DECOLOURATION KINETICS OF CHLOROPHYLLS AND CAROTENOIDS IN VIRGIN OLIVE OIL BY AUTOXIDATION.
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**ABSTRACT**

Kinetic models are capable of predicting shelf life in keeping with the different variables that can affect the degradation of the food item. In this work, virgin olive oils (VOOs) extracted from olive fruits at three ripening stages with high, medium and low pigment content respectively, were thermodegraded to characterize the kinetic and thermodynamic parameters for the oxidation of two pigment fractions: a green fraction (chlorophylls) and a yellow fraction (carotenoids). A first-order kinetic mechanism was appropriate for describing the decolouration processes under non-oxygen thermal auto-oxidation. A marked effect of temperature has been pointed out, with the carotenoids (CARs) being the most affected by heat. The kinetic constants for the CARs degradation were about 3.6 times higher than the respective for chlorophylls (CHLs) that showed a more stable structure to decolouration by heat. As well, higher activation energy of CHLs (16.03±0.26 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\)) as compared to CARs (15.45±0.17 kcal·mol\(^{-1}\)) implies that a smaller temperature change is needed to increase the kinetic constant of CHLs.

Neither isokinetic ratio and nor compensation existed between the three VOO matrixes and further, for each pigment fraction (CHLs or CARs) all kinetic constants were explained by a single Arrhenius line. Consequently, the differences between the oily matrices did not significantly affect the decolouration mechanisms, and moreover, the kinetic parameters obtained as temperature functions according to Arrhenius model, can be used to develop a prediction mathematical model for decolouration of CHL and CAR pigment fractions in VOO over time and depending on temperature.
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1 Introduction

Each technological process for obtaining and/or storage of vegetable foods is associated with a specific transformation of their carotenoid and chlorophyll pigments. This fact makes these functional constituents appropriate as quality indicators for final product quality. And also demonstrate their potential applicability as a tool for process traceability.

Virgin olive oil (VOO) is known for its high levels of monounsaturated fatty acids that help maintain normal blood cholesterol levels (Commission Regulation EU, 2012). It is also a good source of phytochemicals including polyphenolic compounds, squalene, alpha-tocopherol, and carotenoids and chlorophylls which have health benefits that include reduction of risk factor of coronary heart disease, prevention of several varieties of cancers, modification of immune and inflammatory responses and antioxidant activity (García-González, Aparicio-Ruiz & Aparicio, 2008; Lercker & Caramia, 2010). A nutrition claim for olive oil polyphenols have been recently authorized (EFSA, 2011; Commission Regulation EU, 2012).

Chlorophyll and carotenoid pigments are highly appreciated as functional components both for its colouring properties as its health benefits for the human consumption. Carotenoids, besides their participation in yellow colouring of fruits, vegetables and oils, are bioactive compounds which have provitamin A function (β-carotene and β-cryptoxanthin), antioxidant activity, and prevent age-related macular degeneration and cataract formation (lutein) (Seddon et al., 1994). Also, it has been demonstrated, in both in vitro and in vivo animal model assays, that the chlorophyll compounds, in addition to its function as green colouring, exhibit a series of biological properties, such as antioxidant and antimutagenic activities, modulation of xenobiotic enzyme activity, and induction of apoptotic events in cancer cell lines, all consistent with the prevention of degenerative diseases (Ferruzzi & Blakeslee, 2007).

The importance of the biological properties of chlorophylls and carotenoids together with the potential of those compounds in the determination of quality and authenticity of a VOO leads...
to the importance of tracking the degradation of those compounds during the storage or heat
treatment in order to know the loss of biological properties of VOO and possible conditions of
the olive oil before marketing. Carotenoids and chlorophylls are widely affected by heat
treatment, while the first undergoing reactions of trans-cis isomerization and rearrangements of
5,6-epoxide groups to 5,8-furanoxide groups in vegetable foods thermally processed (Mínguez-
Mosquera & Jarén-Galán, 1999; Pérez-Gávez, Jarén-Galán, & Mínguez-Mosquera, 2000; Shi &
Le Maguer, 2000; Sanchez, Carmona, Ordoudi, Tsimidou & Alonso, 2008, Zhao, Kim, Pan, &
Chung (2014), and the seconds by decarbomethoxilation and allomerization in C-13² of the
isocyclic ring of the chlorophylls (Mínguez-Mosquera, Gandul-Rojas, Gallardo-Guerrero, Roca
& Jarén-Galán, 2007). Under a powerful processed, both pigment fractions undergo auto-
oxidation with the destruction of chromophore groups (Aman, Schieber & Carle, 2005; Schwartz
& Lorenzo, 1990). All these reactions can modify the functional properties of these compounds
and/or alter their bioavailability.

