Grape skin extracts from winemaking by-products as a source of trapping agents for reactive carbonyl species
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ABSTRACT
BACKGROUND: Clinical evidence supports the relationship between carbonyl stress and type II diabetes and its related pathologies. Methylglyoxal (MGO) is the major dicarbonyl compound involved in carbonyl stress. Efforts are therefore being made to find dietary compounds from natural sources that could exert an MGO trapping response.

RESULTS: The in vitro MGO trapping capacity of six red and seven white grape skin extracts (GSE) obtained from winemaking by-products was investigated. Methanolic GSE exhibited a promising MGO trapping capacity that was higher in red GSE (IC50 2.8 mg mL−1) when compared with white GSE (IC50 3.2 mg mL−1). The trapping ability for red GSE correlated significantly with total phenolic content and antioxidant capacity. However, no correlations were observed for white GSE, which suggests that other compounds were involved in the trapping activity.

CONCLUSION: GSE may be considered a natural source of carbonyl stress inhibitors, thus opening up its possible utilization as a nutraceutical ingredient. Further investigations are required to understand the mechanism involved in the carbonyl trapping ability of red and white grape skin samples and their relationship with glycation.
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INTRODUCTION
The global food industry is facing an uphill task with respect to the utilization of its by-products. Many epidemiological studies have reported that consumption of phytochemical-rich fruits and vegetables significantly reduces the incidence of several chronic diseases. There is therefore a need to develop new applications involving the use of by-products from the fruit and vegetable industry that could provide a source of phytochemicals offering promising health benefits.

Owing to changing lifestyles and nutritional habits, there has been an upsurge in the prevalence of diabetes, with an estimated 300 million possible registered cases worldwide by 2030. Previous confirmatory reports suggest that hyperglycemia complications play a major part in the onset and progression of type II diabetes. Interestingly, there is an overwhelming body of evidence indicating that the two major α-dicarbonyl reactive species, i.e. glyoxal and methylglyoxal (MGO), cause carbonyl stress, which is one of the root causes of hyperglycemia in diabetes. MGO is an important precursor of the end products formed during the process of protein glycation i.e. advanced glycation end products (AGEs). Dicarbonyl compounds can be formed either from sugars or amino-carbonyl reaction intermediates or during glycolysis under in vivo conditions. A two- to six-fold increase in MGO in the plasma of diabetic patients can be observed when compared with healthy individuals. An increase in MGO levels leads to a decrease in glutathione, which in turn leads to adverse changes in the antioxidant defense system and ultimately affects metabolic routes. Moreover,
reactions between α-dicarbonyl compounds and proteins occur at a much faster rate than with sugars, bringing about the formation of protein adducts in the glycation process.\textsuperscript{10}

Several synthetic organic compounds such as aminoguanidine, metformin and pyridoxamine have been shown to have excellent carbonyl trapping capacities.\textsuperscript{11} Since there are increasing concerns about the side effects of these compounds, efforts are being made to find dietary compounds from natural sources which could exert similar trapping responses. Phenolic compounds present in these sources may not only act as metal chelators and radical scavengers, but also as scavengers of radical carbonyl species.\textsuperscript{12} It is well known that berries and grapes are rich in flavonoids and have demonstrated their ability to inhibit protein glycation\textsuperscript{13} and scavenge reactive carbonyls.\textsuperscript{14} Certain flavonoids such as luteolin, quercetin and rutin have also been shown to be more efficient inhibitors of AGEs in the early stage of protein glycation than aminoguanidine.\textsuperscript{15}

Previous research found that grape skin extracts (GSE) obtained from winemaking by-products were effective sources of antiglycation agents.\textsuperscript{16,17} The antiglycative activity of these extracts was similar to that of phenolic-rich herbs such as cinnamon, rosemary and sage.\textsuperscript{18–20} Antiglycative activity is commonly related to the antioxidant potential of the natural extracts, as several flavonoids' structural requirements for AGE inhibitory activity were found to be similar to those of radical scavenging activities.\textsuperscript{21} As the inhibition of AGE formation can be carried out at different stages of the glycation and sugar autooxidation,\textsuperscript{21} it would seem that the scavenging of reactive dicarbonyls is a plausible mechanism. The aim of the present study was focused on the ability of GSE to trap reactive carbonyl species and potentially explain their antiglycative properties. For this purpose, phenolic-rich methanolic extracts of red and white grape skins were tested for their dicarbonyl trapping abilities using an in vitro model system under simulated physiological conditions (pH 7.4, 37°C).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Chemicals**

