A Comprehensive Approach to Antioxidant Activity in the Seeds of Wild Legume Species of Tribe *Fabeae*
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The benefits of polyphenols have been widely demonstrated in recent decades. In order to find new species with a high biological functionality, the antioxidant activity of the polyphenol extracts from seeds of 50 taxa of tribe *Fabeae* (*Lathyrus, Lens, Pisum,* and *Vicia*) from Spain has been studied. Considering the average concentration obtained from the data in the four genera of the *Fabeae* tribe, *Pisum* and *Lathyrus* show the highest average polyphenol concentration. The highest specific antioxidant activity as well as the antioxidant activity coefficient was observed in *Pisum* and *Vicia*. However, with respect to the total antioxidant activity, the highest average value was observed in *Lathyrus* and *Pisum*. The results obtained reveal that many of the wild taxa examined could be potential sources of antioxidants.

1. Introduction

The polyphenols, including phenolic acids, flavonoids, lignans, and stilbenes, are an extensive group of secondary metabolites having in common a hydroxy-substituted benzene ring in their structure [1]. The legumes are especially rich in flavonoids [2]. A variety of biological functions have been proposed for polyphenols in plants, such as protection against radiation, oxidative damage, and diseases and participation in signalling pathways [3]. Polyphenols have been associated also with the health-promoting effects of consuming fruits and vegetables, and this positive effect has been related to their antioxidant activity [4]. These health-promoting properties include risk from cancer and cardiovascular and neurodegenerative disease [5–8].

The legumes are used for human food, animal feed, and other commercial applications. They have a great world economic importance and play an important role in food nutrition especially in developing countries and the demand for them is expected to increase in the coming years [9].

The tribe *Fabeae* in Spain presents the genera *Vicia, Lens, Lathyrus,* and *Pisum* [10]. These plants, as other legumes, may grow under drought stress conditions and on poor soils due to their capacity to fix atmospheric nitrogen [11]. The polyphenols content and the antioxidative activity of *Vicia faba* L. [12, 13], *V. sativa* L. [14], or 28 wild species of *Vicia* [15] from Andalusia, Spain, have been studied. In *Lens* there are some studies on polyphenols composition of *L. culinaris* Medicus [13, 16]. With respect to *Lathyrus*, studies on polyphenols, such as *L. sativus* L. [17, 18], *L. maritimus* Bigelow [19–21], or 15 wild species also from Andalusia [22] have been made. Although there is not too much information about the polyphenol profile in the tribe *Fabeae*, Šibul et al. [23] examined herb and root extracts of seven legumes and 33 phenolic compounds were identified and quantified. High levels of genistein and daidzein (isoflavones), quercetin (flavonoids), and quinic acid, vanillic acid, and gallic acid (phenolic acids) were found.

In the last decades a large amount of the world phyto-diversity has been lost because local varieties and species have been substituted by commercial ones with a high yield and genetic uniformity. To recover and maintain this biodiversity, a diversification of plant species is necessary, and this can be achieved by increasing our knowledge of local species. The aim of the present research was to study and compare the polyphenol content and the antioxidant activity...
of the collected legume species of tribe Fabeae from Southern Spain. These species show the variability of the tribe in the Mediterranean region, which includes both wild and cultivated taxa.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. General Experimental Procedures. (+)-Catechin, β-carotene, and linoleic acid are products of Sigma (Madrid, Spain). Tween 20 was purchased from VWR (Barcelona, Spain). They were used for the assays.

2.2. Plant Material. Fully matured seed samples were taken from wild populations located in Andalusia (Southern Spain). The seeds were collected from different fruits and specimens in a given population and stored at −20°C. Voucher specimens were deposited in the Herbarium of the University of Sevilla (SEV).

2.3. Phenolics Extraction and Quantification. Seeds were ground using a domestic blender and extracted (60 mg) with methanol (1 mL) by vortexing in Eppendorf tubes at maximum speed for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. The methanolic extracts were recovered by centrifugation at 16,000 g for 15 min and stored in the dark at −20°C. The total phenolic content of methanolic extracts was determined according to Mazza et al. method [24]. The sample (10 μL) was mixed with a solution of 2% HCl in 75% ethanol (240 μL) in a 96-well microtiter plate. After 10 min, the absorbance of the solution was monitored at 280 nm to measure total phenolics. Catechin dissolved in methanol was used as a standard. Phenolic content was expressed as milligrams equivalent to catechin per gram of sample.

2.4. Antioxidant Activity. Antioxidant activity was estimated by determination of the peroxidative decomposition of β-carotene (bleaching) in the presence of linoleic acid and the samples as described by Marco modified method [25]. This method was successfully used by the authors before, where the complete protocol can be found [15, 22, 26].

2.5. Statistical Analysis. Results are expressed as the mean values ± standard deviation of several samples except for species with only one population. The data were statistically analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Means were compared by Scheffe's test. The K-means algorithm has been used to group the taxa studied [27]. Lastly, a discriminating analysis has been performed in order to verify whether the parameters used to sever the taxa are actually effective.

3. Results and Discussion

The genera Pisum and Lens have shown the polyphenol contents similar to those observed in Lathyrus and Vicia genera [15, 22], respectively, (Table 1).

As in the case of Lathyrus and Vicia genera [15, 22], the antioxidant activity of the polyphenol extracts in Lens and Pisum seeds has been studied using two experiments. In the first experiment, a quantity of polyphenol equivalent to 2 μg of catechin has been extracted, whereas, in the second experiment, the antioxidant activity of the polyphenols extracted was compared to a fixed quantity of flour (5 μL of polyphenol extract).

Considering the average concentration obtained from the data in the four genera of the Fabeae tribe [15, 22], Pisum and Lathyrus are the genera which show the highest average polyphenol concentration; the latter genus (P < 0.05) is clearly distinguished from Lens and Vicia genera (Table 1).

Nevertheless, as stated above, a high polyphenol concentration does not mean that the specific antioxidant activity is also high, although it can help to obtain an adequate total antioxidant activity from the species itself.

As revealed in Table 1, the highest average value is observed in the specific antioxidant activity in Pisum and Vicia, even though only Vicia and Lathyrus (P < 0.01) are distinguished, as with the antioxidant activity coefficient (P < 0.05). However, the value of the antioxidant activity and degradation rate are similar in the four genera studied, although the range of the degradation rate has been significantly higher (P < 0.01) in Lathyrus as compared to Vicia (Table 1).

With respect to the total antioxidant activity, Lathyrus genus stands out with a significantly higher average value (P < 0.05) than Vicia (Table 2). The highest antioxidant activity coefficient was observed in Pisum and Lathyrus, even though only Lathyrus and Vicia (P < 0.001) are distinguished (Table 2). On the other hand, Lathyrus and Pisum are the genera with the lowest range of degradation rate and antioxidant value, although the latter genus does not reveal a significant difference.

In Lathyrus, how its high content of phenols compensates its low average specific antioxidant activity can be observed, making the total antioxidant activity higher than Lens or Vicia which showed polyphenols with a higher specific antioxidant activity (Tables 1 and 2).

In spite of the results obtained at a genus level, the heterogeneity observed, especially in Lathyrus and Vicia, requires the 50 taxa of the Fabeae tribe to be analyzed jointly, regardless of the genus. Thus, considering both polyphenol content and all the results obtained from specific and total antioxidant activity, taxa have been grouped using all parameters simultaneously. Therefore, K-means algorithm severs the taxa into four clearly distinguished groups (Table 3).

In order to verify that the parameters used to sever taxa are effective, a discriminating analysis has been performed which uses, apart from the polyphenol content, all the values obtained from both specific antioxidant activity (Experiment 1) and total antioxidant activity (Experiment 2). Table 4 shows an overview of this analysis in which the three discriminating functions are statistically relevant (P < 0.001). The groups are fairly well distinguished. Only L. hirsutus L. (Group 1) and L. amphicarpus L. (Group 2) share an intermediate position between both groups (Figure 1).

Table 5 shows that the taxa included in Group 1 have a significantly higher phenol concentration (P < 0.05). When comparing these results to the polyphenol contents in
Table 1: Phenolic contents (mg/g seed flour) and antioxidant activity of methanolic extracts (2 μg catechin equivalents) from studied genera. Results are the average ± standard deviation of different populations. Superscript letters indicate significant differences between values in the same column (Scheffe’s test); * P < 0.05 and ** P < 0.01.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Phenolic contents</th>
<th>AOX</th>
<th>DR</th>
<th>**AA</th>
<th>**ORR</th>
<th>*AAC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lathyrus</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>0.0034 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.0087 ± 0.00</td>
<td>48.23 ± 9.38&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.09&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>327.87 ± 92.16&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.0152 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.0107 ± 0.00</td>
<td>54.92 ± 9.25&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.09&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>379.01 ± 90.23&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pisum</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td>65.53&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.35&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>488.4&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicia</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.0057 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.0101 ± 0.00</td>
<td>57.37 ± 7.26&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.07&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>403.81 ± 75.47&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AOX: antioxidant value; DR: degradation rate; AA: antioxidant activity; ORR: oxidation rate ratio; AAC: antioxidant activity coefficient.

