more marked
483 isolation and severe loss of among population connectivity in the most defaunated scenario
484 (La Gomera). Tenerife should represent an intermediate scenario according with our
485 hypothesis, its graph parameters being similar to those of Gran Canaria, except for closeness.
486 Besides, the obtained results of La Gomera are conservative as this island is much smaller
487 (370 km²) than Gran Canaria (1560 km²) and Tenerife (2034 km²), and mean geographic
488 distances among sampled populations are also shorter in La Gomera (13 ± 6 km; mean ± 1
489 SD) than in Gran Canaria (22 ± 12 km) and Tenerife (29 ± 15 km). The observed differences
490 in genetic connectivity among populations could not be interpreted solely in terms of variation
491 in biotic or abiotic landscape conditions among islands, with defaunation having a highly
492 significant effect on the variation across islands in landscape characteristics (see below).

493 Other ecological and historical processes related with abiotic and biotic characteristics
494 of the islands may also explain the observed differences of the spatial distribution of genetic
495 variation. We have taken into account this abiotic/biotic variation in our analytical approach
496 to try to isolate these confounding factors (see resistance models below). In addition,
497 differences may be attributed to a compensatory effect of pollen-mediated gene flow in La

498 Gomera (e.g. dispersing pollen from more distant sources and enriching the population
499 genetic pools). Yet, although species identity can change, pollinator guilds in *N. pulverulenta*
500 are quite similar among these islands (Hohmann *et al.* 1993, Trøjelsgaard *et al.* 2015, A.
501 Valido & N. Pérez-Méndez, unpublished data). Thus, we have no evidences supporting this. It
502 would be, however, an important issue to consider in future research. Overall, assuming the
503 limitations of this study, we lack evidences to relate the observed reduction of genetic
504 connectivity with habitat factors or contrasted pollen-mediated gene flow across populations.
505 We think that a more parsimonious explanation for the observed patterns relates with a
506 reduction of gene flow via seeds associated to the extinction of the largest seed dispersers.

507 These genetic patterns agree with our previous study of seed dispersal distances
508 (Pérez-Méndez *et al.* 2016). In the particular case of La Gomera, given the collapse of both
509 primary and, in consequence, the secondary seed-mediated gene flow, the question remains of
510 how *N. pulverulenta* preserves residual connectivity among their populations. First, despite
511 pollen movement not being very extensive, several species such as honeybees or native bees
512 may eventually move over large distances, providing opportunities for gene exchange among
513 relatively distant populations (Steffan-Dewenter & Kuhn 2003, Zurbuchen *et al.* 2010,
514 Danner *et al.* 2016). However, similar pollinator assemblage is found in these islands
515 (Trojelsgaard *et al.* 2015). Secondly, current patterns of genetic connectivity may reflect a
516 historical inertia of a past efficient gene flow among populations mediated by the now extinct
517 giant lizards (decline date ~ 2344 BP) (Gonzalez *et al.* 2014).

518 Network parameters (*degree*, *edge length* and *closeness*) might vary with the spatial
519 distribution of sampled populations within islands. However, if the genetic connectivity were
520 similar within these three islands, we would expect a higher *degree* and *closeness* and shorter
521 *edge lengths* in the islands where the geographic distances among sampled populations are
522 shorter (i.e. La Gomera). However, the pattern found was exactly the opposite, i.e. we found

523 the lowest *degree*, *closeness* and the largest links in the island sampled at shorter geographic
524 distances among populations (the most defaunated La Gomera). Therefore, our results are
525 conservative and strongly support our hypothesis; we would expect even more marked
526 differences (e.g. lower *degree* or longer *edge lengths*) if geographic distances among
527 populations in La Gomera were similar to those of the other two islands.

