Clustering Determines Who Survives for Competing Brownian and Lévy Walkers
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The competition between two ecologically similar species that use the same resources and differ from each other only in the type of spatial motion they undergo is studied. The latter is assumed to be described either by Brownian motion or Lévy flights. Competition is taken into account by assuming that individuals reproduce in a density-dependent fashion. It is observed that no influence of the type of motion occurs when the two species are in a well-mixed unstructured state. However, as soon as the species develop spatial clustering, the one forming more concentrated clusters gets a competitive advantage and eliminates the other. A similar competitive advantage would occur between walkers of the same type but with different diffusivities if this leads also to different clustering. The coexistence of both species is also possible under certain conditions.
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The basic ecological factors determining the quantity and distribution of organisms are the reproduction and death processes, which are influenced by the competition for resources, and the dispersal of individuals [1,2]. In statistical physics such systems can be addressed using interacting particle models. On the basis of the dispersal of the organisms, interacting Brownian and Lévy bug models have been proposed [3,4]. In these models the competition is taken into account assuming that demographic processes depend on population density. For appropriate parameters, a salient property of these models is the formation of a spatially periodic clustering of individuals.

Most studies addressing the role of dispersal in population dynamics have focussed on the efficiency of foraging or avoiding predation. Examples are searching strategies [5,6] that have revealed the advantage of Lévy motion with respect to the Brownian one under certain conditions. Other studies have addressed collective motion [7,8], patchy characteristics of the distributions of organisms [9–18], or the role of demographic fluctuations [19–25]. An open question is whether the type of motion can enhance the survival probability of competing species.

To understand the factors leading to the extinction, survival, or coexistence of competing species, is a main aim in population ecology. It has been shown that the formation of patches is one of the key promoters for species diversity [16,26,27]. Cluster and patch formation, with its influence on competition processes, is affected by the dispersal of individuals [3,4,16,26,28–31].

In this Letter we address the interplay between dispersal and interactions based on competition. We consider a system in which initially half of the organisms are characterized by Brownian motion whereas the other half are characterized by Lévy flights, being otherwise identical.

For example, one can think of the foraging behavior of two types of microorganisms, competing for the same resource and whose spatial motion is consistent either with Brownian or Lévy random walks [32–34]. In particular, the motion of *Escherichia coli* is believed to correspond to Brownian diffusion; however, experiments have indicated that some subpopulations perform Lévy walks [33,34]. The objective of the present work is to determine which of the two species survives, and if coexistence is possible. Our main result is that survival is mediated by the clustering, so that forming stronger clusters provides better chances for survival. Species coexistence is also observed under certain conditions.

Although we concentrate on the comparison between species undergoing Brownian and Lévy motion, similar conclusions can be drawn when comparing two species whose dispersion is described by the same type of motion with different diffusion coefficients [35,36].

We consider a system consisting initially of $N_0 = 1000$ organisms, modeled as pointlike particles (bugs or walkers). Half of them are Brownian random walkers characterized by a diffusion coefficient $\kappa$, and the other half are Lévy random walkers characterized by a generalized diffusion coefficient $\kappa_\mu$. Brownian walkers perform Gaussianly distributed jumps so that the variance of the displacement of each individual grows proportionally to $\kappa t$. Lévy organisms perform jumps of length $l$ sampled from a Lévy-type probability density that for large $l$ behaves as $\varphi_\mu(l) \sim l(l + \mu) - 1 / 2$, with $\mu \in (0, 2)$ being the anomalous exponent; the smaller the value of $\mu$ the more anomalous is the random walk. The variance of the displacement is divergent, but one can identify from moments of sufficiently low order a growing displacement that scales as $x \sim (\kappa_\mu t)^{1/\mu}$.
Besides performing the two-dimensional continuous time random walk, the individuals die following a Poisson process of constant rate \( r_{b0} \) and reproduce at rate

\[ r^i_b = \max(0, r_{b0} - \alpha N^i_b); \tag{1} \]

i.e., the reproduction probability of an individual \( i \) depends on the number of its neighbors \( N^i_R \) that are at a distance smaller than \( R (R \ll L) \). It is assumed that \( \alpha > 0 \); i.e., the organisms interact in a competitive way. Newborns are placed at the same position as the parent, leading to productive correlations, and use the same type of motion.

