Random dimer model in pseudo two-dimensional lattices
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In this work, we study long-time wave transport in correlated and uncorrelated disordered 2D arrays. When a separation of dimensions is applied to the model, we find that the predicted 1D random dimer phenomenology also appears in so-called pseudo-2D arrays. Therefore, a threshold behavior is observed in terms of the effective size for eigenmodes, as well as in long-time dynamics. For this threshold behavior to be observed a minimum system size is required, what is very important when considering a possible experimental realization. For the long-time evolution, we find that for short-range correlated lattices a super-diffusive long-range transport is observed, while for completely uncorrelated disorder in 2D transport becomes sub-diffusive within the localization length and random binary pseudo-2D arrays show localization.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The concept of wave localization due to disorder, known as Anderson localization (AL), has been around for quite some time [1], and several reviews have been written on this topic recently (cf. Refs [2–4]). This phenomenon appears as a consequence of the destructive interference of multiple scattered waves and has been observed in such different physical contexts as electronics, photonics, Bose-Einstein condensates (see Refs. [5–11]), to name a few. Whenever the corresponding physical system can be modeled with a Tight-Binding Hamiltonian with time-invariant potential for non-interacting particles, AL can be found. This is particularly the case for the propagation of light in evanescently coupled optical waveguide arrays. Here, over recent years, impressive progress in experimental development in one (1D) and two dimensions (2D) has been made [12, 13]. Diverse quantum, and condensed matter, phenomena have been reproduced in these -clean and macroscopic- setups, by using electromagnetic waves [14–16]. Moreover, it has been possible to incorporate controlled disorder during the fabrication of these photonic structures, and different studies concerning AL have been carried out [4, 17].

In general, localization properties depend strongly on the dimensionality of the system [18]. In difference to the three-dimensional (3D) case, in 1D and 2D already the slightest amount of uncorrelated disorder leads to a complete exponential localization for all eigenmodes without any mobility edge, even though the localization length is much larger for 2D systems [19]. But when correlations are included in the system, the picture changes dramatically [20] and long-range transport may be still possible, even in low dimensions (for a recent review see [21]). The paradigmatic example in 1D is the random dimer model (RDM) [22, 23], where the pairing of adjacent on-site energies (dimers), at random positions in the lattice, leads to two-site correlations for an otherwise random binary model. For finite lattices of length $N$, the RDM shows that, below a certain threshold of the disorder strength, there are $\sim \sqrt{N}$ extended (thus transparent) states, resulting in super-diffusive wave-packet evolution below the threshold, and diffusive transport exactly at the threshold region [22]. These delocalized eigenstates were shown in experiments [24, 25], whereas a direct observation of the transport properties was reported only recently [17].

A two-dimensional rectangular optical waveguide array can be thought as a classical analog to study quantum transport of two interacting particles in an one-dimensional chain, in the context of a Bose-Hubbard model. The problem is mapped to a 2D lattice, where the interaction between particles is described by taking the propagation constants at the lattice diagonal ($n = m$) different to the rest of the lattice [26–28]. Recently [29], it was shown that the interaction between particles could promote a metallic two-particle state in a 1D quasiperiodic lattice, whereas the single-particle (classical) regime presented no transport [30, 31]. Therefore, the study of a 2D random dimer lattice presents an interesting possibility to observe similar features in a model presenting a well defined transport transition.

In the present work, we will use a special construction of disorder in two dimensions, called pseudo 2D, to map our system described by a tight-binding Hamiltonian for non-interacting particles onto 1D chains. This enables us to access the super-diffusive transport properties in such arrays. We will first, in section II, present the model of pseudo-two-dimensional lattices using a separation ansatz; then, in section III, we use the extension of eigenmodes in smaller lattices to show the threshold behavior and its dependence on system size. In section IV we show the super-diffusive transport in the numerical long-time evolution of correlated arrays and compare the localization volume of random binary pseudo-2D arrays...
to 1D lattices. Finally, in section V we conclude the present work.

