Deciphering intracellular events triggered by mild magnetic hyperthermia in vitro and in vivo

Aim: To assess the cell response to magnetic nanoparticles under an alternating magnetic field by molecular quantification of heat responsive transcripts in two model systems. Materials & methods: Melanoma cells and Hydra vulgaris treated with magnetic nanoparticles were subjected to an alternating magnetic field or to macroscopic heating. Effect to these treatments were assessed at animal, cellular and molecular levels. Results: By comparing hsp70 expression following both treatments, thermotolerance pathways were found in both systems in absence of cell ablation or global temperature increment. Conclusion: Analysis of hsp70 transcriptional activation can be used as molecular thermometer to sense cells’ response to magnetic hyperthermia. Similar responses were found in cells and Hydra, suggesting a general mechanism to the delivery of sublethal thermal doses.
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a synergistic interaction between heat and radiation therapy and/or chemotherapy has been confirmed in clinical trials [16,17]. Hence, investigation of sublethal HT effects is recently focusing a special attention. However, in spite of much progress in this type of HT in the last years, the clinical impact has been modest. It is thought that understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying these cellular responses to mild hyperthermia will contribute to the enhancement of cancer therapy, namely by gaining knowledge on the acquired sensitivity of cells to ionizing radiation or the transient thermotolerance [18].

It is well known that the consequences of the heat-induced stress depend on temperature and exposure time at a specific temperature and as far as the temperature stress stimulus does not go beyond a certain threshold, the cell can cope with it [19]. The temperature deviations, which act on the cell, find a reflexion at the molecular level by the induced synthesis of heat shock proteins (HSPs) [20]. It has been widely reported that MHT can cause overexpression of HSP70 specially when high temperatures are reached [21], but the molecular response for temperature elevation can be much complex and unexplained, especially in the context of sublethal hyperthermia. Furthermore, in several recent studies it has been postulated that cells can respond diversely depending on the nature of the heating agents. MHT appears to be more efficient in inducing cell death in vitro than hot-water HT, suggesting that cell damage induced by AMF must be due to temperature-induced alterations in molecular pathways [22]. In principle, during MHT the heat generation is localized on the magnetic particles and dissipate immediately to surrounding microenvironment creating a temperature increase inside the cells. Although many approaches have been developed to measure MNP-localized increments of temperature in solution [23,24], much less attention has been dedicated to understand how the heat propagates inside biological environments and, even more interesting, what the cells really sense when intracellular hyperthermia occurs (in contrast with macroscopic heating).

Given the emerging clinical impact of MNPs, deciphering the mechanisms underlying cell response to nanohot appears an urgent need. In the present paper, we addressed this issue by investigating cell and molecular responses to mild MHT, showing that overexpression of chaperones can also take place without a global temperature increment. We used two different biological models, in vitro cultured cells (murine melanoma cells) and the aquatic invertebrate Hydra vulgaris, recently emerged as an excellent model for high-throughput screening of nanoparticles bioactivity and toxicity [25–29]. Normally cultured at 18°C, Hydra offers the undeniable advantage to test the type and the intensity of the thermal stress produced by MHT, in a simple tissue-like structure. With the aim to characterize the cell response to MNPs in absence of cell ablation, we performed a comprehensive study in cells and in Hydra treated with MNPs and by means of animal, cell and molecular biology, we showed the modulation of the hsp70 gene expression in both systems. By comparing the response of the two systems to the MNP-mediated hyperthermia and to the external heating, we unexpectedly found similar responses in cells and Hydra, suggesting a general mechanism underlying the response of eukaryotic cells to the delivery of sublethal thermal doses. Moreover, we propose the quantitative analysis of hsp70 transcriptional activation as novel molecular thermometers to sense the cell response to MNP-induced thermal solicitation, otherwise unetectable. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that mRNA levels are used to assess differences in cells’ responses depending on the nature of the heating (MHT vs external heat).

**Materials & methods**

**Synthesis & functionalization of water-soluble MNPs**

Unless otherwise stated, all reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (MO, USA). Monodisperse MNPs of 16.6 ± 1.3 nm diameter were synthesized following the seed-mediated growth protocol described by Sun et al. [30]. First, 5 nm MNP seeds were synthesized by mixing and stirring under a flow of argon Iron (III) acetylacetonate Fe(acac)₃ (1 eq), 1,2-hexadecanediol (17.4 eq), oleic acid (6.4 eq) and oleylamine (4.8 eq) solubilized in benzyl ether (26.7 mM with respect to Fe(acac)₃). The mixture was heated to 200°C (180°C/h) during 2 h and heated to reflux for 1 h. After cooling down the MNPs, they were subsequently washed with ethanol, and re-dissolved in hexane. These MNPs were used as seeds to obtain 9 nm MNPs by mixing and stirring under a flow of argon the following chemicals: Fe(acac)₃ (1 eq), 1,2-hexadecanediol (4.5 eq), oleic acid (0.7 mL), oleylamine (0.5 mL) and 5 nm MNP seeds (31 mg Fe) solubilized in benzyl ether (100 mM with respect to Fe(acac)₃). The mixture was heated up to 100°C for 30 min, to 200°C for 1 h and last heated to reflux for 30 min. The mixture was washed as before. Finally, these MNPs were used as seeds to obtain 16.6 nm MNPs following this last procedure.

The transfer into water was performed using a modified protocol based on a previously reported method [31]. Briefly, 542 mg of poly(maleic anhydride-alt-1-octadecene); PMAO MW 30000–50000 was added to a flask containing 200 mL of chloroform and...
placed in an ultrasonic bath. Twenty milligrams of MNP's diluted in CHCl₃ were added drop-wise and the mixture was left in the ultrasonic bath for further 15 min. The solvent was slowly removed under vacuum and 20 ml of 0.05 M NaOH was added to transfer the MNPs to the aqueous phase. To remove the excess of unbound polymer, the solution was centrifuged at 75,600 × g for 2 h twice.

Fluorescent MNPs were obtained by modifying the polymer with tetramethylrhodamine 5(6)-carboxamide cadaverine (TAMRA; Anaspec [Seraing, Belgium]). As already reported [32], 1% of the polymer monomers were modified with TAMRA before transferring the MNPs into water.