Lutein and β-carotene are the major carotenoids in virgin olive oil (VOO) but also
believed other xantophylls as neoxanthin, violaxanthin, anteraxanthin and β-crytoxanthin.
Pheophytin a and b are the major chlorophyll pigments in VOO followed by chlorophyll a and b,
OH-pheophytin a and b and lactone-pheophytin a and b (Gandul-Rojas & Mínguez-Mosquera,
1996)

Kinetic models are capable of predicting shelf life in keeping with the different variables
that can affect the degradation of the food item. Numerous experimental works describe VOOs
degradation, but until recently the kinetic performance in oxidation parameters (Mancebo-
Campos, Fregapane & Salvador, 2008; Farhoosh & Hoseini-Yazdi, 2014) and individual
pigment thermodegradation products have not been reported (Aparicio-Ruiz, Mínguez-Mosquera
& Gandul-Rojas, 2010; Aparicio-Ruiz, Mínguez-Mosquera & Gandul-Rojas, 2011; Aparicio-
This research work is aimed at the kinetic study and characterization of the thermodynamic parameters governing the thermal degradation reactions of two pigment fractions: green fraction (chlorophylls) and yellow fraction (carotenoids) in VOO, to advance in the knowledge of the thermal stability of these pigment fractions in an oily matrix, and for the first time to establish mathematical models enabling the prediction of the behavior of its decolouration reactions by autoxidation versus thermal variables governing critic points in storage and/or processing of this food e.g. soft deodorization or cooking/frying.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Chemicals and standards.

Tetrabutylammonium acetate and ammonium acetate were supplied by Fluka (Zwijndrecht, TheNetherlands). HPLC reagent grade solvents were purchased from Teknokroma (Barcelona, Spain), and analytical grade solvents were supplied by Panreac (Barcelona, Spain). For the preparation, isolation, and purification of chlorophyll pigments, analytical grade reagents were used (Panreac). The deionized water used was obtained from a Milli-Q 50 system (Millipore Corp., Bedford, MA). Standards of chlorophyll \( a/b \) (chl \( a/b \)) was supplied by Sigma-Aldrich Co. Standards of pheophytin \( a/b \) (phy \( a/b \)) and pyropheophytin \( a/b \) (pyphy \( a/b \)) were provided by Wako Chemicals Gmbh (Neuss, Germany). The C-13 epimer of phy \( a/b \) was prepared by treatment with chloroform according to the method of Watanabe et al. (1984). \( 13^{2}-\text{OH-phy} \ a/b \) was obtained by selenium dioxide oxidation of phy \( a/b \) at reflux heating for 4 h in pyridine solution under argon (Hynninen, 1991). \( 15^{1}-\text{OH-lactone-phy} \ a/b \) was obtained from phy \( a/b \) by alkaline oxidation in aqueous media according to the method of Mínguez-Mosquera & Gandul-Rojas (1995).

Reference samples of lutein, \( \beta \)-carotene, neoxanthin, violaxanthin and antheraxanthin were obtained from a pigment extract of fresh spinach saponified with 3.5M KOH in methanol.
and isolated by TLC on silica gel GF254 (0.7 mm thickness) on 20 x 20 cm plates using petroleum ether (65-95 °C)/acetone/diethylamine (10:4:1, v/v/v) (Mínguez-Mosquera, Gandul-Rojas & Gallardo-Guerrero, 1992). Luteoxanthin, auroxanthin, neochrome, and mutatoxanthin were obtained by acidification with 1 M HCl in ethanol (Khachik, Beecher & Whittaker 1986). β-cryptoxanthin was obtained from red peppers (Mínguez-Mosquera & Hornero-Méndez, 1993). All standards were purified by TLC using different eluents (Mínguez-Mosquera et al. 2007).

2.2 Samples.

The study of thermal degradation of pigments was carried out with virgin olive oils obtained by physical extraction into a two-phase system (Di Giovanchino, 2013) and supplied by a single industrial mill (Cooperativa Sor Ángela de la Cruz, Estepa, Seville) to avoid any effect of pedoclimatic and agricultural parameters and the industrial variables of the extraction systems in the comparative studies. In order to have three lots of oil with a differing pigment content, the starting material used was a mixture of two oil variety olives – Hojiblanca and Manzanilla – picked in three different months: November (sample N), December (sample D), and January (sample J). The proportion of fruits between varieties was 20/80, 80/20 and 100/0 respectively. The dates of picking correspond to high, medium, and low pigment levels (referring to the green colour) and correlated inversely with the degree of fruit ripening according to the method of Walali-Loudiyi, Chimitah, Loussert, Mahhou & Boulouha (1984).