The system is simulated through the Gillespie algorithm as described in Ref. [28]. Throughout the Letter we assume that \( r_{b0} = 0.1, r_{b0} = 1, \alpha = 0.02, R = 0.1, \) and \( \mu = 1 \). The only parameters that we are going to vary are \( \kappa \) and \( \kappa_\mu \).

For large values of \( \kappa \) and \( \kappa_\mu \), the walkers appear to be distributed in an unstructured way [see Fig. 1(a)]. Local fluctuations occur around an homogeneous mean, but there is no stable pattern forming. The population sizes of Brownian and Lévy walkers \( N_B \) and \( N_L \) widely fluctuate in antiphase, but the total number of individuals \( N = N_B + N_L \) remains quasiconstant [see Fig. 2(a)]. The ensemble average reveals the coexistence of the two species. In fact, when both species are highly diffusive, the two types of walkers become well mixed, so that there is no difference in the neighborhood seen by the individuals of the different species. From the point of view of the interactions the two species become equivalent, and neutral fluctuations are expected from the randomness of the reproduction-death process. However, in single realizations the large fluctuations bring one of the species into extinction at long times [see Fig. 2(a)] with no possible recovery as individuals can only arise from ancestors of the same type.

Decreasing \( \kappa \) or \( \kappa_\mu \) the situation changes qualitatively: the corresponding walkers begin to cluster in groups that form a quasihexagonal pattern. The clusters are different for the two species [4,28]; i.e., there is a clear segregation and the competition interaction is felt differently by the two types of organisms, leading eventually to the extinction of one of the species [see Figs. 1(b) and 1(c)].

For a restricted range of parameters, however, we observe coexistence of Lévy and Brownian bugs also in the case of low diffusion coefficients. In Fig. 1(d) most of the clusters consist of Brownian walkers, but some clusters in the pattern are replaced by the Lévy ones. The population sizes of Brownian and Lévy walkers \( N_B \) and \( N_L \) reach a stationary value rather fast and fluctuate only slightly around it [see Fig. 2(b)]. The average population sizes are constant over a long time, indicating the coexistence of the two species. In this case coexistence and segregation happen simultaneously, differently from the mixed up situation for large \( \kappa \) and \( \kappa_\mu \). The transition from homogeneous distribution to the clustered state is similar to the instabilities in the case of single species systems [3,4,28].

An overview of the outcome of the competition between Brownian and Lévy walkers depending on the values of \( \kappa \) and \( \kappa_\mu (\mu = 1) \) is given in Fig. 3. In the chosen range of \( \kappa \), for a fixed value of \( \kappa_\mu \), three situations can occur: (1) at

![FIG. 1 (color online). Spatial configurations of Lévy (blue) and Brownian (magenta) organisms at long times: (a) coexistence without clustering at time \( t = 1500 \), \( \kappa = 1 \), and \( \kappa_\mu = 1 \) [cf. Fig. 2(a) for the population sizes]; (b) the Lévy bugs with \( \kappa_\mu = 4 \times 10^{-4} \) have extinguished the Brownian ones with \( \kappa = 10^{-5} \); (c) the Brownian bugs with \( \kappa = 8 \times 10^{-6} \) have extinguished the Lévy ones with \( \kappa_\mu = 4 \times 10^{-2} \); (d) coexistence with clustering at time \( t = 173000 \), \( \kappa = 6 \times 10^{-6} \), and \( \kappa_\mu = 4 \times 10^{-3} \) [cf. Fig. 2(b) for the population sizes].](image1)

![FIG. 2 (color online). Time evolution of the population sizes of the Lévy and Brownian walkers \( N_B \) and \( N_L \) in the two possible cases of coexistence: (a) large diffusion coefficients when no clustering occurs \( \kappa_\mu = 1 \) and \( \kappa = 1 \) [the same system as in Fig. 1(a)]; (b) small diffusion coefficients leading to the clustering \( \kappa_\mu = 4 \times 10^{-3} \) and \( \kappa = 6 \times 10^{-6} \) [the same system as in Fig. 1(d)].](image2)
small values of $\kappa_\mu$. Lévy walkers win; (2) at large $\kappa_\mu$, Brownian walkers win; (3) at intermediate values of $\kappa_\mu$, depending on the value of $\kappa$, Lévy or Brownian walkers win, or coexistence occurs. In the transition from one regime to the other different runs can lead to different results. For other values of $\mu$ the pictures are similar, with the difference that decreasing $\mu$ transitions are shifted to higher and increasing $\mu$ to smaller values of $\kappa_\mu$. Varying other parameters influences the results similarly as discussed in Refs. [3,28].