528

529 ISOLATION BY RESISTANCE (IBR)

530 Genetic isolation by distance (IBD) is a common pattern among plant species, which
531 theoretically arises as the outcome of a limited exchange of genes among nearby populations,
532 (Sexton, Hangartner & Hoffmann 2014). Given the short dispersal distances previously
533 reported for our study system and directly related to lizard sizes (Pérez-Méndez *et al.* 2016),
534 we predict a marked pattern of IBD in the three islands, mirroring the lizard downsizing
535 gradient. Accordingly, geographical distance (i.e. non-resistance model) explained a large
536 proportion of the spatial distribution of genetic variation of *N. pulverulenta*, and the detected
537 differences among islands in their regression slopes match the differences in the seed
538 dispersal process occurring in each island. The different IBR models also support this result
539 which suggest that a reduction of seed dispersal distances resulting from the impaired or
540 disrupted mutualistic relationships may alter profoundly the distribution of genetic variation at
541 broad spatial scales independent of different resistances landscapes (e.g., topography
542 complexity, vegetation physiognomy). The magnitude of IBR (i.e., the slope of the Euclidean
543 genetic distance-IBR regressions) was much larger in the more defaunated scenario (Tenerife
544 and La Gomera) than in the island hosting the large-sized lizards (Gran Canaria) for almost all
545 resistances variables. The significant result for the defaunation effect remained consistent
546 after controlling for the effects of the two other predictors retained in the model. This result is
547 not surprising, when considering the absence of long distance dispersal events in the former

548 islands (Pérez-Méndez *et al.* 2016). Thus, in our study system, defaunation fundamentally
549 caused a strong and lasting limitation of dispersal in distance. In addition, our results suggest
550 that this phenomenon entails important changes in the structure of genetic diversity of the
551 plant species across its geographic range.

552 To the best of our knowledge no previous study has specifically assessed the impact of
553 frugivore downsizing on plant genetic connectivity over large spatial scales. Our findings
554 suggest that downsizing of mutualistic vertebrate frugivores hinders seed-mediated gene flow
555 among plant populations, most likely through effects imposing substantial limitation of seed
556 dispersal in distance. This entailed subsequent, negative cascading effects on the interacting
557 plant populations at the landscape scale, such as the reduction of genetic connectivity and the
558 increase of genetic differentiation among populations. Despite the specificity of the plant-
559 frugivore interaction analyzed here, we would expect similar genetic outcomes in both insular
560 and mainland ecosystems characterized by low functional redundancy on seed dispersers,
561 where key large frugivores have become extinct (Bueno *et al.* 2013; McConkey & Drake
562 2015). Functional extinction of these species is expected to collapse seed dispersal process
563 beyond local patches, triggering a parallel reduction of overall landscape genetic connectivity
564 Thus, long before to have the possibility to identify some negative consequences of frugivores
565 defaunation on plant genetic diversity at both population and landscape scales, our results
566 provide valuable insights to detect early signals of Anthropogenic frugivore defaunation by
567 analysing the genetic cohesiveness of plant metapopulations dynamics.

568

569 **Author's contributions**

570 A.V. and P.J. conceived the ideas and designed methodology; N.P.-M. and A.V. conducted
571 fieldwork. N.P.-M. performed labwork with the assistance of one technician. N.P.-M.

572 analysed the data with the contribution of P.J. and A.V.; and N.P.-M., P.J., and A.V. wrote the
573 paper. All authors contributed critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

574

575 **Acknowledgements**

576 We are grateful to J. Cuevas and G. Pérez for their assistance during the fieldwork; I. Afán, D.
577 Aragonés, M. Arechavaleta, J. M. Arroyo, M. Carrión, and C. Rigueiro for skillfull technical
578 assistance; J.M. Domínguez, C. Rodríguez, and N. Vega for information about some plant
579 populations in Gran Canaria; B. Rodríguez and C. Camacho for lizard photos (Fig. S1), and
580 the insular cabildos of Gran Canaria, Tenerife and La Gomera for sampling permits. The
581 manuscript benefited from constructive comments of Greg Gilbert, Ingrid Parker, Francisco
582 Rodríguez-Sánchez, and three anonymous referees. This study was funded by the Ministerio
583 de Economía y Competitividad (CGL2009-09715, CGL2013-47429-P) and Junta de
584 Andalucía (RNM-5731). N.P.-M. was supported by an FPI-fellowship (BES-2010-041463).
585 A.V. was supported by postdoctoral programme (RYC-2007-00620), as well as a Severo
586 Ochoa Excellence Award (SEV-2012-0262).