2.3 Heat treatment.

Preliminary assays, with a commercial sample of VOO, enabled an approximate determination of the degree of conversion for the main reactions to be studied (Aparicio-Ruiz et al. 2010 and 2011; Aparicio-Ruiz & Gandul-Rojas, 2012) and established a range of times for an appropriate sampling at each temperature. The total time of each experiment changed depending on the assay temperature: 42 h (120 ºC), 64 h (100 ºC), 370 h (80 ºC) and 744 h (60 ºC). At least 128 aliquots (32 for each of the four assay temperatures) were separated from each oil lot (samples N, D, and
J). These aliquots were put into glass tubes that were sealed in the absence of air and placed in thermostatted ovens at the temperatures fixed for each experiment. These four temperatures were used to determine the kinetic and thermodynamic parameters (reaction order, reaction rate, and activation energies).

For each oil lot, two samples were analysed for each time/temperature pair. The samples were removed from the thermostatted ovens at fixed time intervals, depending on each experiment, to obtain a total of at least 16 duplicate samples. The samples were cooled rapidly in an ice bath and then kept at -20 ºC until analysis of the pigments.

2.4 Extraction and analysis of chlorophyll and carotenoid pigments.

All procedures were performed under green lighting to avoid any photooxidation reactions. Pigment extraction was performed by liquid-phase distribution. This method was developed for virgin olive oil by Mínguez-Mosquera, Gandul-Rojas, Garrido-Fernández & Gallardo-Guerrero (1990). The technique is based on the selective separation of components between N, N-dimethylformamide (DMF) and hexane. The oil sample (10-15g) was dissolved directly in 150mL of DMF and treated with five 50mL successive portions of hexane in a decanting funnel. The hexane phase carried over lipids and carotene fraction while the DMF phase retained chlorophyll pigments and xanthophylls. This system yielded a concentrated pigment solution that was oil free and could be adequately analyzed by chromatographic techniques.

HPLC analysis of chlorophyll pigments was performed according to a modification of the method of Mínguez-Mosquera et al. (1992), as is described by Roca, Gallardo-Guerrero, Minguez-Mosquera & Gandul-Rojas (2010). A reverse phased column (20cm x 0.46 cm) packed with 3 µm C18 Spherisorb ODS2 (Teknokroma, Barcelona, Spain) and an elution gradient with the solvents (A) water/ion-pair reagent/methanol (1:1:8, v/v/v) and (B) acetone/methanol (1:1 v/v), at a flow rate of 1.25 mL/min were used. The ion-pair reagent was 0.05M tetrabutylammonium acetate and 1M ammonium acetate in water. The pigments were identified
by co-chromatography with the corresponding standard and from their spectral characteristics described in detail in previous papers (Mínguez-Mosquera et al. 1992). The online UV-vis spectra were recorded from 350 to 800 nm with the photodiode array detector. Pigments were quantified at the wavelength of maximum absorption (430 nm for phy\textsubscript{b}, 13\textsuperscript{2}-OH-phy\textsubscript{b}, pyphy\textsubscript{b}, neoxanthin, neochrome, violaxanthin, mutatoxanthin, auroxanthin, and mutatoxanthin; 450 nm for antheraxanthin, lutein, 9-cis-lutein, 13-cis-lutein, \(\beta\)-carotene (also \(\beta\)-carotene was directly quantified in hexane phase by absorbance measurement at 450 nm), \(\beta\)-cryptoxanthin, and chl\textsubscript{b}; 410 nm for phy\textsubscript{a}, 13\textsuperscript{2}-OH-phy\textsubscript{a}, and pyphy\textsubscript{a}; 400 nm for 15\textsuperscript{1}-OH-lactone-phy\textsubscript{a}) and were quantified from the corresponding calibrate curves (amount versus integrated peak area). The calibration equations were obtained by least-squares linear regression analysis over a concentration range according to the levels of these pigments in VOO. Injections in duplicate were made for five different volumes at each standard solution (range of concentrations 3-700 ng; R\textsuperscript{2} < 0.9983). Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) defined at a signal-to-noise ratio of about 3 and 10 respectively were LOD \(\leq\) 0.60 ng and LOQ \(\leq\) 2 ng.

