IODP Expedition 338: NanTroSEIZE Stage 3: NanTroSEIZE plate boundary deep riser 2
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Abstract. The Nankai Trough Seismogenic Zone Experiment (NanTroSEIZE) is designed to investigate fault mechanics and seismogenesis along a subduction megathrust, with objectives that include characterizing fault slip, strain accumulation, fault and wall rock composition, fault architecture, and state variables throughout an active plate boundary system. Integrated Ocean Drilling Program (IODP) Expedition 338 was planned to extend and case riser Hole C0002F from 856 to 3600 meters below the seafloor (m b.s.f.). Riser operations extended the hole to 2005.5 m b.s.f., collecting logging-while-drilling (LWD) and measurement-while-drilling, mud gas, and cuttings data. Results reveal two lithologic units within the inner wedge of the accretionary prism that are separated by a prominent fault zone at ∼1640 m b.s.f. Due to damage to the riser during unfavorable winds and strong currents, riser operations were suspended, and Hole C0002F left for re-entry during future riser drilling operations.

Contingency riserless operations included coring at the forearc basin site (C0002) and at two slope basin sites (C0021 and C0022), and LWD at one input site (C0012) and at three slope basin sites (C0018, C0021 and C0022). Cores and logs from these sites comprehensively characterize the alteration stage of the oceanic basement input to the subduction zone, the early stage of Kumano Basin evolution, gas hydrates in the forearc basin, and recent activity of the shallow megasplay fault zone system and associated submarine landslides.

1 Introduction

Subduction zones generate Earth’s most destructive earthquakes, but much of what we thought we knew about great earthquakes, and the tsunamis they generate, was turned upside down by the 2004 Sumatra and 2011 Tohoku events. To better understand seismogenesis and rupture propagation along subduction plate boundary faults, the Integrated Ocean Drilling Program (IODP) implemented drilling as part of the Nankai Trough Seismogenic Zone Experiment (NanTroSEIZE) along a transect offshore of the Kii Peninsula, Honshu, Japan (Tobin and Kinoshita, 2006; Figs. 1, 2).

The Nankai Trough is formed by subduction of the Philippine Sea Plate to the northwest beneath the Eurasian Plate at a rate of ∼4.1–6.5 cm yr⁻¹ (Fig. 1) (Seno et al., 1993; Miyazaki and Heki, 2001), and Shikoku Basin oceanic plate sediment is actively accreting at the deformation front. In the seaward portion of the Kumano forearc basin, thegenic zone lies <6000 m below sea floor (m b.s.f.) (Nakanishi et al., 2002). The Nankai Trough region has a 1300 yr historical record of recurring great earthquakes that are typically tsunamigenic, including the 1944 Tonankai Mw 8.2 and 1946 Nankai Mw 8.3 earthquakes (Fig. 1; Ando, 1975; Hori et al., 2004, Baba et al., 2006).
The fundamental objectives of Expedition 338 are:

- To sample the forearc basin sediment and gas hydrate zone, the Kumano forearc basin — accretionary prism unconformity, and the upper portion of the inner wedge to (1) determine the composition, age, stratigraphy, and internal style of deformation; (2) characterize the gas hydrate zone in the forearc basin; (3) reconstruct thermal, diagenetic, and metamorphic history; (4) investigate the mechanical state and behavior of the formation; and (5) characterize the overall structural evolution of the accretionary prism.

- To characterize the sedimentary section and mass transport deposits (MTDs) in a slope basin seaward of the megasplay fault at sites C0018 and C0021 (Figs. 3, 4) to understand the nature of MTDs and their sliding dynamics and tsunamigenic potential.

- To target the uppermost 400 m b.s.f. near the projected fault tip of the megasplay fault. The seismic reflection data had previously identified this region as the tip of the megasplay fault that emplaced the block drilled at Site C0004 over slope basin strata (Fig. 5; Moore et al., 2009). This megasplay fault is thought to coincide with the outermost rupture area of the Tonankai earthquake, and its slip was likely in part responsible for the associated devastating tsunami (Park et al., 2002; Moore et al., 2007).