Gallic acid, Trolox (6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid), 2,2′-azinobis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diaminium salt (ABTS), methylglyoxal (MGO), 5-methylquinoxaline (5-MQ) and o-phenyldiamine (OPD) were purchased from Sigma (St Louis, MO, USA). Folin–Ciocalteu reagent, iron(III) chloride, potassium persulfate and sodium bicarbonate were obtained from Panreac Quimica (Barcelona, Spain). Sodium dihydrogen phosphate monohydrate, sodium phosphate dibasic dodecahydrate, glacial acetic acid and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)-grade methanol were acquired from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). 2,4,6-Tri(2-pyridyl)-stirazine (TPTZ) and pyridoxamine (PM) were purchased from Fluka Chemical (Madrid, Spain). The Milli-Q water used was produced using an Elix3 water purification system coupled to an Advantage10 Milli-Q module (Millipore, Molsheim, France). All other chemicals and reagents were of analytical grade.

**Collection of grape pomace**

Procurement of grape pomace varieties, milling and sieving procedures were as previously described by Sri Harsha et al.\textsuperscript{16} Barbera (BA), Dolcetto (DO), Croatina (CR), Freisa (FR), Neretto (NR), and Grignolino (GR) were selected as red grape skin varieties, while Chardonnay (CH), Muller Thurgau (MT), Arneis (AR), Erbaluce (ER), Nascetta (NA), Moscato (MO), and Riesling (RI) were selected as white grape skin varieties. Samples were kindly provided by different winemakers in northern Italy. The pomace samples were sieved
with a 5 mm sieve at the wineries to separate the skins from the seeds and were transported frozen to the lab. The samples were dried at 50°C for 8 h, milled, sieved to obtain fractions having particle sizes in the range 125–250 µm, and stored in the dark at 4°C until analysis.

**Preparation of grape skin extract**

Grape skin powder (∼1 g) was continuously extracted for 2 h at room temperature added with 16 mL methanol–water–HCl (80:20:0.1, v/v/v) solution for red grape skins, and 16 mL methanol–water (80:20, v/v) solution for white grape skins. HCl was used for extraction of red grape skins to enhance stability of anthocyanins as described by Sri Harsha et al. The mixture was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 min, the supernatant was recovered and the solid residue was resuspended with 12 mL of the same solvent twice, repeating the same procedure. The supernatants were pooled and the volume was reduced using a rotary evaporator (Strike 300 Steroglass, Perugia, Italy) and made up to 10 mL with the same solvent. The extract thus obtained was stored at −20°C until further analysis.

**In vitro evaluation of direct MGO trapping capacity**

Direct MGO trapping capacity was performed as described by Peng et al., with some modifications. Pyridoxamine (PM) was used as the positive control. A 100 µL aliquot of MGO solution was mixed with 750 µL phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), 50 µL 5-MQ (used as an internal standard) and 100 µL of either PBS (blank), extract solutions or PM solution. The final concentration of each reactant in the reaction medium was 0.04 mgmL⁻¹ for MGO, 0.05 mgmL⁻¹ for 5-MQ, a range of 0.001–0.1 mgmL⁻¹ for PM and a range of 0–10 mgmL⁻¹ for GSE (being up to 5 mgmL⁻¹ for red GSE and up to 10 mgmL⁻¹ for white GSE). Blank, positive control and samples were incubated at 37°C for 168 h in an oven (Memmert, Wuppertal, Germany). After the incubation period, 200 µL OPD were added (final concentration: 1.8 mgmL⁻¹). The unreacted MGO was quantified by HPLC (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) on the basis of the amount of the derivatized product, 2-methylquinoxaline (2-MQ). The chromatographic separation was carried out on a Mediterranean Sea ODS2 column (150 × 3 mm, 5 µm, Tecknokroma, Barcelona, Spain). Percentage decrease in MGO trapping capacity at 168 h was calculated using the following equation: MGO decrease %=[(amount of MGO in blank— amount of MGO in sample or PM solution) / amount of MGO in blank] × 100%. The IC50 values of samples were calculated from the dose–response curves using Microsoft Excel computer software. The IC50 value (mg mL⁻¹) represents the dosage of extract necessary to trap MGO by 50%.