In order to gain some understanding of the outcome of the competition process, let us analyze a mean-field description of the system. Denoting the local densities of Brownian and Lévy walkers by $\rho_B(x,t)$ and by $\rho_L(x,t)$, standard arguments, in which statistical fluctuations are neglected, lead to the following dynamics [3,4,28,37,38]:

$$\frac{\partial \rho_B(x,t)}{\partial t} = M(x,t)\rho_B(x,t) + \kappa \nabla^2 \rho_B(x,t),$$
$$\frac{\partial \rho_L(x,t)}{\partial t} = M(x,t)\rho_L(x,t) + \kappa_\mu \nabla^\mu \rho_L(x,t).$$

Here $M(x,t) = \beta - G_x * (\rho_B + \rho_L)$, with the net linear growth rate $\beta = r_{b0} - r_{d0}$. $\nabla^\mu$ stands for the fractional derivative of order $\mu$ associated to the Lévy process [39,40]. The symbol $G_x *$ denotes the convolution product with a kernel $G(x)$, i.e., $G_x * f = \int dx G(x-y) f(y)$, where the integration is over all system domain. Interactions enter the dynamics via Eq. (1) so that $G(x) = \alpha$ if $|x| < R$, and $G(x) = 0$ elsewhere. The Fourier transform of $G(x)$ is $\hat{G}_k = \int dx e^{ikx} G(x)$, and $\hat{G}_0 = \hat{G}_{k=0} = \int dx G(x)$, so that for our two-dimensional case these functions become $\hat{G}_k = 2\alpha \pi R^2 J_1(kR)/(kR)$, with $J_1$ being the first-order Bessel function, $\hat{G}_0 = \alpha \pi R^2$, and $k = |k|$. Equations (2) neglect the max condition in Eq. (1), which is not very relevant for the present parameter values (see Ref. [3]).

We first look for the spatially homogeneous solutions of Eqs. (2). In this case the spatial derivatives vanish and there is no difference between the dynamics of the two species. There exists a family of steady homogeneous solutions satisfying the condition $\rho_B + \rho_L = \beta/\hat{G}_0$. Thus, we can describe the members of such a family in terms of a parameter $a \in [-\beta/(2\hat{G}_0), \beta/(2\hat{G}_0))$:

$$\rho_B^0 = \frac{\beta}{2\hat{G}_0} + a, \quad \rho_L^0 = \frac{\beta}{2\hat{G}_0} - a.$$ (3)

The upper boundary of this family $[a = \beta/(2\hat{G}_0)]$ corresponds to the pure Brownian population, whereas the lower boundary $[a = -\beta/(2\hat{G}_0)]$ corresponds to the pure Lévy population. Intermediate values of $a$ parametrize different degrees of homogeneous coexistence.

To demonstrate that this homogeneous family is stable for sufficiently high values of $\kappa$ and $\kappa_\mu$, we perturb it with harmonic functions and look at the growth rates of such perturbations: $\rho_B(x,t) = \rho_B^0 + \delta_B e^{i\kappa x}$ and $\rho_L(x,t) = \rho_L^0 + \delta_L e^{i\kappa x}$. Linearizing with respect to the small perturbations $\delta_B$ and $\delta_L$, one gets a linear system for which the solvability conditions give a quadratic equation for $\lambda$, with two solutions $\lambda_\pm$ for each value of $k$ (and fixed model parameters). For sufficiently large diffusion coefficients the values of $\lambda_+ \text{ and } \lambda_-$ are negative [except for the zero mode $\lambda_+(k=0) = 0$], meaning that any perturbation applied decays (except the neutral ones associated to the zero mode, which transforms one of the homogeneous solutions into another one), and thus any of the homogeneous solutions are stable. No persistent pattern appears in the system for large values of $\kappa$ and $\kappa_\mu$. Notice that for the parameter values used in Figs. 1(a) and 2(a), we have that $\beta = 0.9$ and $\rho_B^0 + \rho_L^0 = \beta/\hat{G}_0 = 1433$, in good agreement with the total population size $N$ in the numerical simulation. At each instant the system is in one of the homogeneous states described by Eqs. (3), but with continuous fluctuations in the direction of the neutral mode (equivalent to fluctuations in $a$), transforming one of the homogeneous states into another, due to the random birth-death process.