- To target the uppermost 400 m b.s.f. near the projected fault tip of the megasplay fault. The seismic reflection data had previously identified this region as the tip of the megasplay fault that emplaced the block drilled at Site C0004 over slope basin strata (Fig. 5; Moore et al., 2009). This megasplay fault is thought to coincide with the outermost rupture area of the Tonankai earthquake, and its slip was likely in part responsible for the associated devastating tsunami (Park et al., 2002; Moore et al., 2007).

- To characterize the sedimentary section and the upper portion of the oceanic crust (Site C0012) in the Shikoku
Figure 2. Regional 2-D seismic line showing Expedition 338 sites (red) in relation to NanTroSEIZE Stage 1 and 2 sites (black). PSP = Philippine Sea Plate. Lines at top with arrows indicate seaward distribution of coseismic slips 1944 and 1946 earthquakes estimated from tsunami inversion (red; Tanioka and Satake, 2001) and seismic waveform inversion (blue; Ichinose et al., 2003; Kikuchi et al., 2003). Location shown in Fig. 1. Modified from Park et al. (2002, 2008) and Nakanishi et al. (2008).

Figure 3. Detailed surface morphology and structure of the slope basin at the footwall of the splay fault (Strasser et al., 2011) showing Expedition 338 sites (red) in relation to NanTroSEIZE Stage 1 and 2 sites (black). Solid black lines = locations of seismic lines A–A′ and B–B′ in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively. MTD = mass transport deposit, IL = in-line, XL = cross-line.

Basin on the crest of Kashinosaki Knoll (Ike et al., 2008) on the subducting Philippine Sea Plate (Figs. 1, 2) to understand (1) how compressional velocity relates to compaction state and fluid sources; and (2) how igneous basement structures relate to the alteration state.

2.1 Site C0002 in Kumano forearc basin

Five lithologic units (I–V), based on cuttings and cores, are identified at Site C0002 (Fig. 6). In the Kumano forearc basin sediment (lithologic units II and III) in holes C0002J, C0002K, and C0002L, bedding is subhorizontal to gently dipping. At the base of lithologic Unit III, however, bedding is intensely disrupted and boudinaged. Vein structures (Ogawa, 1980) were observed in cores and cuttings exclusively from Unit III in holes C0002F and C0002J.

The lithologic Unit III/IV boundary is defined at different depths in holes C0002F and C0002J as a result of mixing of cuttings over an interval of as much as 100 m in

Figure 4. Lithostratigraphic summary columns of sites C0018 and C0021 overlain on an arbitrary seismic line A–A′ linking the two sites. Seismic line location shown in Fig. 3. MTD = mass transport deposit, VE = vertical exaggeration.

Figure 5. In-line 2675 (B–B′) extracted from 3-D seismic volume, showing sites C0004, C0008, and C0022 (Moore et al., 2009). Location shown in Fig. 3. VE = vertical exaggeration.

C0002F, resulting in uncertainty of that depth magnitude (see Strasser et al., 2014a for a detailed discussion of reaming while drilling and the mixing of cuttings). The lithologic Unit III/IV boundary was cored at 926.7 m b.s.f. in Hole C0002J (Fig. 6), where we interpret it as an erosional unconformity. In the upper accretionary prism (lithologic Unit IV, holes C0002H and C0002J), bedding is subhorizontal to steeply dipping toward the south or north. Up to 10% of the cuttings in the interval 1550.5–1675.5 m b.s.f. exhibit slickenlined surfaces. This interval correlates with the high fracture
concentration interval of 1500–1550 m b.s.f. and a fault identified at 1638 m b.s.f. on LWD resistivity images.

Salinity, chlorinity, and sodium in interstitial water show similar changes with depth, reaching minimum concentrations at 300–500 m b.s.f. (Fig. 7). These minimum concentrations are attributable to freshwater derived from the dissolution of methane hydrate.