2.5 Kinetic parameters.

Changes in experimental data of total pigment concentration in the fractions of chlorophyll compounds and carotenoids, expressed in \(\mu\)mol/kg, were used to calculate kinetic parameters by least-squares non-linear regression analysis. The reaction order (n) and rate constant (k) were determined by trial and error using the integral method: a reaction order is initially assumed in the rate equation and then is integrated to obtain a mathematical expression that relates pigment concentration (C) with time (t). The mathematical expression that best fits the changes in the experimental data with the reaction time was selected to verify the order (assumed ad initio) and used to obtain the rate constant (k).

2.6 Thermodynamic Parameters.
The effect of temperature on the rate constant was evaluated by means of the Arrhenius equation with a simple reparameterization (Van Boekel, 2008) by using a reference temperature \( T_{\text{ref}} \):

\[
k = k_{\text{ref}} \times \exp \left( \frac{-E_a}{R} \left( \frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_{\text{ref}}} \right) \right)
\]

Where \( R \) is the molar gas constant (1.98 cal mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)), \( T \) is the absolute temperature (K), \( E_a \) is the activation energy (cal mol\(^{-1}\)), \( k \) is the specific reaction rate constant at the temperature \( T \), and \( k_{\text{ref}} \) is the specific reaction rate constant at the reference temperature \( T_{\text{ref}} \). The reference temperature should preferably be chosen in the middle of the studied temperature regimen.

Therefore, \( E_a \) was estimated on the basis of non-linear regression analysis of \( k_i \) vs \( 1/T_{ij} \) (being \( i = N, D, J; j = 60 \, ^\circ\text{C}, 80 \, ^\circ\text{C}, 100 \, ^\circ\text{C}, 120 \, ^\circ\text{C} \)).

According to activate complex theory, enthalpy \((\Delta H^\#)\) and entropy of activation \((\Delta S^\#)\) were determined by the Eyring equation:

\[
\ln \left( \frac{k}{T} \right) = -\frac{\Delta H^\#}{RT} + \frac{\Delta S^\#}{R} + \ln \left( \frac{k_b}{h} \right)
\]

where \( k \) is the rate constant at temperature \( T \), \( k_b \) is the Boltzmann constant; \( R \) is the molar gas constant and \( h \) is the Planck constant.

Therefore, \( \Delta H^\# \) and \( \Delta S^\# \) were estimated on the basis of linear regression analysis of \( \ln (k_i/T_{ij}) \) vs \( 1/T_{ij} \). The Gibbs free energy was estimated according to the Gibbs equation:

\[
\Delta G^\# = \Delta H^\# - T\Delta S^\#
\]

The pairs of \( \Delta H^\# \) and \( \Delta S^\# \) obtained were linearly correlated using the last equation. From which the isokinetic temperature \( (T_{\text{isok}}) \) and its corresponding Gibbs free energy \( (\Delta G_{\text{isok}}) \) for the reaction could be estimated.

2.7 Calculations and statistical data analysis.
Estimated parameters were expressed as means ± SE or SD and were analyzed for differences between means using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Brown & Forsythe test (Brown & Forsythe, 1974) was used as a post hoc comparison of statistical significance ($p$ values < 0.05). Least squares and non-linear regression analysis were performed using Statistica 8.0 (StatSoft, Inc., 2007) and Statgraphics Centurion XV for Windows (Statpoint Technologies, Inc., 2005).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Kinetic study.

Table 1 shows the initial content of the pigment fractions analyzed in this study for the high (N), medium (D), and low (J) pigmentation VOO matrixes employed. The qualitative pigments profile was that typical of a virgin olive oil (Gandul-Rojas, Roca & Mínguez-Mosquera, 2000; Gandul-Rojas & Mínguez-Mosquera, 1996). In the carotenoid fraction with lutein and β-carotene as majority pigments followed by violaxanthin, luteoxanthin, auroxanthin, neoxanthin, antheraxanthin, mutatoxanthin and β-cryptoxanthin as minority xanthophylls, and in the chlorophyll fraction with pheophytin $a$ and $b$ as major pigments, and chlorophyll $a$ and $b$, OH-pheophytin $a$ and $b$, lactone-chlorophyll $b$, OH-chlorophyll $b$ as minor chlorophyll compounds. Therefore, the values showed in Table 1 are the sum of the individual quantification of each pigment corresponding to the same fraction.

In accord with the results of quantitative changes in both pigment fractions, the reaction mechanisms proposed for thermal decolouration of chlorophyll pigment fraction (CHL) and carotenoid pigment fraction (CAR) are shown in Figure 1.