Table 2: Antioxidant activity of phenols extracted from studied genera per gram of seed flour extracted (5 μL). Results are the average ± standard deviation of different populations. Superscript letters indicate significant differences between values in the same column (Scheffe’s test; * P < 0.05 and ** P < 0.001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>*AOX</th>
<th>DR</th>
<th>**AA</th>
<th>**ORR</th>
<th>*<strong>AAC&lt;sup&gt;</strong>&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lathyrus</td>
<td>0.0029 ± 0.00&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.0075 ± 0.00</td>
<td>57.56 ± 5.30&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.05&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>468.64 ± 62.97&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens</td>
<td>0.0044 ± 0.00&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.0117 ± 0.00</td>
<td>46.99 ± 19.43&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.19&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>332.59 ± 165.34&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pisum</td>
<td>0.0029&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>65.52&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.34&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>513.87&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicia</td>
<td>0.0045 ± 0.00&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.0191 ± 0.00</td>
<td>46.66 ± 10.52&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.10&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>317.57 ± 98.85&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AOX: antioxidant value; DR: degradation rate; AA: antioxidant activity; ORR: oxidation rate ratio; AAC: antioxidant activity coefficient.

Nevertheless, the polyphenol content in both groups is remarkably different (P < 0.05), as well as the specific antioxidant activity or specific antioxidant activity coefficient (Table 5). As a result, the total antioxidant activity and the total antioxidant activity coefficient are similar in the two groups (Table 6).

Therefore, when the 50 taxa are analyzed jointly, the negative correlation that was observed between both parameters is maintained, although tightly (R² = 34.64, Figure 3).

4. Conclusions

Results obtained reveal that many of the taxa examined could be potential sources of antioxidants, especially the ones belonging to Groups 1 and 2 (Table 3 and Figure 1). Both groups include species that are currently cultivated or have
Table 3: Groups of taxa studied established in relation to their phenolic contents and antioxidant activity (Experiments 1 and 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>Group 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Phenolic contents</em></td>
<td>21.8 ± 6.5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.9 ± 3.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.8&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.6 ± 2.0&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOX&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.0040 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.0054 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.0082 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.0050 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>***DR&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.010 ± 0.00&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.00&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.00&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.011 ± 0.00&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*AA&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>42.59 ± 9.17&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>56.56 ± 5.12&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>65.34 ± 3.62&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>51.71 ± 5.65&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*ORR&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.574 ± 0.09&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.435 ± 0.05&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.347 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.482 ± 0.06&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*AAC&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>267.11 ± 7.88&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>400.9 ± 51.9&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>489.50 ± 41.2&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>346.28 ± 53.6&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AOX: antioxidant value; DR: degradation rate; AA: antioxidant activity; ORR: oxidation rate ratio; AAC: antioxidant activity coefficient.

Table 4: Summary of discriminant analysis based on the phenolic contents and antioxidant activity (Experiments 1 and 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functions</th>
<th>Wilks Lambda</th>
<th>Chi-square</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Cumulative percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0197511</td>
<td>162.8687</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>77.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.196516</td>
<td>67.5211</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>93.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.562703</td>
<td>23.8627</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Phenolic contents (mg/g seed flour) and antioxidant activity of methanolic extracts (2 μg catechin equivalents) for the four groups of taxa belong to tribe *Fabeae* from Southern Spain. Results are the average ± standard deviation. Superscript letters indicate significant differences between values in the same row (Scheffe’s test; *P* < 0.05 and ***P* < 0.001).

Table 6: Antioxidant activity of phenols extracted for the four groups of taxa belong to tribe *Fabeae* from Southern Spain per gram of seed flour extracted (5 μL). Results are the average ± standard deviation of different populations. Superscript letters indicate significant differences between values in the same row (Scheffe’s test; *P* < 0.05 and ***P* < 0.001).
been cultivated in the past, although a great deal of them have never been used in crops and could become alternative sources of antioxidants.
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