587

588 **Data accessibility**

589 Data are available from the Dryad Digital Repository: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.pr284>
590 (Pérez-Méndez *et al.* 2017).

591

592 **References**

593 AEMET-IP. (2012) *Climate Atlas of the Archipelagos of the Canary Islands, Madeira and the*
594 *Azores*. Agencia Estatal de Meteorología, Madrid.

595 Appelhans, M.S., Smets, E., Razafimandimbison, S.G., Haevermans, T., van Marle, E.J.,

596 Couloux, A., Rabarison, H., Randrianariveლოსia, M. & Keßler, P.J.A. (2011)

- 597 Phylogeny, evolutionary trends and classification of the Spathelia-Ptaeroxylon clade:
598 morphological and molecular insights. *Annals of Botany*, **107**, 1259–77.
- 599 Appelhans, M.S., Keßler, P.J., Smets, E., Razafimandimbison, S.G., & Janssens, S.B. (2012)
600 Age and historical biogeography of the pantropically distributed Spathelioideae
601 (Rutaceae, Sapindales). *Journal of Biogeography*, **39**, 1235–1250.
- 602 Bacles, C.F.E., Lowe, A.J. & Ennos, R.A. (2006) Effective seed dispersal across a fragmented
603 landscape. *Science*, **311**, 628.
- 604 Bakker, E.S., Gill, J.L., Johnson, C.N., Vera, F.W.M., Sandom, C.J., Asner, G.P. & Svenning,
605 J.-C. (2016) Combining paleo-data and modern exclosure experiments to assess the
606 impact of megafauna extinctions on woody vegetation. *Proceedings of the National
607 Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **113**, 847–855.
- 608 Barahona, F., Evans, S.E., Mateo, J.A., García-Márquez, M. & López-Jurado, L.F. (2000)
609 Endemism, gigantism and extinction in island lizards: the genus *Gallotia* on the Canary
610 Islands. *Journal of Zoology*, **250**, 373–388.
- 611 Bello, C., Galetti, M., Pizo, M.A., Magnago, L.F.S., Rocha, M.F., Lima, R.A.F., Peres, C.A.,
612 Ovaskainen, O. & Jordano, P. (2015) Defaunation affects carbon storage in tropical
613 forests. *Science Advances*, **1**, e1501105.
- 614 Breheny, P. & Burchett, S. (2017) visreg: Visualization of regression models. R package
615 version 2.4-1. <http://cran.r-project.org/package=visreg>.
- 616 Bueno, R.S., Guevara, R., Ribeiro, M.C., Culot, L., Bufalo, F.S. & Galetti, M. (2013)
617 Functional redundancy and complementarities of seed dispersal by the last Neotropical
618 megafrugivores. *PloS one*, **8**, e56252.
- 619 Calviño-Cancela, M., Escudero, M., Rodríguez-Pérez, J., Cano, E., Vargas, P., Velo-Antón,
620 G. & Traveset, A. (2012) The role of seed dispersal, pollination and historical effects on

621 genetic patterns of an insular plant that has lost its only seed disperser. *Journal of*
622 *Biogeography*, **39**, 1996–2006.

623 Carvalho, C.S., Galetti, M., Colevatti, R.G. & Jordano, P. (2016) Defaunation leads to
624 microevolutionary changes in a tropical palm. *Scientific Reports*, **6**, 31957.

625 Cordeiro, N.J. & Howe, H.F. (2003) Forest fragmentation severs mutualism between seed
626 dispersers and an endemic African tree. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
627 *Sciences of the United States of America*, **100**, 14052–14056.

628 Csárdi, G. & Nepusz, T. (2006) The igraph software package for complex network research.
629 *InterJournal Complex Systems*, **1695**, 1–9.

630 Dirzo, R., Young, H.S., Galetti, M., Ceballos, G., Isaac, N.J.B. & Collen, B. (2014)
631 Defaunation in the Anthropocene. *Science*, **345**, 401–406.

