Entanglement swapping between spacelike-separated atoms
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We show a mechanism that projects a pair of neutral two-level atoms from an initially uncorrelated state to a maximally entangled state while they remain spacelike separated. The atoms both begin also in a common electromagnetic vacuum, and the radiation is collected with a partial Bell-state analyzer. If the interaction time is short enough and a certain two-photon Bell state is detected after the interaction, a high degree of entanglement, even maximal, can be generated while one atom is outside the light cone of the other, for arbitrary large interatomic distances.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Entanglement between distant atoms is a key resource for quantum information and computation. There are mainly two different known ways to generate it: by interaction between the atoms (for instance, [1]) or by detection of the emitted photons [2–6]. Some of these proposals have been realized experimentally (for instance, [7]). For the latter cases, in principle, there is no reason to expect that the swapping [8] of atom-photon to atom-atom entanglement can only begin to occur when one atom enters into the light cone of the other.

The possibility of entanglement generation between spacelike-separated atoms is of both theoretical and practical interest, and was addressed from different points of view in [9–12]. In [12], we analyze this issue perturbatively in a simple model of a pair of two-level atoms interacting locally with the electromagnetic field, initially in the vacuum state [13]. Tracing over the field states, the atoms are only classically correlated, but applying $|n\rangle \langle n|$ ($n=0,1,2$ being the number of photons up to second order in perturbation theory), the atoms get entangled. For $n=0$ the entanglement is generated by the interaction term and therefore is only relevant when one atom enters into the light cone of the other, despite the finiteness of the Feynman propagator beyond that region. But for $n=1,2$ entanglement may be sizable, although small, if the interatomic distance is short enough. In [10], the trace over the field states was considered in a model with a pair of two-level detectors coupled to a scalar field. The detectors may get entangled if a suitable time-dependent coupling is introduced, and this was applied to a linear ion trap in [11]. In [9], only the vacuum case when $t \to 0$ was analyzed, and no entanglement measures were considered.

There are two possible interpretations for these effects: as a transfer of preexisting entanglement of the vacuum [10,11] or as a consequence of the propagation of virtual quanta outside the light cone [9]. Both are compared and discussed in [9].

In this paper we will go one step further and consider that the photons are detected with definite momenta and polarizations. We show that, in principle, a high degree of entanglement, even maximal, can be generated between spacelike separated atoms if a Bell state of the emitted photons is detected. We will consider a pair of neutral two-level atoms separated by a fixed and arbitrary distance and study the evolution of an initially uncorrelated state under local interaction with the electromagnetic field. We focus on the two-photon emission which, although it has a smaller probability of success, shows a larger fidelity of the projected state with the desired state and has an entanglement robust to atomic recoil [14]. The photons pass through a partial Bell-state analyzer [15], and we use entanglement measures to study the evolution of entanglement in the projected atomic states after detection of the different photonic Bell states. The results show that interaction times must be short, but interatomic distances can be as large as desired. The interaction time is independent of the photodetection time, which is only related with the distance from the atoms to the detectors. That distance can be such that the photodetection can occur while the atoms remain spacelike separated.

The results can be interpreted as a transfer of part of the vacuum entanglement after a postselection process. If no measurement were performed the atoms would have classical correlations transferred by the vacuum. In [10] the classical correlations may become entanglement with a suitable time-dependent coupling. The postselection process can be seen as an alternative way to achieve the entanglement transference. While the results in [9,10] are mainly theoretical, these could be probed experimentally, and would show for the first time the possibility of transfer entanglement from the vacuum state of the quantum field to spacelike-separated atoms.