II. 2D RANDOM DIMER MODEL

We start from a 2D discrete linear Schrödinger equation corresponding to a tight-binding Hamiltonian for non-interacting particles, which also describes, e.g., the evolution of the field envelope amplitude of light propagating in the longitudinal direction \( z \) in a 2D linear waveguide array [32]:

\[
-i \frac{d}{dz} a_{n,m} = \epsilon_{n,m} a_{n,m} + \Delta_{nm} a_{n,m},
\]

with \( d_z \equiv \frac{d}{dz} \) and \( \epsilon_{n,m} \) corresponding to the onsite-propagation constant at site \( \{n, m\} \). Linear coupling, between different lattice sites of a square lattice, is defined as \( \Delta_{nm} a_{n,m} = C(a_{n,m+1} + a_{n,m-1} + a_{n+1,m} + a_{n+1,m}) \), where \( C \) represents the nearest-neighbor hopping constant. Without loss of generality, we set \( C = 1 \), having in mind its rescaling effect on site energies and the effective propagation time/distance. Now, by restricting our study to the case \( \epsilon_{n,m} = \epsilon_n + \epsilon_m \), we make a dimension reduction by means of a separable ansatz: \( a_{n,m}(z) = u_n(z) v_m(z) \). Thus, we obtain two independent set of equations [32] for each separable dimension:

\[
-i \frac{d}{dz} u_n = \epsilon_n u_n + (u_{n-1} + u_{n+1}) ,
\]

\[
-i \frac{d}{dz} v_m = \epsilon_m v_m + (v_{m-1} + v_{m+1}) .
\]

The propagation constants \( \epsilon_l \) \((l = n, m)\) are chosen in random pairs (dimers) as follows:

\[
\epsilon_l = \epsilon_{l+1} = \begin{cases} 
\Delta, & \text{if } \kappa \leq 1/2 \\
0, & \text{if } \kappa > 1/2 
\end{cases} ,
\]

where \( \kappa \) is chosen randomly in the interval \([0, 1] \). \( \Delta \) corresponds to the index (energy) contrast, which defines the differences in propagation constants (energies) between two different sites. In the following, we will consider four different cases of disorder realizations:

i. Equally correlated random dimers (ECORADI): \( \epsilon_n = \epsilon_m \) [sketched in Fig. 1(a)].

ii. Different correlated random dimers (DICORADI): \( \epsilon_n \neq \epsilon_m \) [sketched in Fig. 1(b)].

iii. A binary case of uncorrelated random monomers (UNCORAM); i.e., the case where the onsite propagation constants in model (1) are chosen randomly between two precise values: 0 or \( 2\Delta \).

iv. A binary case of pseudo-2D uncorrelated random monomers (RAMPS), where the onsite propagation constants for each site in model (2) are chosen randomly between the precise values: 0 or \( \Delta \).

III. EIGENMODES SIZE

To understand the fundamental properties of different lattices, it is crucial to get some information about the eigenmodes of every system. By analyzing their spatial localization features, we could gain a good insight of possible transport properties on the particular lattice. In order to investigate the spatial extension of a wave-packet in a square lattice of \( N \times N \) sites with fixed boundary conditions, we define the normalized participation ratio as

\[
R = \frac{P^2}{N^2 \sum_{n,m} |u_{n,m}|^4} ,
\]

where \( P = \sum_{n,m} |u_{n,m}|^2 \) being a conserved quantity of model (1). In an optical waveguide array context, \( P \) corresponds to the optical power (in other contexts as BEC’s, this quantity is usually named as Norm or Number of particles). The participation ratio \( R \) is a very useful quantity [33, 34], which helps us to identify the number of effectively excited sites of a given profile, it can be understood as well as an effective occupied area of the profile. For a highly localized wave packet, \( R \) approaches \( 1/N^2 \), and tends to 1 for the case of a completely homogeneous array excitation. We use it here to calculate the extension of the \( N^2 \) eigenmodes of a 2D square array with given disorder distribution.