Decreasing $\kappa$ or $\kappa_\mu$, the growth rate $\lambda_+$ becomes positive at a finite value of $k$. A pattern forming instability occurs leading to periodic modulations of the densities with a characteristic periodicity given by $2\pi/k$, similarly to the cases of a single species [3,4,28]. The instability occurs when

$$\kappa k^2 \kappa_\mu k^\mu + \frac{\beta \hat{G}_k}{2\hat{G}_0}(\kappa_\mu k^\mu + \kappa k^2) + a \hat{G}_k(\kappa_\mu k^\mu - \kappa k^2) < 0,$$ (4)

which happens first for values of $k$ leading to negative values of $\hat{G}_k$ and for $a(\kappa_\mu k^\mu - \kappa k^2) > 0$. Due to the linear
dependence in \( a \), the earliest instability appears for the values of \( a \) at the extremes of its definition range, i.e., for \( a = -\beta/(2G_0) \) if \( \kappa k^2 > \kappa \mu k^\mu \) and for \( a = \beta/(2G_0) \) if \( \kappa k^2 < \kappa \mu k^\mu \). The unstable mode associated to these instabilities involves only the Lévy or the Brownian population, respectively, so that the pattern that will grow from the unstable state will contain only that species. Once clusters appear in some part, they will dominate the whole system. The value of \( k \) in the above expressions is the one at which the condition of Eq. (4) is first achieved, i.e., \( k_B = 4.77901/R \) for the Brownian homogeneous background \([3]\), and \( k_L = 4.94708/R \) for the Lévy homogeneous background (\( \mu = 1 \)) \([4]\). The associated periodicity \( 2\pi/k_B \) or \( 2\pi/k_L \) is between \( R \) and \( 2R \) and the separation line \( \kappa k_B^2 = \kappa \mu k_L^\mu \) in \( \kappa - \kappa \mu \) space between the two winning states is given by \( \kappa = 0.0217 \kappa \mu \). As can be seen from Fig. 3, at intermediate values of \( \kappa \mu \) the separation line found from the mean-field description follows rather well the trend of the numerically determined transition.

Thus, the picture emerging from the mean-field description is the following: at large values of \( \kappa \) and \( \kappa \mu \) the two types of organisms are essentially the same and coexistence occurs (until a neutral fluctuation eliminates irreversibly one of the species). When decreasing \( \kappa \) and/or \( \kappa \mu \), mixing becomes less good and different regions of the system may be occupied by different proportions of bug densities that satisfy the condition \( \rho_B + \rho_L = \beta/G_0 \). By further decreasing the diffusion coefficients (or increasing \( \beta \) or \( R \)), some of these regions will encounter an instability. The winning competitor is the one for which the diffusive decay rate of the periodic structure (\( \kappa k_B^2 \) and \( \kappa \mu k_L^\mu \) for the Brownian and Lévy bugs, respectively) is smaller. Note that this quantity can also be interpreted as the density flux going out of the clusters. The type of walker with the highest flux out of the clusters is the one that loses the competition, the winner being the one that stays well concentrated into strong clusters. In fact, we have checked that there is a good correspondence between the type of walker that produces the narrowest and strongest clusters and have therefore a higher probability for reproduction, leading to a higher probability of surviving. Our results agree with the observations made in earlier works that the dispersal has a role in species competition \([16,26,29-31]\), but through the simple model and the assumption that the species are identical in all the rest we show that dispersal and the associated cluster formation can be the key feature that determines the outcome of the competition.
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