**Kinetics of MGO trapping**

Based on the IC50 values of the samples for MGO trapping capacity, a suitable concentration of 2.5 mgmL⁻¹ for red GSE and 5 mgmL⁻¹ for white GSE were selected for the kinetic evaluation of the MGO trapping capacity. The reaction mixture contained a 100 µL aliquot of MGO solution mixed with 750 µL PBS, 50 µL 5-MQ and 100 µL PBS (blank), GSE solutions or PM solution. MGO trapping capacity was studied at different time intervals (0, 24, 48, 72, 96 and 168 h). Unreacted MGO was determined by HPLC analysis as described previously. Comparison of the reactivity between samples at the same dosage was assessed by calculating the time (h) necessary to trap MGO by 50%.

**Determination of total phenolic content**

Total phenolic content (TPC) in the extracts was determined by the Folin–Ciocalteu method as described by Singleton et al. and adapted to a plate reader as described by Mesías et al. In a 10 mL tube, 100 µL of the GSE sample (appropriately diluted if necessary) and 250 µL Folin–Ciocalteu reagent (diluted 1:1 (v/v) in
methanol) were mixed and vortexed. After exactly 3 min, 500 µL of 75 g L \(^{-1}\) sodium carbonate solution and 4 mL methanol–water (50:50, v/v) were added; then the mixture was vortexed for a further 10 min and allowed to stand at room temperature in darkness for 60 min. The absorbance at 750 nm was recorded using a Synergy TM HT-multimode microplate spectrophotometer (BioTek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA) and quantified using gallic acid as a standard. BioTek Gen5TM data analysis software was used. Results were expressed as mg gallic acid equivalent (GAE) g \(^{-1}\) sample. Limit of quantification was set at 1.9 mg GAE g \(^{-1}\) sample.

**FRAP assay**
Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) was determined as described by Morales et al.\(^{25}\) Absorbance reading was taken using a microplate reader as described above. Aqueous solutions of Trolox at various concentrations were used for calibration. All measurements were performed in triplicate. Results were expressed as µmol Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC) g \(^{-1}\) sample. Limit of quantitation was set at 3.8 µmol TEAC g \(^{-1}\) sample.

**ABTS assay**
Antioxidant activity was estimated in terms of the radical scavenging activity of samples following the procedure described by Delgado-Andrade and Morales\(^{26}\) and modified by Mesías et al.\(^{23}\) ABTS cations (ABTS \(\bullet^+\)) were produced by reacting 7 mmol L \(^{-1}\) ABTS stock solution with 2.45 mmol L \(^{-1}\) potassium persulfate and allowing the mixture to stand in the dark at room temperature for 12–16 h before use. The ABTS\(\bullet^+\) solution was diluted with distilled water to an absorbance of 0.70 ± 0.02 at 734 nm. 40 µL of sample (appropriately diluted if necessary) was added to 200 µL water and 40 µL diluted ABTS\(\bullet^+\) solution and an absorbance reading was taken after 10 min using a microplate reader as described above. Aqueous solutions of Trolox at concentrations of 0.016–0.5 mmol L \(^{-1}\) were used for calibration. Limit of quantification was set at 1.1 µmol TEAC g \(^{-1}\) sample.

**Statistical analysis**
Statistical analyses were performed using Statgraphics Centurion XV (Herndon, VA, USA). Data were expressed as mean±standard deviation (SD). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and least significant difference (LSD) test were applied to determine differences between means. Differences were considered to be significant at P <0.05. Relationships between the different parameters analyzed were evaluated by computing Pearson linear correlation coefficients at the P<0.05 confidence level.