The corresponding kinetic equations for the reactions show in Figure 1 are:

$$V_{\text{Total Chlorophylls}} = -\frac{d[A]}{dt} = k_1 [A]^n = V_{\text{Total Colourless of Chlorophylls}} = 2\frac{d[B]}{dt} \quad [1]$$
where \([A]\) is the concentration of total chlorophylls, \([A']\) the concentration of total carotenoids, \([B]\) and \([B']\) the concentration of noncoloured products (nc) for each reactions respectively, \(k_1\) and \(k_2\) are rate constants for the respective reactions, and \(n\) is the reaction order.

Solving the kinetic mechanism, assuming an order of 1 \((n = 1)\) and that all reactions are irreversible, we get

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d[A]}{dt} &= -k_1[A]^n \\
\frac{d[A']}{dt} &= -k_2[A']^n
\end{align*}
\]

where \([A]_0\) and \([A']_0\) are the initial concentrations of CHL fraction and CAR fraction respectively.

From the proposed kinetic equations and by non-linear regression analysis of the experimental data, the rate constants for each of the proposed reactions in the mechanism were estimated. Figures 2 exemplifies, for the treatment at 120 °C of the high-pigmentation matrix (sample N), the concentration changes found and the regressions estimated for the decolouration reactions in CHL and CAR fractions.

Table 2 shows the values for the estimated rate constants, together with the standard error and determination coefficient \((R^2)\) for each reaction studied. The determination coefficients obtained showed a good fit of the experimental data to the equations proposed and demonstrate that the first-order mechanism is appropriate for describing the thermal decolouration of the CHL and CAR fractions in the VOO.

The relationship between the two rate constants (CHLs vs. CARs) determined that, on average, the rates of degradation of CAR fraction are 3.6 times higher than those calculated for the CHL fraction. It has been observed in some other particular cases, significant differences

\[
V_{\text{Total Carotenoids}} = -\frac{d[A']}{dt} = k_2[A']^n = V_{\text{Total Colourless of Carotenoids}} = \frac{d[B']}{dt}
\]

\[11\]
between the rate constants obtained for the various samples tested (N, D, J) (Table 2). Is the case, for example, of CHLs in the three experiments (N, D, J) at 120 ° C.

The relationships between the rate constants give us an idea of the decolouration speed as long as the initial concentrations are the same. In general, and according to Gandul et al. (2000), the concentrations ratio between CHL and CAR fractions remained around the unit after extraction of virgin olive oil at the initial season of production; this is CHLs/CARs = 0.94 in sample N. Therefore, the rate of CAR fraction degradation is in any case higher than CHL fraction degradation. However, the concentrations ratio of CHLs/CARs decrease during the season of production of VOO being 0.55 and 0.29 for samples D and J respectively. Therefore the decolouration speed difference between those fractions is even higher as long as it reaches the end of the milling season.

From the point of view of loss of pigment during the experience of thermal degradation it was observed losses of CHLs ranging from 15 to 30% for the four temperatures used (60 to 120°C), while in CARs losses are more pronounced, it ranging between 44 and 74 %. From the average of the four temperatures used the chlorophylls losses are around 24%, while carotenoids nearly tripled reaching a 60%. Older studies reported similar results being the CAR fraction with a higher loss than CHL fraction, such as the decolouration test using rancimat method at 100 ° C where the pigment loss calculated for oxidized oils was 67% for the carotenoid index and 58% for the chlorophyll index (Ceballos, Moyano, Vicario, Alba & Heredia, 2003). Also, an autoxidation study of the stability of VOO reported a 20% and 10% of loss of carotenoids and chlorophylls to noncoloured products respectively (Psomiadou, & Tsimidou, 2001).

Furthermore, it is obvious that the CHLs/CARs ratio data increased from its initial state, since carotenoids decolouration was more pronounced than chlorophylls during thermodegradation. For example, sample N at 120 ° C started with a CHLs/CARs ratio of 0.94 and reached a 1.4 value after 18 hours of heat treatment. The last data is the maximum value
obtained for the CHLs/CARs ratio of Spanish VOO (Gandul-Rojas et al. 2000). Thus, this ratio could lead us to a good parameter as a marker of the fraudulent heat treatment of VOOs, in addition to those that we have proposed in previous paper as % phytopheophytin a (Aparicio-Ruiz, Roca & Gandul-Rojas, 2012), (E) / (Z) lutein isomers ratio (Aparicio-Ruiz et al. 2011) and neoxanthin / neochrome ratio (Aparicio-Ruiz & Gandul-Rojas, 2012).

It also shows that, in both pigment fractions, the kinetic constant increased with temperature of the experiment, regardless of the total pigment content of the samples studied (N, D, J). From the mean values calculated from the rate constants (Table 2), it was evident that there was no overlap between these constants at different temperatures studied.