Functionalization with glucose or PEG 750 Da was performed by incubating 1 mg of MNP's with 3 mg of N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N'-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC), 25 μmol of 4-aminophenyl β-D-glucopyranoside or α-methoxy-o-aminopoly(ethylene glycol) 750 Da (Rapp Polymere GmbH [Tuebingen, Germany]) in 250 μl of SSB buffer pH 9 (50 mM of boric acid and 50 mM of sodium borate). After 2 h of incubation, the ligand excess was removed by washing the MNPs with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.4 in a centrifugal filter with a membrane of 100 kDa molecular weight limit (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany).

**General procedures for MNP characterization**

MNPs were characterized using transmission electron microscopy (TEM; FEI Tecnai T20, OR, USA). Dynamic light scattering and zeta-potential (ζ-potential) measurements were performed on a Brookhaven Zetasizer instrument (NY, USA) at 25°C. DLS and ζ-potential measurements were performed in water, combining ten runs per measurement. Iron was quantified using a standard colorimetric procedure already reported [23]. Briefly, the samples were allowed to incubate with aqua regia for 15 min at 60°C after which Milli-Q water was added to make up a volume of 500 μl. KOH and 4,5-dihydroxy-1,3-benzene-disulfonic acid disodium salt monohydrate were added to a final concentration of 0.9 N and 12 mM, respectively. Finally, 0.2 M sodium phosphate buffer pH 9.7 was added to make up a final volume of 210 μl and the solution was left at room temperature for 15 min. The sample’s measurement of absorbance at 480 nm was carried out on a Thermo Scientific Multisiskan™ GO UV/Vis microplate spectrophotometer (MA, USA). A calibration curve was performed following the same procedure using iron standard solution (Acros Organics, Geel, Belgium) as reference.

The specific absorption rate from the MNPs was performed on a DM100 system (nanoScale Biomag- netics, Zaragoza, Spain) operating at a frequency of 835.25 kHz and a field of 20.05 kA/m.

**Cell/animal culture**

**Cells**

Murine melanoma cell line B16-F10 (ATCC® number CRL-6475) was cultured at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere in Dulbeco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM™; Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 5% glutamine and 5% penicillin/streptomycin.

**Hydra**

*Hydra vulgaris* (strain Zurich) were asexually cultured in Hydra medium (1 mM CaCl₂, 0.1 mM NaHCO₃, pH 7) according to the method of Loomis and Lenhoff with minor modifications [33]. The animals were fed 3-times a week, on alternate days, with *Artemia* nauplii and kept at 18°C with a 16:8 h light:dark regimen.

**Viability & toxicity testing**

**Cells**

To determine cell viability, MTT (3-(4,5-dimethyl-thiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium) test was performed, and 1 × 10⁴ cells were seeded in 96-well culture plates and cultured for 24 h. MNPs functionalized with glucose at various concentrations (50, 100 and 150 μg Fe/ml) were added in DMEM and incubated for 24 h. Cells were thoroughly washed with DPBS and 20 μl of MTT solution (5 mg/ml in PBS) was added to each well. Following 4 h of incubation at 37°C, formazan salts were dissolved with 200 μl of DMSO and the absorbance (Abs) was determined at λ = 570 nm on a microplate reader (Thermo Scientific Multisiskan GO UV/Vis microplate spectrophotometer). Control wells including cells treated with Triton X100 (positive control) or cells without MNPs (negative control) were also analyzed.

**Hydra**

Budless polyps were selected for *in vivo* experiments, performed in air-conditioned environment (~20°C). The tests were initiated by collecting groups of 15 animals in plastic multiwells, containing 300 μl of *Hydra* medium, followed by the addition of MNPs functionalized with PEG at different concentrations (from 0.1 to 2.5 mg Fe/ml) and incubation for 24 h. Following extensive washing, polyp morphology and tissue integrity were evaluated by optical microscopy. Adverse effects were scored through a morphological/behavioral evaluation of *Hydra* polyps. To test nanoparticle impact on *Hydra* growth, four polyps (with one bud) were treated with the indicated 2.5 mg Fe/ml, for 24 h, then washed, and the following day placed in...
3.5 cm Petri dishes (1 Hydra/dish). Control animals at the same developmental stage were not treated. Both treated and control Hydra were fed once daily. The growth rate constant (k) of Hydra population is defined as \( \ln(n/n_0) = kt \) where \( n \) is the number of animals at time \( t \) and \( n_0 \) the number of animal at \( t_0 \) [31].

**Internalization study**

MNP internalization in cells and Hydra animals was confirmed by fluorescence microscopy (using MNPs modified with TAMRA), TEM and inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) or inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS).

To perform fluorescence microscopy analysis, 1 × 10^5 cells were seeded on glass coverslips in a 24-well plate (TPP, Trasadingen, Switzerland). Twenty-four h later, 50 μg Fe/ml of MNPs functionalized with glucose were added in DMEM and left for 2 h. Non-internalized MNPs were removed washing with PBS thrice. Cells were fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 20 min at 4°C, washed twice with DPBS, and incubated for 10 min with DAPI for nuclei labeling. The coverslips were mounted on glass microscope slides. Fluorescence microscopy was performed using an inverted microscope (Nikon Eclipse Ti-E, Amsterdam, The Netherlands).

In case of Hydra animals, for in vivo fluorescence imaging, 25 polyps were soaked in culture medium supplemented with TAMRA-labeled MNPs (2.5 mg Fe/ml). After 24-h incubation, animals were relaxed supplemented with TAMRA-labeled MNPs (2.5 mg Fe/ml). Animals were extensively washed upon 24-h incubation, they were anesthetized in urethane (2% w/v) and fixed for 2 h in 2% glutaraldehyde in Hydra medium, extensively washed and postfixed for 45 min with 1% buffered OsO4. After a series of four washes, animals were dehydrated in a graded ethanol and incubated 2 × 15 min with propylene oxide and then overnight in a 1:1 mixture of propylene oxide/EMbed 812 resin (Electron Microscopy Sciences, PA, USA). Before flat embedding, animals were incubated 2 × 2 h in Epon 12 Resin. Sample serial thin (70 nm) section was cut with a diamond knife and mounted onto 150–200 mesh hexagonal tem grid (G200TT-Ni, Electron Microscopy Sciences). Thin sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate. Ultrathin sections were examined with Leo 912 AB transmission electron microscope operating at 80 kV.