Following the arguments presented in Ref. [22], in an 1D array of \( N \) lattice sites governed by the RDM, a fraction of \( \sqrt{N} \) eigenmodes are extended over the whole lattice, as long as \( \Delta \leq 2 \). Thus, long-range transport is possible below a certain threshold. Therefore, in a separable pseudo-2D array described by Eqs. (2), with system size \( N \times N \), we expect the same behavior, but for \( \sqrt{N^2} = N \) states. In Fig. 2, we plot \( \langle R_N \rangle \) versus the contrast degree \( \Delta \). \( R_N \) is defined as the average participation ratio for the \( N \) most extended (with largest \( R \)-value) eigenmodes, of a given realization and given \( \Delta \). Then, \( \langle R_N \rangle \) is obtained by averaging over 100 realizations for each \( \Delta \)-value, for different system sizes \( (N^2) \). For this computation, we choose small systems sizes \((N : \{20, 60\})\) to
Figure 2. \( \langle R_N \rangle \) vs. \( \Delta \) for (a) UNCORAM, (b) DICORADI, (c) UNCORAM and (d) RAPMS cases. All values are averaged over 100 realizations of disorder. The dot-dashed ( thin dashed, thick dashed, thin full, thick full) curve corresponds to \( N = 20, 30, 40, 50, 60 \), respectively.

trace the appearance of the threshold behavior. This is done in order to estimate the smallest \( N^2 \)-value required to observe the predicted phenomenology, which is important when thinking on an experimental implementation of the presented problem.

The participation ratio for all cases (i)-(iv) is displayed in Figs. 2 (a)-(d). For ECORADI [see Fig. 2(a)], the values of \( \langle R_N \rangle \) first decrease to some kind of plateau until \( \Delta = 2 \). In this region, the value of \( \langle R_N \rangle > 0.3 \). This corresponds roughly to an average size of the eigenmodes larger than 30\% of the lattice, what actually implies very delocalized states [for the ordered case (\( \Delta = 0 \)) and for very small \( \Delta \) extended linear modes cover around 45 - 50\% of the lattice]. For \( \Delta > 2 \), \( \langle R_N \rangle \) drops abruptly, especially for larger lattices. This phenomenon can be clearly attributed to the threshold behavior of the RDM [17, 22, 23], what is highly dependent on the system size. In order to indeed observe more modes with a localization length larger than the extension of the lattice, a larger system size is required. From this figure, it is difficult to determine the emergence of the AL because this is a dynamical effect which is associated with the absence of diffusion across the lattice. However, we could identify that its appearance must occur for \( \Delta > 3 \), where larger lattices have a \( \langle R_N \rangle < 0.1 \). A rather similar scenario, but without a clear plateau and without such a pronounced threshold, is observed for the case DICORADI [see Fig. 2(b)]. For all system sizes, we observe a smooth decrement of \( \langle R_N \rangle \), as a function of \( \Delta \), with some change on the curvature around \( \Delta \approx 2 \) (a more abrupt decrement is observed after this region). For the UNCORAM and RAMPS cases, the curves of \( \langle R_N \rangle \) show a rather smooth and fast decrement, without any threshold signature; they show only a reduction of the mode participation ratio as disorder grows, as uncorrelated disordered systems must show. Furthermore, for the RAMPS case we observe a smaller participation ratio for growing \( \Delta \) than for the UNCORAM case, suggesting a difference in localization volume for these cases, as will be confirmed in the following section IV. For all the cases, we observe that for \( N \gtrsim 50 \) results converge, and that the transition threshold becomes evident.

IV. LONG-TIME EVOLUTION

To characterize and determine the diffusion of a wavepacket, we study the evolution of the second moment, which measures the size of a given profile in terms of its width with respect to a given center of mass. For two dimensional lattices, we compute this quantity for each dimension separately. First of all, we define the center of mass in the horizontal and vertical directions

\[
\langle x(z) \rangle \equiv \frac{\sum_{n,m} n|u_{n,m}(z)|^2}{P},
\]

\[
\langle y(z) \rangle \equiv \frac{\sum_{n,m} m|u_{n,m}(z)|^2}{P},
\]

respectively. Then, we define the second moments, for each dimension, as

\[
M_x(z) \equiv \frac{\sum_{n,m} [n - \langle x(z) \rangle]^2 |u_{n,m}(z)|^2}{P},
\]

\[
M_y(z) \equiv \frac{\sum_{n,m} [m - \langle y(z) \rangle]^2 |u_{n,m}(z)|^2}{P}.
\]

The evolution along \( z \) is then averaged over the number of realizations \( (L) \) and over the horizontal and vertical directions. With this, we finally obtain an effective mean square displacement given by

\[
\langle M(z) \rangle = \frac{1}{2L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} [M_x(z) + M_y(z)]_i.
\]