3.2 Thermodynamic study.

Thermodynamic analysis using total concentrations of chlorophyll and carotenoid pigments will reveal which pigment fraction exhibits greater reactivity.

Table 3 displays the values estimated for the thermodynamic parameters (entropy, enthalpy, activation energy, and Gibbs free energy), with their respective standards errors for each matrix and reaction analyzed.

The thermodynamic parameters of the decolouration reaction of the CAR fraction did not show significant differences (p ≤ 0.05), except for sample N in enthalpy, entropy and activation energy. However, in the case of CHL fraction, the thermodynamic parameters did not show significant differences (p ≤ 0.05), except for sample D (t-test p ≤ 0.05) in parameters such as enthalpy, entropy and activation energy.

Finally, the mean value of the thermodynamic parameters corresponding to the three oil matrixes (N, D, J) for CHL and CAR fractions did not show significant differences (t-test p ≤ 0.05) in any of its parameters except for the activation energy (Ea) that has slightly higher value in CHL than CAR fraction. This difference indicates that the chlorophylls are less reactive (and
more stable) than the carotenoid pigments studied as a total fraction but a smaller temperature change is needed to increase the kinetic constant of CHL fraction.

In all cases, values for the $T\Delta S^\#$ term were negative (due to the negative values of entropy); however, enthalpy values ($\Delta H^\#$) were positive, as were the Gibbs free energy values ($\Delta G^\#$), making the reactions nonspontaneous.

3.3 Isokinetic ratio.

The isokinetic ratio was studied along the same lines as previous studies (Aparicio-Ruiz et al. 2010; Aparicio-Ruiz et al. 2011; Aparicio-Ruiz & Gandul-Rojas, 2012), where the degradation of chlorophyll and carotenoid pigments, studied individually, have not, in general (except for 13²-OH-pheophytin a and b), an isokinetic relationship between the oily matrixes studied, so, no isokinetic temperature exist.

Therefore, it is possible to study the existence of an isokinetic relationship and temperature between oily matrixes when considering the total concentration of chlorophyll and carotenoid pigments.

Figures 3A and 3B show the lines of the Arrhenius equation obtained for each of the oily samples in a temperature range of 250 to 1000 K, for CAR and CHL fractions, respectively.

We could not conclude that there was an isokinetic ratio for decolouration reaction in CAR fraction as the Arrhenius straight lines for the three samples (N, D, and J) did not present any common cutoff points (Figure 3A). These straight lines were almost parallel, but were also very close to one another (all points lie within the same interval of confidence). They are, therefore, isoenthalpic and isoentropic straight lines. This observation is consistent with the thermodynamic parameters (Table 3), which did not show significant differences ($t$ test $P \leq 0.05$) between the various oily matrixes, except for sample N. Consequently, the CAR fraction degradation to colourless products was not affected by the type of oily matrix.
In the case of CHL fraction decolouration (Figure 3B), samples N and J had almost parallel straight lines and very close one to another, while the D straight line showed slightly deviation to lines N and J and cut off those lines within the temperature range studied, 336.5 K (63.5 °C). However, the confidence limits of the line J included the corresponding Arrhenius straight lines of the others (Samples N and D), and consequently, all the experimental points were within the three confidence limits. Regarding thermodynamic parameters, as also indicated in the section on thermodynamic study, samples N and J did not show significant differences ($t$ test $P \leq 0.05$) between them, but they did with the sample D, except for $\Delta G_{298}^\#$. Similarly to the case of CAR fraction, degradation of CHL fraction to colourless products was not affected by the type of VOO matrix.

From this point, it is interesting to compare the two groups of fractions (CHLs vs. CARs), and see how they behave. Figure 3C shows the Arrhenius lines from average value of the kinetic constants obtained in the three VOO matrixes for CAR and CHL fractions. These lines cut at temperatures above 1000K, and those lines can be considered as parallel inside the study interval (60 °C to 120 °C), as well as isoenthalpic lines. Accordingly, these reactions are classified as distinct or separate reactions groups which have different degradation mechanisms. Likewise, differences were appreciated in the degradation rates, which were higher in the carotenoid pigments than in the chlorophylls.