Intracellular iron determination was performed by ICP. For ICP measurements, 1 × 10^5 cells or 150 Hydra polyps incubated for 24 h with MNPs as explained before, were treated with 100 μl of Piranha solution (>85% sulfuric acid and >50% hydrogen peroxide) for 15 min and 300 μl of aqua regia for 2 h. Subsequently, the samples were incubated at 60°C for 15 min and diluted with Milli-Q water to 20 ml. Samples were evaluated by ICP-AES (Optima 8300, Perkin Elmer [MO, USA]) or ICP-MS (Xseries2, Thermo Scientific).

**Magnetic hyperthermia & heat shock assays**

AMF assays were performed on a DM100 system (nanoScale Biomagnetics) with a frequency of 835.25 kHz and a field of 20.05 kA/m. Prior to every experiment, culture medium was subjected to AMF for 30 min in order to measure the bulk solution temperature. The bulk solution temperature was measured with an optic fiber sensor incorporated in the equipment.

**Cells**

Two glass cover slips seeded with 1 × 10^5 cells each and treated and nontreated with glucose-derivatized MNPs (50 μg Fe/ml) were exposed to AMF for 30 min recording the obtained temperature ramp. In order to mimic the temperature ramp obtained by AMF, a similar experiment was set up by heating the sample at the same temperature ramp obtained by AMF. The experiments were carried out in duplicate.

Macroscopic heat shock was performed by exposing cells in an incubator to different temperatures (39, 41 and 43°C) for 30 min. Immediately after the treatment,
cell viability was analyzed using Trypan blue staining. The experiments were carried out in triplicate.

**Hydra**

Untreated and PEG-derivatized MNPs (2.5 mg Fe/ml) treated animals were exposed to AMF for 30 min as in the case of cells. After AMF treatment, the animals were collected in a small Petri dish and employed for further investigations. Group of 20 polyps were employed for each test. The experiments were carried out in triplicate.

For macroscopic heat shock, 20 polyps for each experimental condition were incubated for 30 min in *Hydra* medium prewarmed at 18, 22, 26, 30, 34 and 37°C. Experiments were carried out in triplicate.

**Cell death & cell cycle analysis**

**Cells**

After AMF treatment, cells were incubated in standard growth conditions for 4 h, washed twice with PBS and harvested. The relative percentage of cells undergoing early and late apoptosis was assessed using Annexin-FITC and propidium iodide (PI) following manufacturer’s protocol (Immunostep, Salamanca, Spain). Flow cytometry was performed using a BD FACSARIA™ (CA, USA) equipment.

For cellular cycle analysis, cells were allowed to recover 24 h after AMF treatment. Cells were harvested, washed with PBS and incubated in 1 ml of cold ethanol for 24 h at 4°C. After extensive washing with PBS, a buffer containing propidium iodide (50 μg/ml) and RNase A (100 μg/ml) was added and incubated for 30 min. Samples were analyzed using a BD FACSArray (BD Biosciences).

**Hydra**

To assess the cell death, the number of phagocytosed pyknotic nuclei indicative of apoptotic cell death was evaluated by 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) staining [34]. Briefly, untreated and MNP-treated polyps in presence or absence of AMF were macerated and the single-cell suspensions were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and spread on slides. After extensive washing in PBS, macerates were stained with DAPI for 2 min and washed in PBS. Slides were observed with phase-contrast fluorescent microscopy to detect pyknotic nuclei. More than 300 cells were counted for each treatment and the percentage of apoptotic nuclei was determined. Propidium iodide staining (100 μg/ml, 5-min incubation) and fluorescence microscopy were used to detect necrotic cells in living polyps.

**Total RNA extraction & RT-PCR analysis**

The expression of *hsp70* gene was investigated by real-time quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) in B16-F10 melanoma cells and *Hydra* animals subjected to MHT or external heating.

To study the kinetics of the heat shock response in B16-F10 melanoma cells, *hsp70* mRNA (NCBI reference sequence: NM_010478.2) expression was analyzed at three time points after applying the AMF/external heating immediately after being exposed to the treatment (0 min), after 30 min and after 120 min of recovery from the treatment. During these postincubation times, cells were placed in standard growth conditions. Total RNA was extracted using the Total RNA Purification Kit (Norgen Biotek, Thorold, Canada) following the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA concentration and purity was assessed by UV spectrophotometry (Thermo Scientific Multiskan GO UV/Vis microplate spectrophotometer) at 260 and 280 nm, and running the samples in an agarose gel stained with Gel Red™ (Biotium, CA, USA).

A 1.5 μg of RNA was treated by DNase (Promega, WI, USA) and the first cDNA strand was synthesized using SuperScript® VILO™ cDNA synthesis kit (Invitrogen, CA, USA) according to manufacturer’s instructions. qRT-PCR was performed in 20 μl of reaction mixture comprising 1X iTaq™ Universal SYBR® Green Supermix (Biorad, CA, USA), serial dilutions of cDNA and 0.25 μM each primer (*hsp70* Forward primer: 5′-CTTGAGGTTCGTTCAAGAGGAAG-3′; Reverse primer: 5′-GGCTGATGAGTGTAGAAGTC-3′). The reactions were processed using the Bio-Rad CFX-96 Real Time PCR System under the following fast cycling steps: denaturation for 30 s at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 3 s, 60°C for 30 s. In addition, melting curves (20 min; from 59 to 90°C) were generated to check undesired amplification products. The *hsp70* gene expression was normalized to the expression of the housekeeping gene *gadph* (NCBI reference sequence: XM_001479371.4. Forward primer: 5′-TGCCCCCATGTTGTGTAG-3′; reverse primer: 5′-GTTGGAAGGAGAGCAGCAGCAG-3′). Each qRT-PCR reaction was performed in triplicate. At least three technical repeats from two biological replicates were carried out.