II. ENTANGLEMENT SWAPPING BETWEEN SPACELIKE-SEPARATED ATOMS

To address the atom-field interactions, we assume that the relevant wavelengths and the interatomic separation are much larger than the atomic dimensions. The dipole approximation, appropriate to these conditions, permits the splitting of the electromagnetic field into two parts $H=H_0+H_I$ that are separately gauge invariant. The first part is the Hamiltonian in the absence of interactions other than the potentials that
keep A and B stable and the self-interaction terms that can be removed when radiative corrections are considered \[ H_0 = H_A + H_B + H_{\text{field}} \]. The second contains all the interactions of the atoms with the field \( H_I = -\frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \sum_n \mathbf{d}_n \cdot \mathbf{E} (\mathbf{x}_n, t) \), where \( \mathbf{D} \) is the electric displacement field, and \( \mathbf{d}_n = \sum_e i \hbar \mathbf{d}_e (E(\mathbf{x}_n, t)) \) is the electric dipole moment of atom \( n \), which we will take as real and of equal magnitude for both atoms \( (\mathbf{d}_A = \mathbf{d}_B) \), \( \mathbf{E} \) and \( \mathbf{G} \) being the excited and ground states of the atoms, respectively.

In what follows we choose a system given initially by the product state \( | \psi_0 \rangle = |E\rangle |0\rangle \) in which atoms A and B are in the excited state \( |E\rangle \) and the field in the vacuum state \( |0\rangle \). The system then evolves under the effect of the interaction during a lapse of time \( t \), and, up to order \( e^2 \), 0, 1, or 2 photons may be emitted. If after that a two-photon state is detected,

\[
|\Psi\rangle = |\text{photon } 1, \text{photon } 2\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \lambda, \lambda'} c_{\mathbf{k}, \lambda, \mathbf{k}', \lambda'} |\mathbf{k}, \lambda\rangle |\mathbf{k}', \lambda\rangle
\]

(1)

(being \( \hbar \mathbf{k}, \hbar \mathbf{k}' \) momenta and \( \lambda, \lambda' \) polarizations), the projected state, up to order \( e^2 \), can be given in the interaction picture as

\[
|\text{photons, atom } 1, \text{atom } 2\rangle = |\Psi\rangle \left( \frac{\langle f \rangle |E\rangle + |g\rangle |G\rangle}{N} \right),
\]

(2)

where

\[
f = \frac{1}{2} \langle \Psi | (S_A^+ S_B^+ + S_B^+ S_A^+) |0\rangle,
\]

\[
g = + \langle \Psi | (S_B^+ S_A^+) |0\rangle,
\]

(3)

and \( N = \sqrt{|f|^2 + |g|^2} \), being \( S = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t dt' H_I (t') \) \( (S^+ = S^+ + S^-) \), and \( T \) the time ordering operator. Here, \( g \) describes single-photon emission by both atoms, while \( f \) corresponds to two-photon emission by a single atom. The sign of the superscript is associated to the energy difference between the initial and final atomic states of each emission. In quantum optics, \( f \) is usually neglected by the introduction of a rotating wave approximation (RWA), but we will see later, for very short interaction times \( f \) and \( g \) may be of similar magnitude. Actually, a proper analysis of this model can be performed only beyond the RWA \([17–19]\). Without RWA vacuum entanglement cannot be transferred to the atoms with this particular postselection process, but the trace over the field states would be a classically correlated state, as in \([12]\). In that case, a one-photon postselection process would entangle the atoms.

Equation (3) can be written as

\[
f = \frac{1}{2} \langle \Psi | S_A^+ (t_2) S_A^+ (t_1) S_A^+ (t_2) S_B^+ (t_1) S_B^+ (t_2) |0\rangle,
\]

\[
g = + \langle \Psi | S_B^+ (t_2) S_A^+ (t_1) S_B^+ (t_2) |0\rangle.
\]

(4)

Finally, in the dipole approximation the actions \( \hbar S^2 \) in Eq. (4) reduce to

\[
S^2 = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t dt' e^{-i \Omega t'} \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{E} (\mathbf{x}, t'),
\]

(5)

where \( \Omega = \omega_E - \omega_G \) is the transition frequency, and we are neglecting atomic recoil. This depends on the atomic properties \( \Omega \) and \( \mathbf{d} \), and on the interaction time \( t \). In our calculations we will take \( \langle \Omega | d | E \rangle = 5 \times 10^{-4} \), which is of the same order as the strong transition in the hydrogen atom, consider \( \Omega t \approx 1 \), and analyze the cases \( (\Lambda/c) = 1 \) around the light cone, \( L \) being the interatomic distance. We will use the standard mode expansion for the electric field: \( \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) = i \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \sum_{k} d_k \sqrt{\hbar} k \hat{e}(\mathbf{k}, \lambda) a_{\lambda k} - e^{-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} a_{\lambda k}^\dagger \), with \( [a_{\lambda k}, a_{\lambda' k'}] = \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \delta_{\lambda, \lambda'} \).