For 1D lattices, the mobility threshold is located at \( \Delta = 2 \) [17, 22]. Below this threshold, the second moment evolves super-diffusively as \( \langle M(z) \rangle \propto z^{3/2} \), while at the threshold the transport becomes diffusive with \( \langle M(z) \rangle \propto z \). Above the threshold, the expansion is diffusive up to the localization length and then the second moment saturates, and diffusion is stopped. To prove the validity of the separation ansatz, we numerically integrate the original model equations (1) with the initial excitation \( u_{n,m}(z = 0) = \delta_{n,m} \delta_{m,\ell} \), located at the central site \( (n_0, m_0) \) for an value of \( \Delta = 1.5 \) below the threshold. To compute the long-time evolution up to \( z_{max} = 1500 \), we implement a symplectic solver with a \( SBAB_2 \) integration scheme [35]. The lattice sizes are chosen in such a way that the wave-packet spreading never reaches the border,
reaching a maximum lattice extension of $N \times N = 5120^2$ for the ECORADI and DICORADI cases, and $N \times N = 4096^2$ for the UNCORAM case. We average over $L = 10$ realizations for each case. This may appear as an insufficient number of realizations at first glance; but, since every second moment, $M_x(z)$ and $M_y(z)$, includes the summation over the other dimension, curves are very smooth with nearly undistinguishable standard deviation, which is actually plotted with shadows for all cases in Fig. 3.

Our main result, the evolution of $\log \langle M(z) \rangle$ for $\Delta = 1.5$, is shown in Fig. 3 for ECORADI, DICORADI, UNCORAM and two RAMPS cases, in green, blue, red, black and gray, respectively. We can confirm, that both the overlapping ECORADI and DICORADI evolve superdiffusively parallel to the green dashed line $3 \log(z)/2$ showing no sign of change in curvature for growing $z$. Therefore, they behave as their one-dimensional counterparts [22]. The case of UNCORAM evolves still sub-diffusively (see that results are below the red dashed line). This can be understood by thinking about the localization length $l$ properties. In a completely random 2D array, $l$ scales exponentially [6] with the mean free path $\xi$ as $l \propto \xi \exp(\kappa \xi)$, in contrast to a 1D array for which $l \propto \xi$. In our case, the $N \times N = 4096^2$ arrays are just too small to observe saturation of transport for a 2D array. Therefore, we also show the results for two kind of RAMPS arrays, with $\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon_m$ (black) and $\varepsilon_n \neq \varepsilon_m$ (gray), that show earlier saturation, as expected for uncorrelated binary disorder.

Since we observe the huge difference between localization length in the UNCORAM and RAMPS cases, we furthermore explored the localization behavior of RAMPS vs. real 1D random binary arrays [36]. In order to give a qualitative measure of the localization length, we define a mean value $\langle M_{sat} \rangle$, which is computed by averaging over the interval $z \in \{1000, 1500\}$. The results are shown in the inset of Fig. 3. We observe a saturation tendency for the random binary 1D array and the 2D RAMPS lattice tending to almost the same value of $\langle M_{sat} \rangle$ for each contrast of disorder $\Delta$. By doing this, we demonstrate that the behavior of the pseudo 2D array is essentially one-dimensional, as was suggested by the construction of the disorder.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Along this work we showed that a restriction of the distribution of on-site disorder facilitates the separation of dimensions in an originally two-dimensional array without interaction. This so-called pseudo-2D arrays show the same threshold behavior as the 1D case for a value equal to the sum of two 1D threshold values. If interaction would be included (leading to an effective nonlinearity), we would expect the threshold to increase in certain regions due to the renormalization of eigen-energies [29]. We found out that in order to observe a threshold behavior for a pseudo-2D lattice, a minimum system size is required $\sim 50 \times 50$, what is crucial when thinking on the experimental implementation of this problem and observation of our findings. We also showed that, in a long-time evolution for short-range correlated arrays (ECORADI and DICORADI) and for $\Delta = 1.5$, a super-diffusive long-range transport is observed, while for the UNCORAM case transport becomes sub-diffusive and is expected to saturate for higher evolution times. The localization length behavior in the pseudo-2D random binary arrays is shown to be comparable to an one-dimensional lattice, which could be very useful to tune the localization volume in such setups.
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