Methane in headspace gas shows a relatively high concentration interval of 1500–1550 m b.s.f. and a fault identified at 1638 m b.s.f. on LWD resistivity images.
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2.2.1 Site C0018

Six MTDs (1–6) were identified in cores from Hole C0018A (Expedition 333 Scientists, 2012a); however, the lower resolution of the LWD data allowed interpretation of only two MTD intervals in Hole C0018B by resistivity image analysis. The tadpole diagram (bedding dip angle in Fig. 8) shows the high-angle, randomly oriented bedding (Fig. 8). Logging MTD B corresponds to lithologic MTD 6 in cores from Hole C0018A, while the depth range of logging MTD A encompasses lithologic MTDs 3–5 of Hole C0018A (Fig. 8).

2.2.2 Site C0021

Lithologic Unit IA is composed of mottled silty clay with rare thin interbeds of fine sand and ash layers. Lithologic Unit IB is composed of a succession of thin sand beds interbedded with silty clay and occasional ash layers (Fig. 9). Unit IA also contains two intervals of MTD with chaotic and distorted bedding, i.e., MTD A and MTD B (Fig. 9).

The top of MTDs A and B are defined by a zone of mud clasts capped by a thin draping sand. Below the mud clasts, a zone of chaotic/tilted/homogenous bedding occurs. The base of the MTDs is defined as the last occurrence of a shear zone, which also corresponds to the base of a zone with relatively high shear strength. We note that MTD A identified in Hole C0021B and the upper zone with chaotic bedding observed in Hole C0021A do not have the same characteristics as MTD A identified from structural analysis of the resistivity image data in Hole C0018B (Strasser et al., 2014b), which was postulated to correspond to several of the smaller MTDs observed in Hole C0018A cores (Strasser et al., 2014b; Expedition 333 Scientists, 2012a). The mismatch between the upper sections of these two sites is corroborated by the seismic data (Fig. 4), which show that the package of low reflectivity at the corresponding depth at Site C0021 is truncated to the southeast and does not extend to Site C0018.

A prominent regional seismic reflection (Kimura et al., 2011; Strasser et al., 2011) marks the top of the thickest MTD...
Figure 7. Profiles of salinity, chlorinity, and Na⁺ in interstitial water, and those of methane and propane in headspace gas at Site C0002. Gray dashed line indicates level of BSR for reference. Lithologic unit boundaries are from core interpretations (after Expedition 315 Scientists, 2009; Strasser et al., 2014a).

Figure 8. Core-log-seismic integration at Site C0018: LWD data, lithology with mass transport deposit (MTD) intervals and lithologic units, seismic data from In-line 2315 (Kumano 3-D PSDM volume; Moore et al., 2009), bedding dips, and medium button static resistivity.
2.2.3 Site C0022

LWD data and cores were collected at Site C0022 to characterize the uppermost 400 m of sediment near the tip of the megasplay fault zone where the seaward-most branch of this fault system approaches the surface (Figs. 2, 5; Moore et al., 2007, 2009).

Two lithologic subunits are recognized in Hole C0022B. Subunit designations are adopted with minor modification from Site C0008 (Expedition 316 Scientists, 2009). Subunit IA is dominated by silty clay with a variable component of calcareous nanofossils, foraminifers, siliceous biogenic debris, and volcanic ash (Fig. 10). Subunit IB consists of a series of interbedded mud clast gravels with thin sand, clayey silt, and silty clay in the upper part and is dominated by silty clay in the lower part (Fig. 10). This mud clast gravel is correlative with a similar section at ∼245–270 m b.s.f. in lithologic Subunit IB of Hole C0008A (Expedition 316 Scientists, 2009).

Bedding is subhorizontal with dip angles <15° throughout the entire section, except in the vicinity of the possible splay fault.

The interval of 100–101 m b.s.f. (delineated by gray line, Fig. 10) is a plausible candidate for the location of the splay fault at Site C0022, because of: (1) increased bedding dip with systematic orientation; (2) more minor faults 20 m above this interval; (3) poor core recovery; and (4) three 2 cm-thick intervals of claystone showing planar fabrics not encountered elsewhere in Hole C0022B.

