Characterization of volcanic structures using ground penetrating radar and additional inverse modelling
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Abstract—A ground penetrating radar survey is presented over a recent lava flow at the volcanic area of Timanfaya National Park (Canary Island, Spain). The purpose was to locate lava tubes into the lava flow through the combination of field and simulated data. Different modelling strategies were used for the analysis and knowledge of the signal behaviour. Finite-difference time-domain algorithm was considered for simulations, and the pattern of reflections generated from previously known volcanic structures were characterized. After the characterization of the radar-wave response, the interpretation achieved was applied over the field data acquired at other non-studied area in which different lava tubes were recognized.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Timanfaya National Park is a volcanic area, located in the southwest of Lanzarote Island (Canary Islands, Spain), which occupies a surface of about 51 km$^2$ with more than 30 volcanic cones formed in different phases of basaltic type eruptions. The area is made up by extensive surfaces of rugged “aa” lava flows and “Pahoe-hoe” or rope lava flows that have suffered minimal human alteration. When flows are very fluid, lavas continue to circulate beneath the already cooled outside crust to form so-called lava tubes. This process ends with the material cooling down and subsequent formation of retraction fractures, which sometimes leads to the collapse of the lava roof and provokes geotechnical instability problems regarding loads on foundations [1]. Therefore, its location is important to mitigate collapse hazards.

The use of non-destructive geophysical techniques has demonstrated its capabilities to recognize the near subsurface structure of the park [2]-[3]. In such context, the ground penetrating radar (GPR) has been extensively in the investigation of shallow volcanic structures [4]-[7]. However, there are not many published contributions in the literature about the location of hidden lava tubes [8]-[10].

This work presents a study about the location of recent lava tubes by the analysis and interpretation of GPR data. Additionally, numerical modelling was used to simulate the propagation of the electromagnetic GPR signal. The irregular geometry that characterizes the lava tubes results in complex reflections patterns, which makes the resulting radargram difficult to interpret. In heterogeneous environments, the use of numerical finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) modelling allows for the understanding of the propagation of the signal in order to assist the interpretation of field data [11]. Moreover, some authors [12] have recommended the joint inversion of different geophysical data, which provided better results than basic modelling when estimating dielectric properties of media. Then, taking these recommendations into account, the simulations in this work were built using both basic and more sophisticated modelling. The FDTD data produced was directly compared to the processed field GPR data.

The purpose was to characterize the response of the radar-wave over a previously known lava tube structure and, then, the interpretation achieved was applied over an area not previously surveyed up to date in order to detect probable structures. Thus, the method was calibrated over lava flows located at the Calderas Quemadas zone formed during the 3rd eruptive phase of the 1730-36 eruption, in which underground cavities can be visually identified. Field GPR, microgravity and electromagnetic induction (EMI) data was collected along the same profile to create a joint model for simulation to analyse the pattern of reflections produced by GPR. After characterization, such assumptions were applied to the field GPR data acquired over lava flows located at the Chinero zone. This zone was formed during the 4th eruptive phase in 1824, a century after the phase of the 1730-36 eruption originating the Timanfaya area and the lava tubes at the Calderas Quemadas zone.

Fig. 1. GPR data acquisition at the Calderas Quemadas (a) and Chinero (b) zones.
II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Ground Penetrating Radar

The GPR data were collected using a RAMAC/GPR system from Malå Geoscience. A central frequency of 200 MHz was selected as the most optimum to produce reflections from the bottom of the lava tubes.

The GPR survey was carried out with the antenna polarization perpendicular to the data collection direction (Fig. 1). The offset between transmitter and receiver antennas was 0.6 m. Common-offset point-to-point data were acquired with 0.2 m trace intervals (in-line spacing), within a total time window of 190 ns and composed by 405 samples per trace.

B. FDTD Modelling

Different modelling strategies were used to achieve a better understanding of the radar-wave propagation. First, basic geometries were simulated to analyse the pattern of reflections produced when the signal encounters a lava tube structures. After this pattern recognition, more advanced modelling was developed through the combination of additional geophysical methods and inversion.

The synthetic radagrams were created using GprMax v.2.0 software, which is an electromagnetic wave simulator for GPR based on the FDTD algorithm.

1) Basic modelling

Several models were built (Fig. 2) based on the different typologies of tube structure compile from the literature [13]. The purpose was to analyse the geometry of the reflections produced in such sceneries.

The synthetic model was built using the MATLAB© software. The model was created with a small spatial-step (grid cell size in the x and y directions) equal to 16 mm, and the excitation pulse was a Gaussian of 200 MHz frequency. The trace-interval and the total time window were 0.1 m and 100 ns, respectively. The synthetic results produced were exported to ReflexW and filtered using a very similar processing sequence to that used for the field data.

Fig. 2. displays the models used for simulations and the dielectric constant assumed to characterize each medium. The interpretation of the reflections produced by the lava tube is also shown in the synthetic radagrams generated. For more circular structures (Fig. 2b), the reflection produced at the interface between the volcanic material and the free-space presents a hyperbolic shape in comparison with square tubes (Fig. 2a). Moreover, the heterogeneity commonly presented in such environments was simulated over the tube in the second model corroborating the confluence of more complex reflections due to the diffraction events associated. The corner reflections produced in the first case because of the perpendicular interfaces between the walls and the floor of the tube [11] also allows defining a square geometry of the tube.