### 3.4 Compensation effect.

Liu & Guo (2001) have demonstrated that the compensation effect and the isokinetic effect are not necessarily synonymous. A kinetically compensated system requires that the different thermodynamic parameters obtained for the same reaction in different environments define an isokinetic line. This theoretical line includes all of the different kinetic and thermodynamic coordinates of a single reaction: with the isokinetic temperature ($T_{iso}$) being the line slope and the increase in Gibbs free energy of all reactions at the $T_{iso}$, the intercept, according to:
\[ \Delta H^\# = T_{iso} \Delta S^\# + \Delta G^\# \]

Errors are inevitable in experiments and the data used are therefore estimators of the corresponding variables. Consequently, it is possible that the real values are not correlated, although their estimators are. This would be the case in the so-called false compensation effect. Krug, Hunter & Grieger (1976) propose that the straight line in the plane \( \Delta H \) versus \( \Delta S \) is only a manifestation of the statistical pattern of the compensation, and this hypothesis can be ruled out if the estimation of the line slope is sufficiently different from the harmonic temperature (\( T_{hm} \)), defined as:

\[ T_{hm} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{T_i}} \]

Liu & Guo (2001) have proposed a method for distinguishing the real compensation effects from the false ones, based on a graphical representation of the experimental values of enthalpies and entropies with their error bars in the plane \( \Delta H^\# \) versus \( \Delta S^\# \).

To apply this study to our experimental data the linear regressions \( A H^\# \) versus \( A S^\# \) has been estimated for each of the reactions. Table 4 shows the values obtained for the slope \( T_{iso} \) and the corresponding determination coefficients (\( R^2 \)). It can be observed a good correlation coefficients (\( R^2 \geq 0.99 \)) in the total degradation of CHL and CAR fractions. This indicated the existence of a compensation effect between \( A H^\# \) and \( A S^\# \). However, from the comparison between the estimated isokinetic temperature (\( T_{iso} \)) and the \( T_{hm} \) under the study conditions (362 K) it is deduced that this compensation effect can be real only for the degradation of CHL fraction, where the differences between the temperatures were significant. Finally, application of the method of error-bars proposed by Liu & Guo (2001) showed that none of the reactions was a real compensation effect (Figure 4).

The analysis of the thermo-degradation of CHL and CAR fractions to colourless products in virgin olive oil has established a marked effect of temperature on the reaction mechanisms,
where the CAR fraction was the most affected by heat in absence of oxygen and light. Neither isokinetic and nor compensation effect have been found in both fractions of pigments.

4 Conclusions.

The kinetic constants for the CAR pigment fraction degradation were about 3.6 times higher than the respective for CHL pigment fraction that showed a more stable structure to decolouration by heat. As well, higher activation energy of CHLs \((16.03\pm0.26\ \text{kcal/mol}^{-1})\) as compared to CARs \((15.45\pm0.17\ \text{kcal/mol}^{-1})\) implies that a smaller temperature change is needed to increase the kinetic constant of CHLs. Consequently, the oily medium did not significantly affect the decolouration mechanisms, and moreover, the kinetic parameters obtained as temperature functions according to Arrhenius model, can be used to develop a prediction mathematical model for CHL and CAR fractions decolouration in VOO over time and depending on temperature in absence of oxygen and light. Neither isokinetic and nor compensation effect have been found in both fractions of pigments.