The photons pass through a partial Bell-state analyzer \([15]\) consisting of a beam splitter (BS) and two polarization beam splitters (PBS) with four single-photon detectors at their output ports. If two detectors, one at one output port of one PBS and one at an output port of the other, click at the same time, a state \( |\Psi^-\rangle \) is detected, while if the two clicks are in the two output ports of only one PBS, the state is \( |\Psi^+\rangle \). If one of the four detectors emits a double click, the state can be \( |\Phi^+\rangle \) or \( |\Phi^-\rangle \). Taking into account momenta and symmetrization, the Bell states can be written as

\[
|\Psi^-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |\mathbf{k}, \lambda \rangle |\mathbf{k}', \lambda\rangle + |\mathbf{k}', \lambda\rangle |\mathbf{k}, \lambda\rangle \right) = \left( |\mathbf{k}, \lambda\rangle |\mathbf{k}', \lambda\rangle + |\mathbf{k}', \lambda\rangle |\mathbf{k}, \lambda\rangle \right),
\]

\[
|\Phi^-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |\mathbf{k}, \lambda \rangle |\mathbf{k}'\rangle \lambda\rangle + |\mathbf{k}'\rangle \lambda\rangle |\mathbf{k}, \lambda\rangle \right) = \left( |\mathbf{k}, \lambda\rangle |\mathbf{k}', \lambda\rangle + |\mathbf{k}', \lambda\rangle |\mathbf{k}, \lambda\rangle \right),
\]

(6)

where \( \uparrow \) and \( \downarrow \) are the photon polarizations, with polarization vectors

\[
\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}, 1) + \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}, 2) \right],
\]

and

\[
\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}, 1) - \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}, 2) \right],
\]

where

\[
\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}, 1) = (\cos \theta_2 \cos \phi_2 \cos \theta_2 \sin \phi_2 \cos \theta_2 \sin \theta_2)
\]

and

\[
\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}, 2) = (-\sin \phi_2 \cos \phi_2 \cos \theta_2 \sin \theta_2)
\]

Here \( |\mathbf{k}, \lambda, \lambda'\rangle = a_{\lambda k} a_{\lambda' k}^\dagger |0\rangle \).

We will use the concurrence \([20]\) \( \mathcal{C} \) to compute the entanglement of the atomic states when the different Bell states are detected. The concurrence of the atomic part of a state like Eq. (2) is just given by

\[
\mathcal{C} = \frac{2|fg|}{N^2}.
\]

(7)

We assume that the atoms A, B are along the y axis, at \( y = \pm L/2 \), respectively, and the dipoles are parallel along the z axis, corresponding to an experimental setup in which the
dipoles are induced by suitable external fields [9]. We also take $|\hat{\mathbf{r}}|=|\hat{\mathbf{r}}'|=\Omega/c$.

Under that condition, the first remarkable thing is that for $|\Psi^\text{v}\rangle$ and $|\Phi^\text{v}\rangle$, we have $f=g=0$. Therefore, at least while only $E1$ transitions are considered, in this model the Bell-state analyzer is complete: if two different detectors click the state is $|\Psi^\text{v}\rangle$, while if one detector clicks twice, the state is $|\Phi^\text{v}\rangle$. First, we focus on $|\Psi^\text{v}\rangle$. Considering Eqs. (4)-(6), with the mode expansion for the electric field and the commutation relation for the creation and annihilation operators, a standard computation leads to

$$f = \frac{K(\Omega, t, \theta)}{2} \left( \frac{z}{2} \right) \cos\left( \frac{z}{2} h_+ (\theta, \phi) \right),$$

$$g = K(\Omega, t, \theta) \left( \frac{z}{2} \right) \cos\left( \frac{z}{2} h_- (\theta, \phi) \right),$$

