

Sharing IP with the consortium

Workshop on Legal and Financial Aspects, NCP_WIDE.NET

Jean-Paul Triaille Central IP Service (CIPS), JRC March 2016



The Central IP Service (CIPS)

- Providing advice to all Commission services on IP aspects of their activities
- Managing the IP portfolio of the Commission (trademarks, patents, logos...)
- Helping in technology transfer activities of Commission's technology
- Coordinating a network of IPR correspondents in all DG's
- Hosted with DG JRC https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en/research/crosscutting-activities/intellectual-property/central-ip-service



Table of contents

- > Intro what's in a title?
- > The contractual context (Grant Agreement, GA)
- > In addition to the GA, the Consortium Agreement
- What if the Consortium Agreement does not contain any clause?
- > Recommendations to Consortium members



Intro – what's in a title? "Sharing IP with the consortium"

- ➤ Sharing when?
 - > Even before signing any agreement
 - > Also after the agreement is over
- Sharing what?
 - What members already have or have access to ("background")
 - What members will be creating ("results")
- ➤ Sharing how?
 - Via co-ownership
 - Without co-ownership



Intro – what's in a title? "Sharing IP with the consortium"

- > IP covers many things:
 - > Copyright
 - > Including copyright on software
 - > Inventions (patents)
 - Data, datasets, databases
 - > Confidential information
 - Trade secrets, know-how
 - > Trademarks, domain names



The contractual context, the GA

- ➤ The Grant Agreement (GA) **contains rules** on "sharing IP with the consortium"
- ➤ The consortium agreement (CA) may not deviate from the GA
- > The CA will **customise** the rules to the specific project



The rules in the grant agreement

- > Access to **background**:
 - ➤ Obligation to identify:
 - Positive list, negative list
 - Obligation to give access to other members:
 - > Royalty-free for implementing tasks under the action
 - > On fair & reasonable terms for exploiting their own results
 - > Somehow equivalent to a licence agreement
 - Sometimes rather a non-disclosure agreement
 - Not necessarily access by all members to all background



The rules in the grant agreement

- Ownership of results:
 - > Results owned by the member who generated them
 - Joint ownership (automatically) if:
 - Results generated jointly and
 - > Impossible to establish respective contributions or
 - Impossible to separate contributions.
 - ➤ If so: obligation to have a written joint ownership (JO) agreement
 - Only after results were generated, possibility to agree on another mechanism than joint ownhership



The rules in the grant agreement

- Obligation to protect the results
 - > Different routes
- > Obligation to **exploit** the results
- ➤ Obligation to **disseminate** the results (open access), not at the detriment of protection
- Possibility to transfer ownership or licence the results
- > Rights of access by members to the results
 - Royalty-free for implementing their tasks under the action
 - Fair and reasonable conditions for exploiting their own results



In addition to the GA, the consortium agreement

- ➤ What about derivative works created on the basis of the background? Are they "results"?
- > If co-ownership is chosen as a solution:
 - ➤ Have a joint ownership (JO) agreement
 - > More freedom to agree after results have been generated
 - Make sure at least the following is regulated:
 - Decision-making process between co-owners regarding all issues:
 - Choice of appropriate protection scheme
 - Rights of co-owners to use results
 - Rights of co-owners to exploit results (licensing, spin-off, joint ventures...)
 - Rights of co-owners to disseminate results



In addition to the GA, the consortium agreement:

- > If co-ownership is chosen as a solution:
 - Make sure at least the following is regulated:
 - Decision-making process between co-owners (prior info, votes, veto...)
 - Equal shares or not?
 - Freedom to still go "your own way" in the future
 - Who can/must sue in case of infringement?
 - How are royalties & damages shared?
 - Can one sell its shares? Pre-emption right for the others?
 - And remember: ownership is often a source of conflicts!



In addition to the GA, the consortium agreement:

- > If co-ownership is NOT chosen as a solution:
 - > Who owns the results?
 - Compensation for this transfer?
 - ➤ Rights of other members, via a licence:
 - > To use results for the project
 - > To exploit result after/outside the project



What if the CA does not contain any clause?

- > The GA imposes rules (binding all GA signatories)
- > The applicable law will apply by default:
 - ➤ Which laws? Preferably BE laws?
 - What do these laws provide?
 - No harmonisation of contract law in the EU, on:
 - > Rights of co-owners to grant licences
 - > Rights of co-owners to grant exclusive licences
 - > Rights of co-owners to sue
 - Differences regarding IP regime (copyright, patent, trademark)



Recommendations for consortium members

- Make sure they have rules in place
- Co-ownership situations are a regular source of disputes
- Even though not all situations can be covered in advance
- Have mechanisms to deal with unforeseen events:
 - Decision-making processes
 - ➤ Alternative dispute resolution (ADR) schemes



Recommendations for consortium members

- Remember: even the best contract does not replace confidence
- ➤ Not just an issue of compliance with H2020 rules
- > It can be crucial for the future, in their interests:
 - > investors, venture capitalists etc. will do their own "due diligence", including on IP
 - > important to have a proper system in place



Recommendations for consortium members

- Sharing IP often starts even before any CA or GA is in place
- Before sharing, make sure they have an NDA (non-disclosure agreement) in place
- > And start discussing IP early in the process:
 - ➤ The "Plan for Dissemination and Exploitation of Project Results" is a good tool to start this
 - > It needs to be updated and followed



For useful guidelines:

Factsheets from the European IPR HelpDesk (www.iprhelpdesk.eu):

- IP joint ownership
- The Plan for the Exploitation and Dissemination of Results in Horizon 2020
- IP Management in Horizon 2020: proposal stage
- How to manage IP in Horizon 2020: at the grant preparation stage
- How to manage IP in Horizon 2020: project implementation and conclusion
- How to deal with IP related clauses within Consortium Agreements
- Intellectual property management in open innovation
- Inventorship, Authorship and Ownership
- Your Guide to IP in Horizon 2020
- Creating values IP exploitation in Horizon 2020

Guidance from the EU Commission:

- Guidance How to draw up your consortium agreement
- AGA Annotated Model Grant Agreement



Thank you for your attention!

Any question?

Jean-Paul TRIAILLE
Central IP Service (CIPS), JRC
jean-paul.triaille@ec.europa.eu