632 Dray, S. & Dufour, A. (2007) The ade4 package: implementing the duality diagram for
633 ecologists. *Journal of Statistical Software*, **22**, 1–20.

634 Dyer, R.J. (2007) The evolution of genetic topologies. *Theoretical Population Biology*, **71**,
635 71–79.

636 Dyer, R.J. (2014) popgraph: This is an R package that constructs and manipulates population
637 graphs. R package version 1.4. <http://cran.r-project.org/package=popgraph>.

638 Dyer, R.J. (2015) Population graphs and landscape genetics. *Annual Review of Ecology,*
639 *Evolution, and Systematics*, **46**, 327–342.

640 Dyer, R.J. & Nason, J.D. (2004) Population graphs: the graph theoretic shape of genetic
641 structure. *Molecular Ecology*, **13**, 1713–1727.

642 Dyer, R.J., Nason, J.D. & Garrick, R.C. (2010) Landscape modelling of gene flow: Improved
643 power using conditional genetic distance derived from the topology of population
644 networks. *Molecular Ecology*, **19**, 3746–3759.

645 Earl, D.A. & vonHoldt, B.M. (2012) STRUCTURE HARVESTER: a website and program

646 for visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method.
647 *Conservation Genetics Resources*, **4**, 359–361.

648 Estes, J.A., Terborgh, J., Brashares, J.S., Power, M.E., Berger, J., Bond, W.J., Carpenter,
649 S.R., Essington, T.E., Holt, R.D., Jackson, J.B.C., Marquis, R.J., Oksanen, L., Oksanen,
650 T., Paine, R.T., Pickett, E.K., Ripple, W.J., Sandin, S.A., Scheffer, M., Schoener, T.W.,
651 Shurin, J.B., Sinclair, A.R.E., Soulé, M.E., Virtanen, R. & Wardle, D.A. (2011) Trophic
652 downgrading of planet Earth. *Science*, **333**, 301–306.

653 Excoffier, L., Smouse, P.E. & Quattro, J.M. (1992) Analysis of molecular variance inferred
654 from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: Application to human mitochondrial
655 DNA restriction data. *Genetics*, **131**, 479–491.

656 Ferrer, E.S., García-Navas, V., Bueno-Enciso, J., Barrientos, R., Serrano-Davies, E., Cáliz-
657 Campal, C., Sanz, J.J. & Ortego, J. (2016) The influence of landscape configuration and
658 environment on population genetic structure in a sedentary passerine: Insights from loci
659 located in different genomic regions. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **29**, 205–219.

660 Fontúrbel, F.E., Candia, A.B., Malebrán, J., Salazar, D. A., González-Browne, C. & Medel,
661 R. (2015) Meta-analysis of anthropogenic habitat disturbance effects on animal-mediated
662 seed dispersal. *Global Change Biology*, **21**, 3951–3960.

663 Galetti, M., Guevara, R., Côrtes, M.C., Fadini, R., Von Matter, S., Leite, A.B., Labecca, F.,
664 Ribeiro, T., Carvalho, C.S., Collevatti, R.G., Pires, M.M., Guimarães, P.R., Brancalion,
665 P.H., Ribeiro, M.C. & Jordano, P. (2013) Functional extinction of birds drives rapid
666 evolutionary changes in seed size. *Science*, **340**, 1086–1090.

667 García-Verdugo, C., Forrest, A.D., Fay, M.F. & Vargas, P. (2010) The relevance of gene flow
668 in metapopulation dynamics of an oceanic island endemic, *Olea europaea* subsp.
669 *guanchica*. *Evolution*, **64**, 3525–3536.