(8)

with $K(\Omega, t, \theta) = \frac{\cos^2(\theta/2)}{2\pi^2 e^{-2z^2/\Omega^2}} \sin \theta \sin \phi$, $j(\Omega t) = \frac{1}{z^2 \Omega^2} \frac{\sin \phi}{n^2}$, $h_+(\theta, \phi) = (\sin \theta \sin \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi)$, $h_- (\theta, \phi)$ corresponding to $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{k}}'$, $\phi_{k_2}$ to $\hat{\mathbf{k}}'$, and $z=\Omega L/c$. Notice that $j(\Omega t)$ decreases as $t$ grows, and eventually vanishes as $t \to \infty$ as required by energy conservation. The $L$ dependence is a result of the individual dependence on the position of each atom, not on the relative distance between them.

Taking into account Eqs. (7) and (8) the concurrence is given by

$$C = \frac{4}{\cos^3 \left( \frac{z}{2} h_+ (\theta, \phi) \right)} \left[ \frac{\cos \left( \frac{z}{2} h_+ (\theta, \phi) \right)}{j(\Omega t)} + \cos \left( \frac{z}{2} h_- (\theta, \phi) \right) \right].$$

(9)

Now, we assume that the 50:50 BS is at $(y, z)=(0, L/2)$, the two PBSs are at $(\pm z, 2L/2, 2L/2+2d/2, \sqrt{2})$, and the four detectors are at $(\pm z, \sqrt{2}, L/2+2d/2, \sqrt{2})$. Notice that this setup will depend on the value of $d$, which is the distance traveled by the photon to any detector after leaving the BS. Notice that, with this setup, $h_+ = 0$ and $h_- = \sqrt{2}$.

In Fig. 2 we represent Eq. (9) under these conditions as a function of $x=L/ct$ for three different values of $z$ (different values of $L$). Notice that a high degree of entanglement, maximal for $x$ large enough (short enough interaction times $t$), can be achieved in all cases when one atom is beyond the light cone of the other ($x > 1$). As $x \to \infty$ ($x \to 0$), the concurrence eventually vanishes, in agreement with the fact that the only atomic state allowed by energy conservation is just the separable state $|GG\rangle$.

In Fig. 3 we represent Eq. (9) as a function of $z$ for three different values of $z/\sqrt{x}$. Notice that a high degree of entanglement, maximal for $x$ large enough (short enough interaction times $t$), can be achieved in all cases when one atom is beyond the light cone of the other ($x > 1$). As $x \to \infty$ ($x \to 0$), the concurrence eventually vanishes, in agreement with the fact that the only atomic state allowed by energy conservation is just the separable state $|GG\rangle$.
state to a highly entangled state in a time shorter than the time required for the light to travel between them. At the initial time, both atoms are excited in a common electromagnetic vacuum. They are allowed to interact with the field due to an induced dipole during a time $t$, and, up to second order in perturbation theory, $n=0, 1, 2$ photons may be emitted. After that, the emitted radiation passes through a partial Bell-state analyzer. For interaction times $t = 10^{-15}$ s and if a two-photon Bell state $|\Psi^+\rangle$ or $|\Phi^+\rangle$ (the other two are forbidden in this model) is detected after that, the atoms are projected into an entangled state, which may be maximally entangled for short enough $t$. For a given $t$, the degree of entanglement oscillates periodically with the distance and the maximum degree available can be achieved for interatomic distances $L$ as large as desired. Notice that the interaction time $t$, which must be $t = 10^{-15}$ s, is absolutely independent of the time $t'$ at which the photodetection takes place. Since the distance traveled by the photons from the atoms to the detector is $L/\sqrt{2} + d$, $d$ being arbitrary, the photodetection can occur after a time $t' \leq L/c$. A suitable choice of $d$ is necessary in order to ensure that the atoms may remain spacelike separated. The degree of entanglement is independent of $d$.
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