Gene-expression profile of *hsp70* in Hydra (*hyhsp70*, GenBank: DQ286045.1. Forward primer: gccagttcagataaacc; reverse primer: caatttgaggagcactctttcg) was evaluated after 30 min and 120 min AMF exposure/external heating. Total RNA was purified using Trizol® Reagent (Life Technologies) and its concentration was determined by SmartSpec plus spectrophotometer (Biorad). The first-strand cDNA was synthesized by High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystem®) using 0.5 μg of DNA-free RNA in a final volume of 20 μl according to the manufacturer’s instructions. qRT-PCR was
performed in 25 μ of reaction mixture consisting of 1x Express Sybr® GreenER qPCR SuperMix with pre-mixed ROX (Invitrogen), serial cDNA dilutions and 0.25 μM each primer. The reactions were processed using the StepOne Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystem) under the following cycling steps: initial denaturation for 2 min at 94°C, followed by 40 cycles at 94°C for 2 s, 59°C for 30 s. In addition, melting curves (20 min; from 59 to 90°C) were generated to check undesired amplification products. *Hydra EF HyEf-1α* (GenBank Accession number Z68181.1. Forward, 5'-CCAGGAGACAATGTCGGTTT; reverse 5'-GCTTCAATGGACGGATCATT-3') was used as an internal reference gene. Three technical repeats from two biological replicates were carried out. Primers were designed using Primer3 software [35]. The delta–delta Ct (2-ΔΔCt) method, for comparing relative expression results between treatments, was applied both for cell and *Hydra* expression analyses [36].

**Results & discussion**

**MNP synthesis & functionalization**

Since magnetic properties are strongly dependent on the size and shape of MNPs, the synthesis of uniformly shaped MNPs with well-controlled size distribution is a key aspect for hyperthermia applications. We synthesized MNPs sizing 16.6 nm ± 1.3 nm (Supplementary Figure 1, see online at www.future-medicine.com/doi/full/10.2217/NNM.15.70) using a previously reported seed-mediated method, based on the thermal decomposition of Fe(III) acetylacetone, as this methodology provides monodisperse MNPs with high crystallinity [30]. MNPs were prepared in organic solvents and coated with oleic acid, thus a subsequent transfer to aqueous solution was achieved following a modified version of a previously reported protocol (Supplementary Figure 2, in which the nanoparticles are coated and made water-stable suspension by means of an amphiphilic polymer shell, poly[maleic anhydride-alt-1-octadecen] [PMAO]) [31].

In order to track in vitro and in vivo the internalization and the intracellular fate, MNPs were fluorescently labeled by transferring them into water using the amphiphilic polymer already modified with a conventional fluorescent dye (TAMRA) [32]. MNPs were subsequently modified with glucose or PEG as these two biomolecules have been previously described to improve stability of nanoparticles in physiological conditions and increase the cellular and *Hydra* uptake [29,32], respectively. The ability to dissipate heat under an AMF was measured by the specific absorption rate given in Watt per gram of iron, which for the present MNPs and experimental conditions ($f = 835$ kHz; $H = 20.05$ kA/m) was found equal to 376 W/gFe.

**MNP toxicological impact & internalization in B16-F10 melanoma cells**

B16-F10 mouse melanoma cells were incubated for 24 h with different doses of MNPs (50, 100 and 150 μg Fe/ml). Cytotoxicity evaluation through MTT assay demonstrated that the cell viability was not significantly impaired (Figure 1A) by MNP treatments. By fluorescence microscopy, it was corroborated that 50 μg Fe/ml was sufficient to provide high cell uptake (Figure 1B). A red punctuated labeling pattern, resulting from fluorescently labeled MNPs, was localized abundantly within the cytoplasm. To get more insights into the MNPs intracellular localization, TEM analysis showed MNPs mostly compartmentalized into endocytic vesicles (Figure 1C & D). For further in vitro experiments the 50 μg Fe/ml of MNPs was selected. The internalization rate of MNPs was quantified by inductively coupled plasma spectroscopy (ICP), estimating an intracellular Fe content of 0.294 μg (0.49 pg Fe/cell).

**Cell & molecular responses to magnetic nanoparticles mediated magnetic hyperthermia in melanoma cells**

The main aim of this work was to assess the molecular effects caused by sublethal MHT after applying an AMF in cells incubated with MNPs. The recent interest for mild hyperthermia relies on the fact that while not inducing cytotoxicity effect per se [13], it can increase the efficiency of radiation therapy and/or chemotherapy. In fact, it can trigger a complex series of molecular and cellular responses. For instance, it has been described that a total number of 114 common genes, involved in cellular growth and maintenance pathways respond to mild hyperthermia in human cancer cells [37]. Unraveling these molecular responses may have a therapeutic interest.

Although it has been widely reported that MHT causes an increment of hsp70, it remains unclear whether this overexpression is also induced by sublethal hyperthermia, in absence of a global temperature increment. Therefore, here the studies were designed so that the culture media (global) temperature never exceed 37°C when applying the AMF. In order to minimize the effect of transient increase of the temperature due to different rates of heat generation and dissipation using an adiabatic AMF applicator [38], the intrinsic temperature of cell medium following AMF application at the instrument maximum frequency ($f = 835$ kHz; $H = 20.05$ kA/m), was carried out for 30 min. This measurement showed that a starting temper-
Fluorescence imaging of B16-F10 cells treated with TAMRA-labeled MNPs. The MNPs red fluorescence appears within the cytoplasm, distinct from the nucleus, stained by DAPI (blue; scale bar: 50 μm). TEM images show MNPs compartmentalized into endocytosis vesicles (scale bar: 200 nm).

Cell cycle analysis of B16-F10 melanoma cells under four different experimental conditions, as in (E). Not significant differences were shown between the different plots, indicating that the magnetic hyperthermia treatment triggered by MNPs does not affect the cell cycle.

AMF: Alternating magnetic field; MNP: Magnetic nanoparticle.
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Figure 1. Viability assay and internalization of magnetic nanoparticles in B16-F10 murine melanoma cells. (A) MTT test with increasing concentrations of MNPs (50, 100 and 150 μg Fe/ml) following 24 h of incubation. The measured absorbance from negative control (without MNPs) has been treated as 100% of viable cells. Cell death was assessed treating the cells with Triton X-100 as a positive control. Negative control (without MNPs) has been treated as 100% of viable cells. Cell death was assessed treating the cells with Triton X-100 as a positive control.

Figure 1E. Flow cytometry plots showing B16-F10 cells distribution following annexin/propidium iodide staining. Left column: MNP-AMF- and MNP+AMF-. Right column: MNP+AMF- and MNP+AMF+. (F) Cell cycle assessment of B16-F10 melanoma cells under four different experimental conditions, as in (E). Not significant differences were shown between the different plots, indicating that the magnetic hyperthermia treatment triggered by MNPs does not affect the cell cycle.

Molecular responses to nanoparticle-induced hyperthermia...
Intracellular sensors of temperature changes. Cells respond to heat by activating a plethora of molecular pathways, which initially preserve primary cell functions and then confer thermostolerance to the changing environment [41]. One of the most characterized responses to temperature increments is the transcriptional activation of HSP genes. Among the numerous proteins belonging to the HSP superfamily, HSP70 represents the most prominent molecular chaperone in several tissues [42]. Recently, it has been elegantly shown that a transgenic hsp reporter cell line, expressing the secreted bioluminescent reporter Metridia luciferase under the heat shock element (HSE), a hsp70 enhancer element, may be used to monitor intracellular heat evoked by MHT or by external heat [19], confirming the appropriateness to use the transcriptional activation of this gene as a sensible marker of temperature changes for manifold applications. In line with this work, we investigated possible effects produced by MNPs under AMF exposure in B16-F10 cells by monitoring the expression of hsp70 gene by qRT-PCR, which allows measuring subtle fluctuations of specific transcript accumulation. Murine hsp70 expression was analyzed at different points upon AMF exposure (Figure 2A), based on literature data reporting the most significant effects of heat stress on B16-F10 melanoma cells around 3 h post-heat shock [43]. The analyses of the changes in hsp70 expression levels triggered by MNPs showed a significant increase of hsp70 expression for those cells incubated with MNPs and exposed to AMF (MNP+AMF+) (Figure 2B). This effect was not observed for those cells not incubated with MNPs (MNP-AMF+) or not treated with AMF (MNP-AMF-). It must be mentioned that this increase of hsp70 expression was neither observed for the control cells (MNP-AMF-) or those cells incubated at 37°C for 30 min (Supplementary Figure 4).

Unexpectedly, the highest expression (1.7-fold change) was observed immediately after the treatment, dropping off after 30 min to reach control values at 120 min, indicating an early and temporally limited cell response. As B16-F10 cells have been reported to respond to external heat stress by activating hsp70 expression after 3, 6 and 24 h [43], our findings revealing a fast hsp70 upregulation might be explained by a different mechanism underlying heat generation. B16-F10 cells might sense intracellular punctate heating sources (MNPs) and elicit a fast activation of hsp70 to protect important cell structures and functions.

Estimation of intracellular heating mediated by magnetic hyperthermia
The heat shock response is characterized by a rapid boost in heat shock proteins upon exposure to environmental stresses. This evolutionarily conserved cellular mechanism is prominently regulated at transcriptional level [44]. Generally, the higher the thermal doses, the higher the hsp70 expression is. In principle, this well-known thermal kinetic may be harnessed to estimate the stress intensity induced by MNPs-mediated hyperthermia through the interpolation of hsp70 expression levels measured in response to MHT to the levels measured in response to macroscopic heating. To this aim, B16-F10 cells were exposed to an external heat stress (38, 39, 41 and 43°C) over 30 min. Despite Trypan blue assay showed no difference in survival rate induced by these heat shocks (Supplementary Figure 5), molecular analysis by qRT-PCR revealed strong hsp70 overexpression at 41°C and 43°C (~100- and 400-fold increase, respectively) immediately after the treatment, reaching a maximum 30 min after treatment (Figure 2C). Most interestingly, heat shocking the cells at 39°C elicits hsp70 overexpression comparable to that induced, at same time points, by AMF exposure (Figure 2B & C & Supplementary Figure 6). Taken together these results indicate that intracellular heating generated by MNPs during AMF exposure, while does not pose evident threats to cell survival and without surpassing 37°C, elicits a heat shock specific molecular response. As the starting temperature of the AMF treatment was 27°C, our data suggest that upon AMF melanoma cells respond to an intracellular hyperthermia-mediated stress similar to that induced when incubating the cells at 39°C, in other words, by a 12°C increase relative to the starting condition (Supplementary Figure 6). It should be mentioned that the hsp70 expression of the control cells (MNP-AMF+), impinged by the temperature ramp intrinsically induced by the AMF, was not affected. While the intracellular stress caused by MHT has been demonstrated, further experiments should be carried out to attain an accurate value of the intracellular temperature increase, thus the 12°C is only a rough estimation of the MHT. It must be pointed out, that this methodology allows only the measurement of intracellular temperatures which stimulate a detectable overexpression of hsp70 mRNA levels. Therefore, hsp70 may fail to sense short or extremely weak heat stress stimuli. Conversely, high hsp70 expression is only obtained with very high temperatures, being far of sublethal HT effects, the main aim of this study.

Although heat transfer at the nanoscale is one of the most controversial aspects of MHT, the results showed here go in line with other reports supporting the evidence that MNPs can deliver energy that gives rise to local effects. Previous work by some of us [23] and others [24] measured the local temperature at MNPs surface following AMF application in a bulk solu-
Figure 2. Magnetic nanoparticles trigger transcriptional activation of hsp70 in B16-F10 melanoma cells.

(A) A scheme showing the experimental design performed with B16-F10 cells and with Hydra under four different conditions. Cells/animals were treated 24 h with MNPs, and following 30-min exposure to AMF or external heat, harvested for RNA extraction and real-time quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis at the indicated time points. MNP+AMF- and MNP-AMF+ were used as controls. (B) qRT-PCR to monitor hsp70 expression levels under the four different conditions and three time points. hsp70 expression levels were compared with cells exposed to the same temperature increment intrinsically induced by AMF exposure (white star labeled column), and normalized to gadph. Black asterisks show samples with normalized fold expression statistically different from their corresponding controls unexposed to AMF (**p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; ****p < 0.0001; two-way ANOVA, Tukey’s multiple comparisons test).

(C) hsp70 gene expression of B16-F10 cells heat shocked at different temperatures and analyzed by qRT-PCR immediately (0 min), 30 and 120 min after the treatment. Expression levels were normalized to GADPH and compared with hsp70 expression relative to cells incubated at 37°C at time zero (0 min postincubation; white star). Black asterices indicate samples showing statistically different expression levels relative to their corresponding controls (****p < 0.0001; two-way ANOVA, Tukey’s multiple comparisons test).