**RESULTS**
Reactive carbonyl species, such as MGO, are critical intermediates formed during glycation of proteins in vivo and from food processing. Clinical investigations have already clearly shown the relationship between MGO and type II diabetes and its related pathologies.\(^{11}\) Hence trapping reactive carbonyl species could be one of the promising alternatives to ameliorate the physiological consequences of glycation. This investigation explores the use of selected GSE as effective quenchers of MGO under simulated physiological conditions.

Screening was carried out to classify the MGO trapping activity for different red and white GSE and the results are shown for red and white GSE in Fig. 1 (A,B, respectively). Due to the different reactivity observed among varieties, concentrations ranged from 0 to 5 mgmL \(^{-1}\) (n=6) for red GSE samples (Fig. 1A) and from 0 to 10 mgmL \(^{-1}\) (n=7) for white GSE samples (Fig. 1B). Five groups of samples (R-G1, R-G2, R-G3, W-G1, W-G2, W-G3) were selected.

R-G4, and R-G5) were identified for red GSE. CR and DO varieties did not show significant differences and therefore they were placed in group R-G2. In a similar way, white GSE samples were divided into three groups, these being CH, MO (W-G1); MT, AR, NA (W-G2); and ER, RI (W-G3). The grouping was done based on the trapping capacities of the extracts, where samples showing similar activities were represented with the same trend line (mean±SD). The MGO trapping assay was highly reproducible and the standard deviation for each sample was lower than 2%.

Red GSE efficiently trapped ∼80–90% of MGO at 5 mgmL⁻¹ concentration except for GR and NR extracts (Fig. 1A). As white GSE samples showed lower trapping activity when compared with red GSE, it was necessary to increase the concentration to 10.0 mgmL⁻¹ (Fig. 1B) in order to calculate the maximum trapping activity and IC50 values. A wide range of variation in MGO trapping activity was observed among red GSE. In contrast, the variability was lower for white GSE samples. This fact can be evidenced in the IC50 values, where the concentration required to trap 50% of the MGO present in the reaction medium was estimated for each of the extracts. For red GSE, the concentrations for IC50 ranged from 1.0 to 6.5mgmL⁻¹, with a mean of 2.8±2.0 mgmL⁻¹ (Fig. 2). The maximum IC50 represented an even outlier value in the box-plot representation, which corroborates the high variability shown by these extracts. The FR extract had the lowest IC50, associated with its high MGO trapping capacity. In contrast, the NR extract showed the highest IC50, corresponding to its low MGO trapping capacity. In the case of NR extracts, concentrations of 7.5 and 10.0 mgmL⁻¹ were additionally tested in order to calculate their IC50 values, since at 5.0 mgmL⁻¹ the MGO trapping capacity reached only 38%. All the extracts exhibited IC50 values about 50-fold higher than that of pyridoxamine (0.05 mgmL⁻¹). For white GSE, the concentrations for IC50 ranged from 1.8 to 4.5 mgmL⁻¹ (range: 2.7 mgmL⁻¹), with a mean of 3.2±1.0 mgmL⁻¹. This range was within the broad range shown for red GSE and, therefore, no significant differences were observed in the IC50 mean in the two types of grapes. CH (included in W-G1) had the lowest IC50 value (1.8 mgmL⁻¹), whereas RI (included in W-G3) had the highest IC50 value (4.5 mgmL⁻¹). White GSE also had IC50 values higher than that of pyridoxamine.