A high-resistivity (up to ∼1.5 Ωm) interval at 85–88 m b.s.f., a low-resistivity (0.72 Ωm) spike at 100 m b.s.f., and a high-resistivity (up to ∼1.7 Ωm) interval at 102–106 m b.s.f. correspond to a highly fractured zone and are likely related to the megasplay faulting (Fig. 10).

Interstitial water data in Hole C0022B are similar to those in holes C0004D, C0008A, and C0008D. However, the depth profiles of pH, chlorinity, Na⁺, Ca²⁺, Fe²⁺, Li⁺ and Rb⁺ change at ∼100 m b.s.f., perhaps associated with the megasplay fault.

MAD measurements show that porosity decreases from 70 % at the seafloor to 45–50 % at ∼100 m b.s.f. and then increases to 60 % at 150 m b.s.f. The minimum porosity occurs at 93.4–94.7 m b.s.f., which is close to the proposed location of the megasplay fault.

---

**Figure 9.** Core-log-seismic integration at Site C0021: LWD data, lithology with mass transport deposit (MTD) intervals and lithologic units, seismic data from In-line 2270 (Kumano 3-D PSDM volume; Moore et al., 2009), bedding dips, and medium button static resistivity.
2.3 Site C0012 on Kashinosaki Knoll

LWD data in Hole C0012H (0 to 709.0 m b.s.f.) can be combined with core analyses from previous expeditions (Expedition 322 Scientists, 2010; Expedition 333 Scientists, 2012b) and seismic data (Park et al., 2008) to characterize the subduction zone inputs.

Based on variations of the gamma ray data, eight logging units were identified: six (I–VI) within the sedimentary cover and two (VII and VIII) within the basement, which are mostly comparable to lithologic units identified by Expedition 322 Scientists (2010) and Expedition 333 Scientists (2012b) (Fig. 11). Logging units IV, VI and VII were further divided into subunits based on resistivity and sonic velocity.

Logging Unit VII (530.3–626.6 m b.s.f.) represents the uppermost part of the oceanic basement, and corresponds to lithologic Unit VII and seismic Unit G (Fig. 11). Through logging Unit VII, the resistivity, $P$ wave velocity and gamma ray logs exhibit significant variations, with jumps to high resistivity and gamma ray values in subunit VIIB (Fig. 11), possibly reflecting variable sediment volume within the basement or variable alteration of the basalt.

Logging Unit VIII (626.6–709 m b.s.f.) is characterized by low gamma ray values with minor fluctuations, high resistivity values and $P$ wave velocities of $\sim 4$–$5$ km s$^{-1}$, suggesting the presence of uniform or fresh basalt (Fig. 11).

3 Summary

Riser drilling was conducted in Hole C0002F to 2005.5 m b.s.f. and suspended for future reoccupation and completion of the NanTroSEIZE project. LWD data, mud-gas analyses, and cuttings samples in Hole C0002F provided constraints on lithology, structure, physical properties, and geochemistry of the previously unaccessed deeper part of the Nankai accretionary prism. Riserless coring in holes C0002H, C0002J, C0002K, and C0002L provided core samples (1) across the previously unsampled gas hydrate zone of the Kumano forearc basin; (2) across the Kumano forearc basin – accretionary prism unconformity, and (3) in the uppermost accretionary prism. Thus, these operations enabled not only exploration of the accretionary prism to $\sim 2005$ m b.s.f., but also complemented current knowledge of Site C0002.
LWD at sites C0012 and C0018 provided petrophysical data to complement coring from expeditions 322 and 333. Integration of existing core and 3-D seismic data with new LWD data enabled us to characterize the petrophysical, lithological and structural manifestation of the oceanic basement and its overlying sediment at a subduction input site (Site C0012) as well as submarine landslide dynamics and MTD emplacement processes at a Nankai Trough Submarine Landslide History (NanTroSLIDE) site (Site C0018).

LWD and coring at Site C0021 provided further information on the nature, provenance, and kinematics of the MTDs observed at Site C0018 and provided data on the lateral heterogeneity of MTDs.

LWD and coring at Site C0022 provided logging data and samples across the tip of the megasplay fault, which provided additional information on the activity of the megasplay fault and its bearing on earthquakes and tsunamis.
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