AMF: Alternating magnetic field; MNP: Magnetic nanoparticle.

Molecular responses to nanoparticle-induced hyperthermia. Interestingly, following AMF under same conditions as in this work, the local temperature measured at 5 nm distance from MNPs surface was estimated approximately 10°C higher than the measured global temperature [23], suggesting MNPs acting as local delivers of thermal doses in a similar range than those observed here. Enhanced biological responses caused by MHT when compared with external heating, have also been demonstrated, although to a much lower extent than those studies made with the MNPs in solution. The concept of MNP-dependent intracellular MHT postulates that after applying an AMF, the local temperature at the NPs surface is significantly different from that of the cell surroundings; as a consequence, owing to collective effect linked to the presence of several MNPs, intracellular thermal gradient and rapid heat dissipation takes place, giving rise to biological effects [45,46]. Recent works demonstrated that manganese oxide perovskites or silica-coated manganese oxide NPs induced significant reduction of cell viability after AMF application, while the global temperature increase was lower than 0.5°C or not detectable at all, respectively [47,48]. Hence, the determination of intracellular increments of temperature mediated by
MHT is an intriguing challenge to achieve a precise control for thermonanomedicine in nanomedicine.

In this work, although the overexpression of hsp70 was demonstrated when applying sublethal HT, the measurement interval was small in order to maintain the culture media below 37°C to assure that the stress was only due to intracellular events. Therefore, to further explore the molecular response produced by MNP-mediated MHT in vivo, we employed the aquatic invertebrate H. vulgaris. Normally cultured at 18°C, Hydra allows testing the intensity of the thermal stress produced by MNPs starting from a different temperature, compared with in vitro cell culture and, in a tissue governed by different homeostasis mechanisms, thus providing an alternative model to evaluate the effect of MHT.

**MNP uptake & toxicological evaluation in H. vulgaris**

As for cells, we first evaluated the toxicological impact and the internalization efficiency of MNPs in Hydra polyps. Living animals were soaked in culture medium supplemented with increasing doses of PEG-functionalized MNPs (from 0.25 to 2.5 mg Fe/ml) and monitored at 24, 48 and 72 h of incubation. The amount of MNPs used for the dose-response assay, much higher compared with that employed for cells, was in the range of that routinely used for Hydra when testing other nanocrystals, ensuring a reasonable probability of nanoparticle internalization by the soaking method. Toxicological evaluation was assayed through reliable tests previously developed for other chemical compounds and adapted by us to test nanoparticle toxicity, in other words, impact on morphological alterations, reproductive capability and induction of cell death [49]. For morphological tests, a score system ranging from 10 (indicative of healthy condition) to 0 (animal fully disintegrated), initially developed by Wilby, was here employed [50]. Upon microscopic inspections, treated polyps did not show traits of morphological alterations (tentacle clubbing or disintegration, body contraction or swelling), typical of metal toxicity (Supplementary Figure 7) [51]. Hydra reproduces most commonly asexually through a well-controlled process of budding, strictly depending on proliferation of epithelial and interstitial cells located in the body column [52]. Environmental factors, such as the presence of contaminants and food availability, can dramatically disturb this process; therefore the assessment of animal growth rate represents a reliable toxicity endpoint. Adult polyps without buds were incubated 24 h with MNPs (2.5 mg Fe/ml) and the progeny was daily monitored over 15 days. As showed in Figure 3A, no significant differences were found in the growth rates of MNP-treated polyps, indicating that MNPs exposure does not affect reproductive capability. Next, we evaluated the possible occurrence of programmed cell death in treated polyps by estimating the number of pyknotic nuclei phagocyted by the gastrodermal epithelial cells, while necrotic cells were monitored in vivo by propidium iodide staining, which penetrates through damaged cell membranes labeling nucleic acids. Hydra cell suspensions obtained by maceration of MNP-treated and control polyps were stained with DAPI and monitored for chromatin fragmentation. Fluorescent microscopy observations showed no increase of pyknosis, neither significant necrosis in treated polyps compared with control animals (Figure 3B), indicating that MNPs do not induce cell death even at high concentrations, thus the 2.5 mg Fe/ml dose was used for all further experiments.

To track the MNP internalization in living animals, TAMRA-labeled MNPs were incubated with polyps for 24 h. In vivo fluorescence imaging showed multiple fluorescent foci throughout the animal body indicating that MNPs enter vastly the polyps and localize massively into the ectoderm, the outer cell layer facing the environment (Figure 3D). The presence of fluorescent granular structures throughout the animal body suggests MNP accumulation into large intracellular compartments. Transmission electron microscopy revealed that MNPs localize prevalently into the lysosomes (Figure 3E & F), usually involved in foreign material sorting out. As previously reported by our group, gold nanoparticles have also been found accumulated within lysosomes of Hydra epithelial cells [29] suggesting possible similar mechanisms of accumulation and external sequestration for both nanocrystals.

To get unbiased quantification of MNPs internalization rate, we measured by ICP the intracellular Fe concentration of treated animals (24 h, MNPs 2.5 mg Fe/ml). ICP analysis revealed the presence of 1.46 ng Fe/polyp into Hydra tissues, corresponding to 0.011% of total administered iron. The iron content was normalized by subtracting the endogenous Fe measured in control animals as in the case of cell experimentation. All together these data indicate that MNPs internalize in Hydra polyps and do not produce detectable adverse effects at the highest tested concentration, at whole animal and cellular levels, even upon long-term exposure (72 h).

**Magnetic hyperthermia elicits a quantifiable heat response in H. vulgaris**

Similar to cell experiments, MHT experiments were conducted in MNP-treated and untreated animals, either exposed or unexposed to AMF (Figure 2A). Groups of 15 polyps were incubated 24 h with MNPs,
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then extensively washed and exposed 30 min to AMF ($f = 835$ kHz; $H = 20.05$ kA/m). During the AMF exposure, the Hydra medium temperature was constantly monitored through an optical fiber thermometer, which reported a negligible temperature increment (approximately of 2°C) in the medium bathing the animals. Microscopic observations performed on intact living animals immediately after AMF did not reveal tissue damages or cell detachments from body surface, suggesting that, similar to the cell experiments, MNPs could not induce cell ablation. DAPI staining on macerates (i.e., fixed cell suspensions obtained from treated animals) confirmed the absence of increased cell death upon magnetic treatments (Supplementary Figure 8). In order to assess molecular responses to AMF, we profiled the expression of Hydra hsp70 homologous gene (hyhsp70), already cloned and functionally characterized as early marker of heat shock in Hydra species [53]. Following AMF exposure, polyps were allowed to recover 30 and 120 min before RNA extraction and qRT-PCR analysis. Results from Figure 4A showed a twofold overexpression of hyhsp70 transcripts 120 min post-treatment in animals loaded with MNPs and exposed to AMF (MNP+AMF+), but not in any other condition (MNP+AMF-; MNP-AMF+; MNP-AMF-), showing a heat-specific response triggered by MNPs and induced by AMF. These data confirm that, under an AMF, MNPs produce mild intracellular heating, which does not affect polyp viability and tissue integrity, while triggering a heat shock specific response. To compare the observed MHT effects to the innate response of Hydra to high temperatures, we heat shocked the polyps for 30 min at different increasing temperatures (by supplying external heating through bath incubation) and explored the molecular response after 30 and 120 min of recovery at 18°C. As shown in Figure 4B, hyhsp70 gene expression was slightly activated at 26°C (∼1.5-fold increase) reaching the highest expression at 30°C (∼twofold increase, 120 min

Figure 3. Biological effects, uptake and subcellular localization of magnetic nanoparticles in Hydra vulgaris. (A) Hydra population growth rate shows that MNP treatment does not affect reproduction capability in the long term. (B) Cellular assessment of apoptosis in MNP-treated animals. Following 24-h incubation with MNPs 2.5 mg Fe/ml, polyps were macerated in single cell suspensions and DAPI stained. No increase in the percentage of fragmented nuclei was observed in treated animals. (C) In vivo brightfield and (D) fluorescence images of Hydra polyp treated with MNPs (24 h, 2.5 mg Fe/ml). A punctuated red staining is clearly visible throughout the animal body indicating efficient internalization of MNPs. (E) TEM analysis shows MNPs compartmentalized into a lysosome. (F) A magnification of the lysosome from (E) shows MNPs as aggregates and monodisperse. Scale bars: 200 μm in (C & D); 2 μm in (E); 1 μm in (F). MNP: Magnetic nanoparticle.
Figure 4. Magnetic nanoparticles trigger transcriptional activation of hyhsp70 in Hydra. (A) AMF exposure of MNP-treated polyps induces a twofold change of hyhsp70 expression, while MNPs and AMF exposure alone did not modulate hyhsp70 expression. Asterisks denote statistically significant expression change relative to untreated animals (one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison post-test, p < 0.001). (B) Molecular response to macroscopic heat shock in Hydra. Real-time quantitative reverse transcription PCR analysis revealed that hsp70 is significantly upregulated at 30°C. Asterices indicate statistical significance (p < 0.001; two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s multiple comparison post-test). (C, D & E) Heat shock effects on Hydra morphology. (C) Exposure to 30°C does not affect Hydra phenotype, while (D) incubation at 34°C produces slight body contraction and animal paralysis. (E) Short incubation at 37°C provokes devastating effects and tissues appear completely disintegrated. (F) Assessment of apoptosis. Incubation at 34°C for 24 h induces a significant increase of apoptotic nuclei (one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple-comparison post-test, p < 0.001). Scale bars: 500 μm in (C & D); 200 μm in (E).

AMF: Alternating magnetic field; MNP: Magnetic nanoparticle.

post-stress), while higher temperatures did not affect transcript levels. Besides molecular analysis, for each temperature the animal morphology and cell viability induced were analyzed. Microscope inspection revealed no evident morphological alterations up to 30°C (Figure 4C), while above this value progressive tissue damages appeared up to irreversible tissue disintegration at 37°C (Figure 4E & Supplementary Figure 9) in line with existing literature [53].

At 34°C, animals showed behavioral alterations (slight body contraction, paralysis and absence of response to mechanical stimuli) but not evident cell damages (Figure 4D); if transferred to physiological growth conditions (18°C), these animals could recover their normal explorative behavior, indicating 34°C as a critical temperature, above which extensive tissue damages and animal death occur, while below thermotolerance mechanism prevails. Thus we tested the induction of apoptosis and found a significant higher percentage of phagocyted pyknotic nuclei following heat shock at 34°C, but not at 30°C (Figure 4F), confirming that even in absence of clear morphological alterations this temperature impairs cell viability in Hydra. By comparing data obtained from heat stress and MHT responses, it appears that MHT provides sublethal thermal doses not sufficient to affect animal health, but measurable by a twofold hyhsp70 overexpression. This response is similar to...
that observed when *Hydra* is exposed to a temperature increase up to 30°C, thus to an increment of 12°C.

Impressively, this temperature increase is comparable to that observed in cells in response to the same solicitation (Figure 5). We showed that, although presenting different complexity and thermal homeostasis mechanisms, the two biological models analyzed in this study elicit similar molecular response when challenged with MNPs. Both B16-F10 cells and *Hydra*, treated with MNPs and exposed to AMF react to intracellular thermal stress by enhancing defence gene transcription (such as *hsp70*) to tolerate the heat. We are aware that the AMF employed in this work has an intensity well above those allowed for clinical applications (\( f = 110 \text{ kHz}; H = 20 \text{ kA/m} \)) \cite{54} and indeed lower fields and frequencies may be tested to optimize the heat delivered inside a cell.

Nowadays, the quantification of the intracellular thermal effect caused by MNPs following AMF exposure is a challenge, together with the determination of all possible effects elicited in the cells by radiofrequencies. Besides heat generation, other mechanisms are in fact being suggested to explain the effect of MNPs following AMF, such as mechanical forces driving lysosome destruction and release of toxic compounds leading to cell death \cite{55,56}. Physical parameters from one side and biological responses from the others, all need to be taken into account to explain how cells respond to internalized metal nanoparticles following energy absorption and transformation into heat or other energy forms. Herein, we showed that under an AMF and without surpassing 37°C, the MNPs synthetized in this study evoke in cells a response typical to that elicited by macroscopic heat, in other words, cells over-express chaperones to ensure proper protein folding and avoid structural changes due to enhanced heat. Thus, in absence of direct means to measure intracellular temperature, we quantified molecular motors generally involved in heat stress and found their enhancement in cell/animals treated with MNPs and AMF, suggesting MNPs behaving as nano-hot spots inside the cells. What strongly support our hypothesis that MNPs act as nanoheater are the findings that the *hsp70* expression levels profile similarly in MHT treated cells/animals and heat shocked cells/animals, two biological models of different complexity (a murine cancer cell line and a primitive eukaryotic animal at the base of metazoan evolution) respond in a same way to the same solicitation: the *hsp70* expression levels in both systems double as effect of MNPs + AMF treatment or of a macroscopic heating, raising the external temperature of 12°C. The delta between the starting and the final temperatures seems the crucial factor, rather than the absolute temperature values, providing a valuable feedback to understand *in vivo* the thermal properties of a given nanomaterial. Although we cannot exclude other possibilities as *HSPs* participate in several other cell pathways beside heat stress (i.e., they protect cells against oxidative injuries) \cite{57}, it is unlikely that a response could be due to a stress different than heat,
highlighting the importance to include comparative analysis in different models for preclinical trials.

Moreover, our biological systems represent a valuable tool to assess nanoparticle properties in situ. Indeed, despite more or less accurate estimations of the thermal properties of MNPs performed in physiological media, their behavior once delivered and accumulated inside the cell (cytoplasm, endosome and lysosome) together with their state (aggregated and monodispersed) may profoundly impact on thermal properties [58]. Our study proposes an integrated cell-oriented approach to cover this unsolved issue, with the final goal to control intracellular temperature increase for biomedical applications. Understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying cellular responses to sublethal hyperthermia such as hsp70 overexpression (and its kinetics) will contribute to the enhancement of cancer therapies, in other words, by gaining knowledge on the transient thermotolerance [18]. Moreover, by finely tuning MNP properties and magnetic fields, a strict control of the amount of heat generated by intracellular nanosized sources may trigger localized apoptotic pathways, avoiding undesired effects in surrounding tissues as it occurs for gold nanoparticles and photothermal therapy treatments [59]. Hyperthermia, in fact, can influence cell processes and fate as function of the thermal dose applied. In this study, we expanded this widely accepted concept and showed that also mild hyperthermia may determine the activation of specific molecular pathways in vitro and in vivo.

Conclusion
There is a growing interest for the engineering of MNPs with superior magnetic properties specifically tuned for hyperthermia-based therapies. Although physico-chemical characterization provides initial clues on material properties and heating performance, understanding how and to what extent cells respond to the intracellular nanoheaters is of primary importance for biomedical applications. Here, we propose to measure the thermal stress induced by MNPs and AMF by molecular investigations. Enhanced biological responses caused by MHT when compared with external heating have been demonstrated without surpassing 37°C in the case of cells. Taken into account that cell types and tissues have different heat sensitivity, our strategy is a viable route to screen and predict MNP properties required to establish appropriate nanomedicine-based therapeutics.

Not least, it remains of outstanding interest unraveling the molecular mechanisms involved in heat stress perception and signaling generated by a nonconventional thermal stress, where the heat comes from inside the cells and not from the environment.

Future perspective
Sublethal HT is gaining importance in cancer therapy. When applied in combination with other treatments such as chemotherapy, a synergistic interaction between heat and these treatments has been demonstrated, avoiding unnecessary burning in healthy tissues. However, accomplishing the required temperatures resulting from preclinical data under clinical conditions is not an easy task. Understanding the mechanism of heat transfer from MNP-mediated HT to cells and tissues will contribute to the enhancement of this therapy. Therefore, studies performed to study what cells really sense when an AMF is being applied appear an urgent need. In this study, it has been demonstrated that cells can respond to MNP-mediated HT without global temperature increase, and in a different fashion than when applying macroscopic heating. More interestingly, these responses are comparable to those evoked in vivo in a complex organism, H. vulgaris. Future investigations using other stress genes may impact on therapeutic application of magnetic HT.
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Executive summary

Objective
- To study intracellular thermal response after having applied an alternating magnetic field (sublethal hyperthermia) or macroscopic heat into two model systems.

Experimental setup
- Iron oxide nanoparticles of 16 nm were synthetized and loaded into murine melanoma cells and Hydra vulgaris, recently emerged as an excellent model for high-throughput screening of nanoparticles bioactivity and toxicity.
- Both model systems were subjected to an alternating magnetic field system or to external heating in an incubator. In both cases, the same global temperature was reached, so that the measured thermal stress was solely due to the magnetic hyperthermia and not to external heat fluctuations.
- The expression of hsp70 gene was assessed by real-time quantitative reverse transcription PCR.

Results
- Magnetic hyperthermia can induce thermal stress even without surpassing 37°C in the case of cells or 18°C in the case of Hydras. The analyses of the changes in hsp70 expression levels triggered by MNPs showed a significant increase of hsp70 expression for those cells incubated with MNPs and exposed to an alternating magnetic field in both model systems.
- Through the interpolation of hsp70 expression levels measured in response to magnetic hyperthermia and macroscopic heating, the two biological models elicit similar molecular response, sensing an intracellular increment of ~12°C upon magnetic hyperthermia application.

Conclusion
- Magnetic hyperthermia stressed the cells in a different way than macroscopic heat.
- Both model systems responded similar to the same solicitation, suggesting a general mechanism underlying the response of eukaryotic cells to the delivery of sublethal thermal doses.
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Hydra
• Demonstrates that magnetic hyperthermia induces hsp overexpression to protect cells from heat-induced apoptosis.

Shows that magnetic hyperthermia induces hsp overexpression to protect cells from heat-induced apoptosis.

Using DNA molecules attached to the surface of magnetic nanoparticles, the local temperature at their surface following alternating magnetic field application is measured.

Demonstrates that Hydra may serve as a suitable model to investigate cell and molecular effects triggered by nanoparticle-mediated hyperthermia.

Design of multifunctional gold nanoparticles for in vitro and in vivo gene silencing.

Nanothermia versus chemothermia: cellular effects in complementary cancer therapy.


 Presents a very smart temperature-sensitive secreted luciferase-based reporter gene system to study thermal stress response at the cellular level, in response to macroscopic and nanoparticle hyperthermia.
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- Demonstrates that magnetic nanoparticles can kill cancer cells after having applied an alternating magnetic field without a global temperature increase.