Based on the former results, a more detailed kinetic study was performed in order to evaluate the trapping efficiency for each extract throughout the 168 h experiment at 24 h intervals. To carry out this kinetic monitoring, the IC50 values for the MGO trapping activity were considered and concentrations of 2.5 and 5.0 mgmL⁻¹ were selected for red and white GSE samples, respectively. These concentrations were chosen since most of the extracts surpassed 50% of MGO trapping without reaching their maximum activity (Fig. 3). The results obtained from this kinetic study revealed that FR (R-G1) had the highest MGO trapping efficiency among the red GSE, scavenging 50% of the carbonyl compound in only 18 h, which was lower than the time required for PM at the tested concentration (20 h) (data not shown). BA (R-G3) needed 114 h to trap half of the MGO in the reaction medium, whereas GR (R-G4) and NR (R-G5) did not reach 50% of MGO trapping during the 168 h experiment, as was expected according to the trend of these samples in the previous trapping activity assays (Fig. 3A). The higher variability between the red GSE when compared with white GSE is again evident in the kinetic experiment (Fig. 3). Regarding white GSE, all the extracts trapped 50% of the MGO during the 168 h experiment at the tested concentrations, ranging from 30 h (MO included in W-G1) to 114 h (ER, included in W-G3), which indicates inversely the MGO trapping efficiency for the samples (Fig. 3B).

Regardless of the levels reached for their trapping activities, it should be emphasized that all of the extracts surpassed ∼40–50% of their final trapping activity within the first 24 h of the kinetic study, which explains
the efficiency of the extracts to trap reactive carbonyl species in such a short span of time. During this short time span, FR (R-G1) and MT (included in W-G2) extracts even reached 66% and 62% of their maximum activity, respectively.

Characterization of the extracts included the determination of total phenolic content and antioxidant capacity by FRAP and ABTS assays. Total phenolic content for red GSE presented higher values (2.77–18.31 mg gallic acid g\(^{-1}\) sample) when compared with those for white GSE (2.28–5.25 mg gallic acid g\(^{-1}\) sample) (Fig. 4A). In accordance with this, red GSE showed higher reducing power and free radical scavenging capacity than white GSE. FRAP results ranged between 28.9 and 337.0 \(\mu\)mol TEAC g\(^{-1}\) extract in the case of red GSE and between 29.2 and 101.3 \(\mu\)mol TEAC g\(^{-1}\) extract for white GSE (Fig. 4B). The ABTS results varied from 18.8 to 101.2 \(\mu\)mol TEAC g\(^{-1}\) extract for red GSE and from 22.5 to 53.7 \(\mu\)mol TEAC g\(^{-1}\) extract for white GSE (Fig. 4C).

**DISCUSSION**

MGO is one of the promoters of AGE formation as measured in glycation assays. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that MGO is formed in the early stage of glycation from the degradation of glucose, Schiff base adduct, 3-deoxyglucosone or fructosamine.\(^6\) The present study examined the MGO trapping activity of methanolic extracts from different red and white grape skins obtained from winemaking by-products of different cultivars. The aim was to evaluate whether MGO trapping activity could explain the overall antiglycative properties of the extracts, previously demonstrated.\(^16,17\) For this purpose, different concentrations of GSE were incubated in the presence of MGO under physiological conditions (pH 7.4, 37ºC) for 168 h. The concentration required for each of the samples to trap 50% of the MGO present in the reaction medium was estimated. As mentioned above, a kinetic study was performed in order to evaluate the trapping efficiency for each extract throughout the 168 h experiment at 24 h intervals. In this experiment, the time necessary for each of the samples to trap 50% of the MGO present in the reaction medium was calculated.

According to the result obtained, both red and white GSE exhibited promising MGO scavenging capability, as shown in Fig. 1. This may explain the mechanism involved in the ability of the extracts to inhibit AGES formation, as previously reported.\(^16,17\) Some differences were found between red and white GSE, which could be due to both the varying chemical composition of the extracts and their behavior in the model reaction systems.

It is well known that grapes are rich sources of polyphenolic compounds such as anthocyanins, flavanols, flavonols and proanthocyanidins, all of which possess antioxidant and antiglycation properties.\(^14,27\) It can therefore be expected that similar beneficial effects can be attained from winemaking by-products.\(^16,17,28\) There is evidence that polyphenols play a major role in direct MGO trapping capacity.\(^29,30\) In agreement with this, \(IC_{50}\) trapping capacity for red GSE was found to be significantly correlated with their total phenolic content \(r^2 = -0.8835, P =0.0196\) (Fig. 4A) and consequently with FRAP values \(r^2 = -0.8444, P =0.0344\) (Fig. 4B) and ABTS values \(r^2 = -0.8655, P =0.0259\) (Fig. 4C).