670 Gonzalez, E.G., Cerón-Souza, I., Mateo, J.A. & Zardoya, R. (2014) Island survivors:

- 671 population genetic structure and demography of the critically endangered giant lizard of
672 La Gomera, *Gallotia bravoana*. *BMC genetics*, **15**, 121.
- 673 Goudet, J. (2005) HIERFSTAT, a package for R to compute and test hierarchical F -statistics.
674 *Molecular Ecology Notes*, **5**, 184–186.
- 675 Grivet, D., Robledo-Arnuncio, J.J., Smouse, P.E. & Sork, V.L. (2009) Relative contribution
676 of contemporary pollen and seed dispersal to the effective parental size of seedling
677 population of California valley oak (*Quercus lobata*, Née). *Molecular Ecology*, **18**,
678 3967–79.
- 679 Hernández, E., Nogales, M. & Martín, A. (2000) Discovery of a new lizard in the Canary
680 Islands, with a multivariate analysis of *Gallotia* (Reptilia: Lacertidae). *Herpetologica*,
681 **56**, 63–76.
- 682 Hohmann, H., La Roche, F., Ortega, G., & Barquín, J. (1993). Bienen, Wespen und Ameisen
683 der Kanarischen Inseln (Insecta: Hymenoptera: Aculeata), Band II. Veröffentlichungen
684 aus dem Übersee-Museum Bremen, **12**, 493–894.
- 685 Jakobsson, M. & Rosenberg, N.A. (2007) CLUMPP: a cluster matching and permutation
686 program for dealing with label switching and multimodality in analysis of population
687 structure. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, **23**, 1801–6.
- 688 Jensen, J.L., Bohonak, A.J. & Kelley, S.T. (2005) Isolation by distance, web service. *BMC*
689 *Genetics*, **6**.
- 690 Johnson, C.N. (2009) Ecological consequences of Late Quaternary extinctions of megafauna.
691 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **276**, 2509–2519.
- 692 Jordano, P., García, C., Godoy, J.A. & García-Castaño, J.L. (2007) Differential contribution
693 of frugivores to complex seed dispersal patterns. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
694 *of Sciences of the United States of America*, **104**, 3278–3282.
- 695 Kamvar, Z.N., Brooks, J.C. & Grünwald, N.J. (2015) Novel R tools for analysis of genome-

696 wide population genetic data with emphasis on clonality. *Frontiers in Genetics*, **6**.

697 Malhi, Y., Doughty, C.E., Galetti, M., Smith, F.A., Svenning, J.-C. & Terborgh, J. (2016)

698 Megafauna and ecosystem function from the Pleistocene to the Anthropocene.

699 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **113**,

700 838–846.

701 Markl, J.S., Schleuning, M., Forget, P.M., Jordano, P., Lambert, J.E., Traveset, A., Wright,

702 S.J. & Böhning-Gaese, K. (2012) Meta-analysis of the effects of human disturbance on

703 seed dispersal by animals. *Conservation Biology*, **26**, 1072–1081.

704 McCauley, D.J., Pinsky, M.L., Palumbi, S.R., Estes, J.A., Joyce, F.H. & Warner, R.R. (2015)

705 Marine defaunation: animal loss in the global ocean. *Science*, **347**, 1255641.

706 McConkey, K.R. & Drake, D.R. (2015) Low redundancy in seed dispersal within an island

707 frugivore community. *AoB Plants*, **7**, plv088.

708 McRae, B.H. (2006) Isolation by resistance. *Ecology*, **60**, 1551–1561.

709 McRae, B.H. & Beier, P. (2007) Circuit theory predicts gene flow in plant and animal

710 populations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*

711 *America*, **104**, 19885–19890.

712 Miraldo, A., Li, S., Borregaard, M.K., Florez-Rodriguez, A., Gopalakrishnan, S., Rizvanovic,

713 M., Wang, Z., Rahbek, C., Marske, K.A. & Nogues-Bravo, D. (2016) An Anthropocene

714 map of genetic diversity. *Science*, **353**, 1532–1535.

715 Molina-Borja, M. (1985) Spatial and temporal behaviour of *Gallotia galloti* in a natural

716 population of Tenerife. *Bonner Zoologische Beiträge*, **36**, 541–552.

717 Nathan, R. (2008) Mechanisms of long-distance seed dispersal. *Trends in Ecology and*

718 *Evolution*, **23**, 638–647.

719 Onrubia-Pintado, J. (1987) Les cultures préhistoriques des Îles Canaries: État de la question.

720 *L'Anthropologie*, **91**, 653–678.

- 721 Pacheco, L.F. & Simonetti, J.A. (2000) Genetic structure of a Mimosoid tree deprived of its
722 seed disperser, the spider monkey. *Conservation Biology*, **14**, 1766–1775.
- 723 Padilla, D.P., González-Castro, A. & Nogales, M. (2012) Significance and extent of
724 secondary seed dispersal by predatory birds on oceanic islands: the case of the Canary
725 archipelago. *Journal of Ecology*, **100**, 416–427.
- 726 Peres, C.A., Emilio, T., Schietti, J., Desmoulière, S.J.M. & Levi, T. (2016) Dispersal
727 limitation induces long-term biomass collapse in overhunted Amazonian forests.
728 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **113**,
729 892–897.
- 730 Pérez-Méndez, N., Jordano, P., García, C. & Valido, A. (2016) The signatures of
731 Anthropocene defaunation: cascading effects of the seed dispersal collapse. *Scientific*
732 *Reports*, **6**, 24820.
- 733 Pérez-Méndez, N., Jordano, P. & Valido, A. (2015) Downsized mutualisms: consequences of
734 seed dispersers' body-size reduction for early plant recruitment. *Perspectives in Plant*
735 *Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, **17**, 151–159.
- 736 Pérez-Méndez, N., Jordano, P. & Valido, A. (2017) Data from: Persisting in defaunated
737 landscapes: reduced plant population connectivity after seed dispersal collapse. Dryad
738 Digital Repository. doi: 10.5061/dryad.pr284
- 739 Pritchard, J.K., Stephens, M. & Donnelly, P. (2000) Inference of population structure using
740 multilocus genotype data. *Genetics*, **155**, 945–59.
- 741 R Development Core Team. (2015) R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- 742 Rigueiro, C., Arroyo, J.M., Valido, A. & Jordano, P. (2009) Isolation and characterization of
743 13 microsatellite loci for *Neochamaelea pulverulenta* (Cneoraceae). *Molecular Ecology*
744 *Resources*, **9**, 1497–1500.
- 745 Rosenberg, N.A. (2003) DISTRUCT: a program for the graphical display of population

- 746 structure. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, **4**, 137–138.
- 747 Salvo, G., Ho, S.Y.W., Rosenbaum, G., Ree, R. & Conti, E. (2010) Tracing the temporal and
748 spatial origins of island endemics in the Mediterranean region: A case study from the
749 citrus family (*Ruta* L., Rutaceae). *Systematic Biology*, **59**, 705–722.
- 750 Sexton, J.P., Hangartner, S.B. & Hoffmann, A.A. (2014) Genetic isolation by environment or
751 distance: Which pattern of gene flow is most common? *Evolution*, **68**, 1–15.
- 752 Terborgh, J., Nuñez-Iturri, G., Pitman, N.C.A., Valverde, F.H.C., Alvarez, P., Swamy, V.,
753 Pringle, E.G. & Paine, C.E.T. (2008) Tree recruitment in an empty forest. *Ecology*, **89**,
754 1757–1768.
- 755 Traveset, A., González-Varo, J.P. & Valido, A. (2012) Long-term demographic consequences
756 of a seed dispersal disruption. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,
757 **279**, 3298–3303.
- 758 Traveset, A. & Riera, N. (2005) Disruption of a plant-lizard seed dispersal system and its
759 ecological effects on a threatened endemic plant in the Balearic Islands. *Conservation*
760 *Biology*, **19**, 421–431.
- 761 Trøjelsgaard, K., Jordano, P., Carstensen, D.W. & Olesen, J.M. (2015) Geographical variation
762 in mutualistic networks: similarity, turnover and partner fidelity. *Proceedings of the*
763 *Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **282**, 20142925.
- 764 Valido, A. (1999) *Ecología de la dispersión de semillas por los lagartos endémicos canarios*
765 *(g. Gallotia, Lacertidae)*. Universidad de La Laguna, La Laguna, Tenerife.
- 766 Valido, A. & Nogales, M. (1994) Frugivory and seed dispersal by the lizard *Gallotia galloti*
767 (Lacertidae) in a xeric habitat of the Canary Islands. *Oikos*, **70**, 403–411.
- 768 Valido, A., Nogales, M. & Medina, F.M. (2003) Fleshy fruits in the diet of Canarian lizards
769 *Gallotia galloti* (Lacertidae) in a xeric habitat of the island of Tenerife. *Journal of*
770 *Herpetology*, **37**, 741–747.

- 771 Valido, A., Rando, J.C., Nogales, M. & Martín, A. (2000) “Fossil” lizard found alive in the
772 Canary Islands. *Oryx*, **34**, 75–76.
- 773 Valiente-Banuet, A., Aizen, M.A., Alcántara, J.M., Arroyo, J., Cocucci, A., Galetti, M.,
774 García, M.B., García, D., Gómez, J.M., Jordano, P., Medel, R., Navarro, L., Obeso, J.R.,
775 Oviedo, R., Ramírez, N., Rey, P.J., Traveset, A., Verdú, M. & Zamora, R. (2015)
776 Beyond species loss: the extinction of ecological interactions in a changing world.
777 *Functional Ecology*, **29**, 299–307.
- 778 Voigt, F.A., Arafah, R., Farwig, N., Griebeler, E.M. & Böhning-Gaese, K. (2009) Linking
779 seed dispersal and genetic structure of trees: a biogeographical approach. *Journal of*
780 *Biogeography*, **36**, 242–254.
- 781 Western, D. (2001) Human-modified ecosystems and future evolution. *Proceedings of the*
782 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **98**, 5458–5465.
- 783 Wright, S. (1943) Isolation by distance. *Genetics*, **28**, 114–138.
- 784 Young, A., Boyle, T. & Brown, T. (1996) The population genetic consequences of habitat
785 fragmentation for plants. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **11**, 413–418.
- 786 Young, H.S., McCauley, D.J., Galetti, M. & Dirzo, R. (2016) Patterns, causes, and
787 consequences of Anthropocene defaunation. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and*
788 *Systematics*, **47**, 333–358.
- 789 Zhou, H-P. & Chen, J (2010) Spatial genetic structure in an understory dioecious fig species:
790 the roles of seed rain, seed and pollen-mediated gen flow, and local selection. *Journal of*
791 *Ecology*, **98**, 1168-1177.

792

793 **Supporting information**

794 Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

795

796 **Table S1.** List of plant populations, sample sizes, and genetic diversity indices (see Fig. S1
797 for their geographic distribution).

798 **Table S2.** Results of the analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) of *Neochamaelea*
799 *pulverulenta* (Rutaceae).

800 **Table S3.** Results from univariate IBR models by using both Euclidean genetic distance (GD)
801 and conditional genetic distance (cGD) of *Neochamaelea pulverulenta* (Rutaceae) at island
802 level.

803 **Figure S1.** Geographic distribution of sampled populations of *Neochamaelea pulverulenta*
804 (Rutaceae).

805 **Figure S2.** Sample-based accumulation curves of allelic diversity for each plant population.

806 **Figure S3.** Values for population network parameters on each island.

807 **Figure S4.** Raster layers showing information of the different landscape variables used for
808 IBR analysis: climate classification, range shape of *Neochamaelea pulverulenta* (Rutaceae),
809 sampled populations, topographic complexity, and potential and current vegetation in Gran
810 Canaria, Tenerife and La Gomera.

811 **Figure S5.** Isolation by resistance models derived from each landscape variables at island
812 level by using both GD (Euclidean genetic distance) and cGD (conditional genetic distance).

813 **Figure S6.** Slopes of the isolation by resistance models (IBR) (conditional genetic distances ~
814 Resistance distances).

815 **Figure S7.** Relationship resulting from the multiple matrix regression using conditional
816 genetic distances (cGD) as response variable.

817 **Figure S8.** Genetic structure within island (STRUCTURE).

818 **Figure S9.** Values of ΔK as a function of the number of clusters (STRUCTURE).

819 **Figure S10.** Phylogram of the UPGMA based on Euclidean genetic distances.

820

821 **Table 1.** Summary of genetic diversity indices (*He*: expected heterozygosity; *Ho*: observed
822 heterozygosity; *AR*: average allelic richness), and network parameters (*degree*, *edge length*,
823 *closeness*) of *Neochamaelea pulverulenta* (Rutaceae) in Gran Canaria, Tenerife and La
824 Gomera. For the genetic diversity indices, data are mean \pm 1 SD. For the network parameters
825 data are mean \pm 1 SE. Different letters in superscripts indicate significant differences among
826 islands (Tukey a-posteriori test). The numbers of sampled populations and individual plants
827 are: Gran Canaria (30, 883), Tenerife (28, 823), and La Gomera (22, 652). See Fig. S1 and
828 Table S1 for details.

829

830

831

	<i>Gran Canaria</i>	<i>Tenerife</i>	<i>La Gomera</i>
A) Genetic diversity indices			
<i>He</i>	0.61 \pm 0.08 ^{a b}	0.58 \pm 0.10 ^a	0.64 \pm 0.05 ^b
<i>Ho</i>	0.57 \pm 0.07 ^a	0.54 \pm 0.11 ^a	0.64 \pm 0.04 ^b
<i>AR</i>	4.64 \pm 0.86 ^a	4.32 \pm 0.89 ^a	5.23 \pm 0.62 ^b
B) Network parameters			
<i>Degree</i>	4.9 \pm 0.3 ^a	4.4 \pm 0.3 ^a	4.3 \pm 0.2 ^a
<i>Edge length</i>	6.7 \pm 0.3 ^a	7.2 \pm 0.3 ^a	8.5 \pm 0.2 ^b
<i>Closeness</i>	0.053 \pm 0.002 ^a	0.039 \pm 0.002 ^b	0.048 \pm 0.001 ^a

832

833 **Fig. 1.** Population graphs showing genetic connectivity for *Neochamaelea pulverulenta*
834 (Rutaceae) populations in Gran Canaria, Tenerife and La Gomera. Nodes represent
835 populations with node sizes indicating relative differences in within population genetic
836 variation. Edge lengths show the among population conditional genetic distances (cGD; see
837 Fig. S1 and Table S1 for details). Grey silhouettes illustrate extinct lizard taxa; black
838 silhouettes represent the three extant, widely distributed species (photos in Fig. S1). Lizard
839 silhouettes are scaled to indicate relative body sizes (largest silhouette= 502 mm SVL,
840 *Gallotia goliath* from Tenerife). The spatial extent of this map encompasses the entire species
841 geographic distribution of *N. pulverulenta* in the Canary Islands (see Fig. S1).

842 **Fig. 2.** Slopes of the isolation by resistance models (IBR) (Euclidean genetic distances ~
843 Resistance distances) of *Neochamaelea pulverulenta* (Rutaceae) estimated by applying
844 reduced major axis regressions. Points indicate mean slope and bars the bootstrapped 95 % CI
845 (1000 resamplings). Different letters in superscripts indicate significant differences among
846 islands: Gran Canaria (GC), Tenerife (TF), and La Gomera (Go). See Fig. S5 and table S3 for
847 a detailed account of IBR plots. See also Fig. S6 for results including cGD (conditional
848 genetic distance).

849 **Fig. 3.** Relationship resulting from the multiple matrix regression with randomization analysis
850 for the combined effects of topography complexity (Topo. compl.) and current vegetation
851 (Veg. act) resistance distances, and defaunation status (Defnum) on pairwise (within island)
852 genetic distances among a total of 80 sampled plant populations of *Neochamaelea*
853 *pulverulenta* (Rutaceae) in Gran Canaria (GC), Tenerife (TF), and La Gomera (Go). Separate
854 regression lines for each island are shown. The fitted model is: Euclidean genetic distance =
855 0.167 (defaunation) + 0.522 (topographic complexity distance) + 0.195 (current vegetation
856 distance). The inset corresponds to the conditional plot for just the effects of defaunation level
857 when controlling for the effects of two other covariates, estimated with the R package *visreg*.

858 Horizontal, blue, lines show mean GD values (with 95% confidence intervals in grey) for
859 each island after controlling for differences in topographic complexity and current vegetation.
860 See also Fig. S7 for results including cGD (conditional genetic distance).