Kinetic models are becoming more popular for studying the changes in the chemical composition of food because are capable of predicting shelf life of them. For the first time in an oily food matrix as virgin olive oil, the thermal decolouration of chlorophyll and carotenoid pigment fractions by autoxidation has been studied and this kinetic model provides the producer and/or wholesaler and / or consumer with a tool to predict the behavior of these phytochemical fractions to thermal variables governing critic points in processing and storage of this food. This approach could be also used for the kinetic study of loss in relation to other important phytochemicals in virgin olive oils as polyphenols which are subject to a nutrition claim authorized for this food. We encourage the scientific community to conduct studies in this aim.
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Table 1. Initial content of carotenoid and chlorophyll compounds in virgin olive oils$^a$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample$^b$</th>
<th>Carotenoid fraction</th>
<th>Chlorophyll fraction</th>
<th>Total pigments$^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>18.99±0.36</td>
<td>17.93±0.19</td>
<td>36.91±0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>18.21±0.49</td>
<td>10.02±0.31</td>
<td>28.23±0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>11.86±0.16</td>
<td>3.44±0.10</td>
<td>15.30±0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Data, expressed as µmol/kg, represent mean values ± SD for three determinations. CV ≤ 2.8%. $^b$The sample codex corresponds to the harvesting date of the olive fruits used to obtain the virgin olive oils studied, November (N), December (D), January (J). $^c$Total of chlorophyll and carotenoid pigments.
Table 2. Rate constants (k) and determination coefficients (R²) estimated for the kinetic mechanism of the thermal decolouration of chlorophyll and carotenoid pigment fractions in virgin olive oil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decolouration reaction</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>120 °C</th>
<th>100 °C</th>
<th>80 °C</th>
<th>60 °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>k x 10³(h⁻¹)</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>R²</td>
<td>k x 10³(h⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotenoid fraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k₂</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>30.58 ± 0.76 a</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>9.51 ± 0.46 c</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k₂</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>28.20 ± 1.12 b</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>8.33 ± 2.91 c,d</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k₂</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>27.18 ± 1.31 b</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>8.21 ± 0.28 d</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k₂ (Average)</td>
<td></td>
<td>28.65 ± 1.06 a</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>8.68 ± 1.21 b</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorophyll fraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k₁</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>9.53 ± 0.61 a</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>2.19 ± 0.18 d</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k₁</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>7.56 ± 0.43 b</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>2.30 ± 0.31 d</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k₁</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>13.89 ± 1.67 c</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.24 d</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k₁ (Average)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.33 ± 0.90 a</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>2.19 ± 0.24 b</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are obtained from a minimum of 16 experimental data points analyzed in duplicate, SE, standard error; For each pigment fraction, different letters between rows indicate significant differences (p≤ 0.05); *bReactions according to the kinetic mechanism showed in Figure 1; *cSample codex as in Table 1; *dAverage values of the three samples (N, D, J).
Table 3. Thermodynamic parameters for the thermal decolouration reaction of chlorophyll and carotenoid pigment fractions in Virgin Olive Oil.<sup>a</sup>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decolouration reaction&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>S&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>$\Delta S^#$ ± SE&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; [cal/(mol x K)]</th>
<th>$\Delta H^#$ ± SE (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>Ea ± SE (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta G^#_{298}$ ± SE (kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carotenoid fraction</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>-43.44 ± 0.42*</td>
<td>15.17 ± 0.15*</td>
<td>15.93 ± 0.09*</td>
<td>28.12 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>-45.22 ± 1.18</td>
<td>14.55 ± 0.42</td>
<td>15.34 ± 0.21</td>
<td>28.02 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J</td>
<td>-45.50 ± 1.69</td>
<td>14.45 ± 0.61</td>
<td>15.07 ± 0.22</td>
<td>28.01 ± 0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-44.72 ± 1.10</td>
<td>14.72 ± 0.39</td>
<td>15.45 ± 0.17</td>
<td>28.05 ± 0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorophyll fraction</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>-44.73 ± 1.47</td>
<td>15.66 ± 0.53</td>
<td>16.72 ± 0.21</td>
<td>28.99 ± 0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>-48.35 ± 0.86*</td>
<td>14.34 ± 0.31*</td>
<td>15.32 ± 0.11*</td>
<td>28.75 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J</td>
<td>-45.23 ± 4.81</td>
<td>15.40 ± 1.74</td>
<td>16.06 ± 0.46</td>
<td>28.88 ± 1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-46.10 ± 2.38</td>
<td>15.13 ± 0.86</td>
<td>16.03 ± 0.26</td>
<td>28.87 ± 0.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>$\Delta S^\#$, activation entropy; $\Delta H^\#$, activation enthalpy; Ea, activation energy, $\Delta G^\#$, Gibbs free energy; 
<sup>b</sup>Reactions according to the kinetic mechanism showed in Figure 1; 
<sup>c</sup>S, Sample codex as in Table 1; 
<sup>d</sup>SE, standard error; 
<sup>*</sup>Indicates significant differences for a parameter between different samples (p≤0.05). 
<sup>e</sup>A, average values of the three samples (N, D, J).
FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Kinetic mechanisms for thermal decolouration reactions of pigment fractions: chlorophylls and carotenoids.

Figure 2. Evolution of concentration-time of chlorophyll pigment fraction (○) and carotenoid pigment fraction (□) in VOO (sample N) during 42 hours at 120°C and corresponding fits (→) to a first-order kinetic mathematical model (Eqs. 3-4).

Figure 3. A, Arrhenius plot for the decolouration reaction of carotenoid pigment fraction in three samples of VOOs (N, —α; D, —□; J, —○). B, Arrhenius plot for the decolouration reaction of chlorophyll pigment fraction in three samples of VOOs (N, —○; D, —□; J, —○). C, Study for isokinetic ratio between Arrhenius plot of decolouration reactions of chlorophylls (—○) and carotenoids (—□) pigment fractions in VOOs (average values of three samples (N, D, J). Confidence intervals (95%).

Figure 4. Graphic representation of $AH^\#$ versus $AS^\#$ by error bars method (Liu & Guo 2001): false compensation effect for the decolouration reactions of the (A) carotenoid pigment fraction and (B) chlorophyll pigment fraction in VOO samples.
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