However, despite the fact that the direct MGO trapping results for both red and white GSE were very close, the aforementioned correlation was not observed in white extracts (Fig. 4A, B, C), which suggests that in these samples other compounds were involved in MGO trapping. This could imply that components from red GSE tend to inhibit AGE generation by directly quenching reactive carbonyl compounds. Anthocyanins are a major class of flavonoids in red grapes, and their ability to trap MGO has been
demonstrated in blackcurrant berries, this inhibiting efficiency being associated with delphinidin 3-rutinoside and cyanidin 3-rutinoside. However, in the case of white GSE, mechanisms other than dicarbonyl trapping may be involved in their antiglycative properties. Similar results have been reported by other authors, who did not find any correlation between phenolic content or antioxidant capacity and anti-AGE activity. The reason could be that polyphenols are not the sole antiglycative agents present in the extracts and therefore other compounds might be contributing to their overall anti-AGE activity. In addition, polyphenols could have either synergistic or antagonistic effects on other constituents in the reaction medium, thus affecting carbonyl trapping capacity.

CONCLUSIONS
The in vitro MGO trapping capacity of six red and seven white GSE obtained from winemaking by-products was investigated. Methanolic GSE could inhibit the formation of AGEs by direct trapping of MGO under physiological conditions. The high efficiency of the extracts was proven by the short time required to trap 50% of the dicarbonyl compound. In conclusion, GSE possess good carbonyl trapping abilities and could be the source of a natural dietary antiglycation agent. It also opens up the opportunity for their utilization as a possible nutraceutical ingredient during fortification studies. However, further research is required to understand the mechanism involved in the inhibitory activities of red and white grape skin samples.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
PSC Sri Harsha would like to thank the University of Milan for providing additional funding through the ERASMUS student network program. This work was partly funded by projects CSIC-201370E027, AGER (project number 2010–2222) and S2013/ABI-3028-AVANSECAL.

REFERENCES


**FIGURES**

**Figure 1.** Dose-dependent results for MGO trapping capacity experiment at 168 h of methanolic grape skin extracts (GSE): (A) red GSE; (B) white GSE. Samples with similar trends were grouped and represented with the same trend line (red GSE: R-G1: FR ; R-G2: CR, DO , R-G3: BA ; R-G4: GR ; R-G5: NR ; white GSE: W-G1: CH, MO ; W-G2: MT, AR, NA ; W-G3: ER, RI ). PM solution (0.1 mgmL$^{-1}$) presented an MGO trapping capacity of 99% (not shown). For clarity only the higher error bars are represented.
Figure 2. Box-plot representation and estimated IC$_{50}$ (mgmL$^{-1}$) for MGO trapping capacity experiment at 168 h of methanolic grape skin extracts (GSE): Red GSE, ; white GSE, . Results are expressed as mean±SD; n=4. Different letters mean significant differences (P < 0.05). PM presented an IC$_{50}$ of 0.005 mgmL$^{-1}$. Asterisk indicates outlier data.
Figure 3. Kinetic study of direct MGO trapping efficiency of methanolic grape skin extracts (GSE) for 168 h: (A) red GSE at 2.5 mgmL⁻¹; (B) white GSE at 5 mgmL⁻¹. Samples were grouped and represented as in Fig. 1. Results are expressed as mean±SD; n=4. PM solution (final concentration 0.1 mgmL⁻¹) represented an MGO trapping capacity of 98%.
Figure 4. Correlation between MGO trapping capacity, total phenolic content and antioxidant capacity. Correlation between IC$_{50}$ (mgmL$^{-1}$) for MGO trapping capacity and (A) total phenolic content (TPC), (B) results from FRAP assays and (C) results from ABTS assay for red GSE, ; and white GSE, . GAE, gallic acid equivalent; TEAC, Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity.