Improving magnetic properties of ultrasmall magnetic nanoparticles by biocompatible coatings
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This paper deals with the effect of a biocompatible surface coating layer on the magnetic properties of ultrasmall iron oxide nanoparticles. Particles were synthesized by laser pyrolysis and fully oxidized to maghemite by acid treatment. The surface of the magnetic nanoparticles was systematically coated with either phosphonate (phosphonoacetic acid or pamidronic acid) or carboxylate-based (carboxymethyl dextran) molecules and the binding to the nanoparticle surface was analyzed. Magnetic properties at low temperature show a decrease in coercivity and an increase in magnetization after the coating process. Hysteresis loop displacement after field cooling is significantly reduced by the coating, in particular, for particles coated with pamidronic acid, which show a 10% reduction of the displacement of the loop. We conclude that the chemical coordination of carboxylates and phosphonates reduces the surface disorder and enhances the magnetic properties of ultrasmall maghemite nanoparticles. © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4908132

I. INTRODUCTION

In a colloidal dispersion the particles always show Brownian motion and hence collide with each other continuously. The stability of these systems is thus determined by the interaction between the particles during such a collision. In the case of magnetic colloids there are always attractive forces between the nanoparticles (van der Waals forces and dipole-dipole interaction) which need to be balanced with repulsive forces to impart stability to the system. This repulsion can be obtained either with an electrical double layer (electrostatic stabilization) or with adsorbed or chemically attached polymeric molecules (steric stabilization). The combination of both stabilization mechanisms leads to electros- teric stabilization.

When biological applications are aimed, the stability of magnetic nanoparticles in aqueous dispersions at physiological pH and ionic strength becomes a key point. Numerous approaches to this problem are reported in the literature. Thus, coatings with polysaccharides like dextran2,3 polymers4,5 or organic molecules and surfactants6,7 are becoming very popular due to the low effect on its stability of the buffers employed in biochemistry. Inorganic coatings as those based on silica,8,9 gold,10–12 and carbon13,14 seem to be very promising as well due to their resistance to degradation and functionalization possibilities. Many recent studies have focused on multilayer coatings which are used to tune the nanoparticles surface and add extra-functionality. For instance, charged molecules are usually first bonded to the particle surface to attain electrostatic stabilization and then, further modified with a biomolecule or a specific ligand to provide special features.15,16

Magnetic and chemical structures at the surface layer are usually greatly different from the nanoparticle core and strongly dependent on the synthesis process. As a result of the interactions between the particle surface and the coating, magnetic properties can be modified. Understanding these changes in magnetic behavior is critical for developing magnetic nanoparticles for biomedical applications since once the particles are internalized in the human body, they are inevitably encapsulated with biological ligands associated with the body’s defense system. This process usually changes the nanoparticle aggregation state affecting the magnetic properties as well.

Leading researchers have previously described the effect of ligands chemically bonded to the nanoparticle surface on their magnetic properties.17–30 However, the variety in synthesis methods and grafting protocols hinder the comparison of the results, which are contradictory in some cases. Thus, some authors have described a decrease in saturation magnetization after coating due to high anisotropy field, spin-pinning phenomena or the presence of a dead layer at the surface.18–27 Other authors have found an improvement in the magnetic properties due to a reduction of the spin-canting or crystal field splitting energy evoked by the coordination of ligands at the particle surface.17,28–30

The aim of this work is to shed new light on the real effect of the coatings on the magnetic properties of iron oxide nanoparticles. Maghemite nanoparticles with high specific surface area (3 nm in size) synthesized by a gas phase method in absence of surfactants and recrystallized in acid media are used as reference sample. This sample was coated with three biocompatible molecules (phosphonoacetic acid (PAA), pamidronic acid or carboxymethyl dextran) and systematically characterized and compared with bare nanoparticles.
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II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Synthesis of magnetic nanoparticles

Iron oxide nanoparticles were synthesized by laser pyrolysis following a procedure described before.\(^\text{31,32}\) The experimental synthetic conditions were the following: 10°C precursor temperature, 60 W continuous wave CO\(_2\) laser power, 3.5 mm laser spot diameter, 400 mbar pressure, 1726 sccm Ar windows flux, 105 sccm Ar axial flux, and a carrier gas flux formed by a mixture of 12 sccm of C\(_2\)H\(_4\) and 75 sccm of air. The particles were treated with nitric acid and iron nitrate to fully oxidize the magnetic iron oxide to maghemite, activate their surface and improve their magnetic and colloidal properties.\(^\text{33}\) Briefly, 350 mg of the dried samples were treated with 2 ml of 2 M aqueous solution of HNO\(_3\) under sonication (ultrasonic bath ELMASONIC S30) for 15 min. Then, 2 ml of aqueous solution of Fe(NO\(_3\))\(_3\) (1 M) and 6 ml of water were added, followed by boiling to reflux for 30 min. After cooling down at room temperature, 2 ml of 2 M aqueous solution of HNO\(_3\) were added and the sample was treated under sonication for 15 min. Finally, the resulting samples were dialyzed in 5 L of distilled water by using a membrane with a 12000–14000 nominal molecular weight cut-off to remove the excess of acid and salts.

B. Coating

Acid-treated samples prepared by laser pyrolysis were coated as described below. Note that all the coating procedures are described for 2 ml of an acid treated sample with no size selection ([Fe] = 6 mg/ml).

The coatings aim to stabilize the particles in aqueous medium at physiological pH as well as to link the nanoparticles to a specific ligand. To this purpose, we have chosen negatively charged coatings which provide an active terminal group (COOH or NH\(_2\)) that can be further functionalized. In addition, the coating molecules have a backbone structure based on either a phosphonate or a carboxylate group. Phosphonoacetic acid (PAA = ((HO)\(_2\)P(O)CH\(_2\)CO\(_2\)H CAS:4408-78-0)) and Carboxymethyl dextran (CM-dextran = (C\(_2\)H\(_4\)O\(_3\)XNA\(_X\) CAS:39422-83-8)) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, whereas Pamidronic acid (3-amino-1-hydroxypropane-1,1-diy)bisphosphonic acid (C\(_3\)H\(_{11}\)NO\(_3\)P\(_2\) CAS:40391-99-9) was provided by Conier Chem&Pharma. All the coatings are approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for intravenous administration.

1. Phosphonate-based coating molecules

PAA coating: 2 ml of treated sample were dispersed in 120 ml of distilled water at pH 2.5. Then, 4 ml of a solution 0.05 M of PAA (0.2 mmol) were added drop-wise under 30 min sonication. Pamidronic acid coating: As pamidronic acid is relatively difficult to dissolve in distilled water, previous to the coating, a stock solution of pamidronic acid was prepared by mixing 7.5 mg of pamidronic acid (0.032 mmol), 20 ml of water and 65 \(\mu\)l of KOH 1 M. The solution was stirred during 30 min at 40°C to facilitate the dissolution. 2 ml of treated sample were dispersed in 20 ml of distilled water at pH 2.5. Subsequently, the solution of pamidronic acid previously prepared was added drop by drop and sonicated for 30 min. Differences in the coating molecule concentration are due to the different solubility of both compounds.

2. Carboxylate-based coating molecules

CM-dextran coating: First, 2 ml of treated sample were dispersed in 1.25 ml of distilled water at pH 2.5 (adjusted with HNO\(_3\)). Second, 3.125 ml of a solution of 2 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) M of CM-dextran (6.25 \(\mu\)mol) were added drop by drop. Finally, the dispersion was sonicated for 12 h.

In all the cases, the excess of coating was washed by dialysis against distilled water. The pH of the dispersions was adjusted first to 11 with KOH 1 M and, then, to 7 with HNO\(_3\) 1 M. This forward/reverse pH adjustment guarantees a better anchoring of the coating molecule to the nanoparticle surface.\(^\text{34}\)

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Surface effects on magnetic properties are more noticeable in surfactant free nanoparticles with very small size since the surface: volume ratio is much larger. Moreover, in the sub-5 nm particles synthesized by laser pyrolysis there are many surface anomalies (e.g., defects and spin canting) that made these nanoparticles especially sensitive to coatings processes and bonding. Thus, we have focused this study on 3 nm particles synthesized by laser pyrolysis.

Figure 1 shows a representative micrograph of the uncoated sample used as reference. The particles exhibited spheroidal shape and an average size of 3.0 ± 0.8 nm. The XRD pattern is typical for maghemite\(^\text{35}\) and comparable to laser pyrolysis samples previously studied.\(^\text{33}\) The crystallite size, as calculated from Scherrer’s equation using the half width of the (311) X-ray diffraction peak, is approximately 3 nm, which is in good agreement with the TEM data. After the coating procedure the particle size is the same within experimental error.

FIG. 1. TEM micrograph of the uncoated 3 nm iron oxide nanoparticles studied in this work. The scale bar is 10 nm.
The success of the coating process is confirmed by the change in the isoelectric point value (Figure 2 and Table I), which is shifted towards lower pH values (from 9 to 3 or 2). After the coating process all the samples were negatively charged (>30 mV) and stable at physiological pH (7.4).

The hydrodynamic diameter of coated particles is summarized in Table I. Aggregate size was increased almost three times (17 nm in the uncoated sample at pH = 3 up to 76 nm in the sample coated with pamidronic acid). This increase in the hydrodynamic size cannot be only explained by the presence of the coating molecules around the magnetic particles but also reflects the usual fact that the coating leads to coated aggregates rather than coated individual nanoparticles. The smallest hydrodynamic sizes correspond to the PAA coating with a polydispersity degree of 0.26 in good agreement with the higher surface charge.

The chemical analysis of the samples based on the thermogravimetric data and the elemental analysis are presented in Table II.

The maximum coating efficiency is attained by the polymeric carboxydextran molecule. Notably, the amount of phosphate groups in the sample coated with the pamidronic acid (a biphosphonate) is nearly three times smaller than in the sample coated with phosphonoacetic acid. This could give us information about the type of bonding between the coating molecule and the particle surface. Thus, the pamidronic acid seems to be less densely packed on the surface as a consequence of its complex bonding in bidentate or double monodentate way could affect several coordinating positions on the surface while the PAA is bonded in a simple monodentate way reach higher surface densities (Figure 3).

This versatility of carboxylates and phosphonates for chemical coordination has been stressed in numerous studies as well as the influence of the carboxylate bridging mode on the resulting chemical and physical properties of the nanoparticles. The presence of empty d orbitals in phosphorous has been mentioned as responsible for superexchange interactions among neighbor surface Fe atoms via monodentate bonds. For this reason, the understanding of the binding mode of the carboxylic and phosphonate groups to the particle surface would help us to foresee the properties of the coated nanoparticles. Consequently, we can take advantage of FTIR spectroscopy because it can differentiate symmetrical and asymmetrical coordination modes. Since the stretching mode frequencies are sensitive to the bond strength, IR spectroscopy can be directly used to investigate the binding behavior.

Figure 4 displays the FTIR spectra of the uncoated and coated nanoparticles. The bands in the low frequency region (under 750 cm\(^{-1}\)) correspond to the Fe-O bonds of the iron oxide nanoparticles. The broad vibration band between 3600 and 3200 cm\(^{-1}\) is associated with the OH stretching vibrations of water molecules (physisorbed molecular water) while those around 1620 cm\(^{-1}\) are associated with their bending mode. This last band at 1620 cm\(^{-1}\) could overlap with the C=O stretch band of the unbounded carboxylic groups at 1600 cm\(^{-1}\) (in the PAA and CM-dextran coatings). A characteristic sharp band at 1381 cm\(^{-1}\) typically attributed to nitrates can be observed in the non-coated particles spectra. We should emphasize that the uncoated sample was stored at pH 3 (nitric acid); consequently, nitrate anions and nitric acid were present in this sample. The small bands at approximately 2930 cm\(^{-1}\) are attributable to the stretching mode of the C-H from CH\(_2\).

CM-dextran-coated-particles spectrum exhibits polysaccharide characteristic bands at 1157 and 1014 cm\(^{-1}\), due to C-O vibrations, and at 917, 852, and 766 cm\(^{-1}\) bands assigned to the stretch of ester bonds.

### Table I. Colloidal characterization of the samples before and after the coating process. IEP under 3 were not measured due to the dissolution of the cell electrodes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Z-ave (nm)</th>
<th>PdI</th>
<th>Size by Volume (nm)</th>
<th>IEP</th>
<th>Z-pot (mV) pH=7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uncoated</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>+35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAA</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>-41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pamidronic</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM-dextran</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>-44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II. Percentages of iron, oxygen, and phosphorous in the samples determined by chemical analysis. The weight loss percentage calculated from the thermogravimetric analysis is also included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>%TG</th>
<th>%Fe</th>
<th>%O</th>
<th>%P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uncoated</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAA</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pamidronic</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM-dextran</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 2. Z-potential versus pH measured in KNO\(_3\) 10\(^{-2}\) M for the uncoated acid-treated sample synthesized by laser pyrolysis (black) and the coated samples (blue, red, and green).

FIG. 3. Schematic representation of the monodentate (left) and bidentate (right) bonding options between the PAA and the iron oxide nanoparticle surface. As the pamidronic acid has two phosphonate groups, two more options are possible: The double monodentate and the double bidentate.
corresponding to α-glucopyranose ring deformation modes. Similar spectra were reported before for dextran-coated iron oxide nanoparticles and related to a soft binding (hydrogen bonding) between the dextran hydroxyl groups and the iron oxide particle surface. However, the ratio between the maximum intensity of the band at 1600 cm⁻¹ and the band at 1420 cm⁻¹ is smaller in the CM-dextran-coated particles than in the molecular CM-dextran indicating that part of the carboxylic groups of the CM-dextran are chemisorbed as a carboxylate onto the iron oxide nanoparticle surface, and the two oxygen atoms in the carboxylate are coordinated symmetrically to the Fe atoms.

Discriminating the different observed bands and assigning them to a given phosphate complex is a difficult task. The different groups bounded to the P have a strong influence on the electronic density around the P and therefore on the position of the bands. Besides, the protonation states of the surface complexes influence the vibrational frequencies so the sample pH (even in the case of dried samples) may contribute to the band shift. Moreover, differences in methodology (as FTIR analysis of liquid or solid samples, vacuum, etc.) or even the hydration degree can lead to important differences in the FTIR spectra. Thus, large differences in band position and number are observed in the bibliography.

The infrared spectra of both, particles coated with PAA and pamidronic acid, presented four bands at 1100, 1037, 983, and 885 cm⁻¹. This spectrum was related before to a monodentate bond between the particle surface and the phosphonate group. We could not ascertain the nature of the phosphonate iron bond that could be simple FeOPO(OH)(R) or bridged between two neighbor surface Fe atoms Fe₂O₂PO(R) as reported. The differences between both coatings can be attributed to the different organic radical, slightly different adsorption pH, and the different phosphonates concentration.

Magnetic measurements of the coated and uncoated samples were performed and expressed in terms of iron mass (Figure 5).

In this work, the comparison between the different samples was done in terms of magnetization per gram of iron because otherwise the coating mass hid the effect on the magnetic properties. All the coating molecules used in this work show diamagnetic behavior and their contribution to the magnetic properties of the samples is negligible. However, the effect of the molecule mass is really noticeable in the case of the carboxymethyl dextran, whose molecular weight is almost 100 times larger than that of the rest of the coatings. Table III lists the saturation magnetization (Ms), the magnetization at 50 kOe (M₅₀kOe) and the initial susceptibility (χ) of all the samples at room temperature and 5 K as a function of the sample mass and the iron mass. Besides, coercivity values are also included.

The magnetization data at 50 kOe are included because the saturation magnetization (Ms) cannot be accurately obtained by extrapolating M versus 1/H in the high field region (1/H = 0 in the high field region for these particles) due to the small particle size and disordered structure of the samples.
All the samples show significant changes in magnetic properties after coating, especially at 5K. The $M_{50kOe}$ at room temperature is approximately the same in all cases and around 10–14 emu/g Fe, while at 5 K higher magnetizations (approximately 25–28 emu/g Fe) are observed. Larger magnetization values are showed by coating the samples respect to the uncoated one, discarding a particle size reduction due to dissolution of the nanoparticles during the coating process at acidic pH. Similarly, the initial susceptibility is constant at room temperature but it is drastically increased at 5 K. Moreover, the coercivity at 5 K is smaller after coating. These changes in the magnetic properties are more noticeable in the samples coated with pamidronic acid which shows a 12% increase in $M_{50kOe}$, a 62% increase in $\chi$, and a 24% decrease in coercivity at 5 K respect to the uncoated sample.

Figure 6 shows magnetic moment versus temperature in zero field cooled (ZFC) and field cooled (FC) states. On the right hand side, the temperature of the maximum in the ZFC curve is depicted. Notably, in all cases TM is practically the same as in the uncoated sample (around 35 K) showing no changes in the particle size distribution. ZFC and FC curves for all the samples tend to be superimposed just after TM following the Langevin law in spite of the presence of aggregates as observed by Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) measurements and therefore weak interactions.49

As the coating molecules are bonded to the particle surface, they could affect somehow surface disorder which can lead to loop displacement after field cooling.33 Thus, field cooling hysteresis loops at 50 kOe were measured (Figure 7) and the magnitude of this shift ($H_5$) and the coercivity ($H_c$) were calculated. The magnitude of the loop shift ($H_5$) and coercivity ($H_c$) were calculated by $H_5 = -(H_{0+} + H_{0-})/2$ and $H_c = (H_{0+} - H_{0-})/2$, respectively. The results of these calculations are listed on Table IV.

The coercivity ($H_c$) is reduced in all cases and $H_5$ decreases in the samples coated with CM-dextran and pamidronic acid, but not in the sample coated with PAA. Again, the sample coated with pamidronic acid shows the most drastic effect, with a reduction of 10% in $H_5$.

It is known that the effect of the coatings on the magnetic properties could be attributed to (a) change in the particle-size distribution (b) particle interactions or (c) spin canting.

### Table III. Saturation magnetization ($M_s$), magnetization at 50 kOe ($M_{50kOe}$), and initial susceptibility ($\chi$) of the coated and uncoated samples at room temperature and 5 K as a function of the sample mass and the iron mass. Besides, the coercivity ($H_c$) values are also included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$T$ (K)</th>
<th>$H_c$ (Oe)</th>
<th>$g$ sample</th>
<th>$g$ Fe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$M_{50kOe}$ (emu/g)</td>
<td>$M_s$ (emu/g)</td>
<td>$\chi$ (emu/g Oe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncoated</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2130</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pamidronic</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1610</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM-dextran</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nature of this study enables us to discard changes in particle size distribution since coating do not modify size distribution. On the other hand, interparticle interactions may affect the magnetic behaviour of the samples.50 However, magnetic properties of CM-dextran-coated sample (72% organic content, Table II) where the interparticle interactions should be smaller, follows the same trend as the others and presents larger $M_{50kOe}$ and smaller coercivity than PAA-coated sample (18% organic content), where smaller interparticle distances and larger interactions are expected.

In addition, the effect of aggregation and interparticle interactions becomes less significant if we compare PAA and Pamidronic acid coated particles. The size of the coating molecule and amount of coating is comparable (18% and
It was also reported a reduction of the saturation magnetization after the coordination of citric acid to the nanoparticle surface by a double-monodentate bond (FeO)₂PO(R) similar to the reported by Yee et al.²⁷ This type of anchoring would facilitate the coordination of ligands within the frame of the crystal field splitting energy and thus, induce a stronger reduction of the surface disorder. The smaller effect of the phosphonoacetic acid could be related with the more electronegative character of the free carboxylate group in this molecule, as proposed by Vestal et al.¹⁷

We could therefore conclude that in the case of ultra-small maghemite nanoparticles, both carboxylates and phosphonates reduce the spin canting on the surface. The effect of the phosphonates is larger due to a double-monodentate or bidentate bond that leads to higher surface order than the carboxylate anchored to the surface by a single bond.

The observed loop shift has been interpreted as an exchange bias between a ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic core and the uncompensated spins of the spin-glass surface.⁵⁴,⁵⁵ In this case, the lack of saturation could be responsible for the loop shift and the reduction of the magnitude of this loop shift is directly caused by a decrease in the surface disorder.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Stable colloidal dispersions of magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles at physiological pH were prepared by coating 3 nm maghemite particles synthesized by laser pyrolysis with PAA, pamidronic acid and carboxymethyl dextran.

The effect of these coatings on the magnetic properties was studied with magnetization curves, ZFC-FC and studies of the exchange anisotropy field. We conclude that in this study the differences in magnetic behavior are due to the reduction of the surface disorder, and, to a lesser degree, to interparticle interactions. Notwithstanding its limitations, this study does suggest that the number of bonds between a molecule and the particle surface has a strong effect on the reduction of the surface disorder. The higher reduction of the exchange anisotropy field is achieved by the molecules attached to the particle surface by double-monodentate or bidentate bonds.
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