2) Advanced modelling and inversion

Although the basic modelling presented in the previous subsection allowed for the understanding of the GPR response, these types of structures are more complex because of the heterogeneity and irregular shape of the volcanic materials. In such circumstances, more sophisticated simulations are required, and the best approximation of the models to reality is also proposed from the combination of data obtained from different complementary techniques.

For this particular case, the synthetic model was built through inverse strategies, and data from different geophysics techniques were combined: electromagnetic induction (EMI) and microgravity. The next steps presents a brief explanation of the approach assumed until obtaining the final model used for simulations. More information about the techniques, methodologies and processing data can be found in [14].

a) EMI data: the inverted model produced (Fig. 3a) showed five areas of highest resistivity values, which are typically associated to the presence of air-filled tubes (Areas A-D). Visual inspection conducted in the field during the data acquisition confirmed the occurrence of lava tubes at 5 and 17 m from the beginning of the profile.

b) Gravity modelling: for the interpretation of the gravity data, relative gravity lows were searched. Four interesting anomalies were identified at several positions of the profile (12, 24, 34 and 44 m), for which a density value of 2700 Kg/m³ was considered as the density contrast between air-filled tubes and the lava flow. Thus, the final 2.5D density model (Fig. 3b) was made from the GPR interpretation and improved using a trial and error process until a reasonable fit between both observed and calculated anomaly presenting an overall RMS error of ±0.022 mGal.

c) Field GPR data: accordingly to EMI data, reflections can be grouped in the same four areas (Fig. 4). The visual inspections carried out allow confirming the occurrence of lava tubes in areas A and B, in which the strong hyperbolic reflections observed were interpreted as the effect of the roof and bottom interfaces of the tubes. On the other hand, reflections from areas C and D do not correspond to any known lava tube, but the similarities of both geometry and intensity of the reflections suggest a similar origin. The depth-scale (or elevation) was obtained based on a velocity of 0.09 m/ns for recent basaltic lava flows [9].
Fig. 3. (a) EMI inverted resistivity model showing five areas of high resistivity (bounded in black lines) and (b) Microgravity data: final 2.5D density model with four lava tubes identified and two basaltic dikes at the edges of the model. Modified from [14].

d) FDTD data: the input geometry for simulations corresponds to the gravity model (Fig. 3b). For media characterization, dielectric constant (k) and conductivity values of air were 1 and 0 S/m respectively, whereas estimated values for lava flows were 11 (0.09 m/ns) and 0.005 S/m.

The synthetic data produced (Fig. 5) show different reflections corresponding to the roof, bottom and edges of the lava tubes. A good agreement exists for the geometry of the reflections and their horizontal location between both synthetic and field data. However, differences exist in the time scale (20 ns) for the reflections in area A that indicates lateral variation of the radar-wave velocity. The poorest match corresponds to lava tube D in respect to horizontal location and geometry of the reflections. An explanation could be the possible heterogeneity in the internal structure of the lava flow and the occurrence of a vertical dyke at the position of the GPR reflections as suggested by the EMI and gravity data [14].

Moreover, that work presents additional simulations to determine the variation in the velocity of propagation. It was carried out by adjusting the reflections produced by the lava tube in both real and synthetic profiles, and the best approximation was achieved with velocity of 0.078 m/ns in the first 10 m (area A) and velocity of 0.09 m/ns in areas B and C.

Fig. 5. Synthetic radargram (k=11) built using the geometry of the lava tubes obtained from the gravity model. Orange lines correspond to the real reflections identified (Fig. 4). Modified from [14].

The interpretation achieved from FDTD regarding the pattern of reflections produced by the presence of lava tubes was assumed to identify unknown structures in some GPR profiles acquired in the Chinero zone (Fig. 1b).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Different GPR profiles were additionally acquired in the Chinero zone in order to validate the interpretational acknowledge achieved from the integrated modelling strategies applied to the Calderas Quemadas zone. It is important to note that in this zone, there was not any previously known lava tube structure allowing the characterization of the pattern of reflections produced from such complex and heterogeneous structures. Therefore, to facilitate the identification of non-visible lava tubes, similar reflections than those observed for the Calderas Quemadas zone (Fig. 4) were sought in these new profiles (Fig. 6).

Observing the processed radargrams in Fig. 6, the reflections interpreted as the roof and bottom interfaces of possible lava tubes display reflections very similar in shape and size to the pattern of reflections identified in Fig. 4 – area A. The dimensions of these lava tubes detected range from 6-8 m wide and approximately 30 ns (4.5 m) in height, which is in accordance with the dimensions measured in area A at Calderas Quemadas zone with 6 m wide and ceiling height of about 4 m (Fig. 4).

Fig. 4. Field GPR data produced and interpretation of the four areas (A to D) associated to the existence of lava tubes.
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This paper demonstrates the capabilities of FDTD

modelling strategies applied to the understanding of the radar-

wave behaviour when propagating in complex environments

such as volcanic areas. An integrated modelling through the

combination of three shallow geophysical methods, GPR,

microgravity and EMI, was developed over a recent lava flow.
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detected and confirmed by simulations. The patterns of
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IV. CONCLUSIONS

Lava tubes, if unknown, can present a risk in such a tourist

site as the Timanfaya National Park by instability problems and

collapse hazards.
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