Structural differences of prebiotic oligosaccharides influence their capability to enhance iron absorption in deficient rats
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Abstract

This study evaluates the influence of novel galacto-oligosaccharides derived from lactulose (GOS-Lu), kojibiose or 4’-galactosyl-kojibiose in hematological parameters of Fe homeostasis using Fe-deficient animals. Liver TIR-2, IL-6, NFκB and PPAR-γ expression (mRNA) were also determined by RT-qPCR analyses, and active hepcidin peptide production and short chain fatty acids by LC coupled to MS/MS or UV detection. Feeding animals with GOS-Lu or kojibiose together with FeCl₃ increased hemoglobin (Hb) production (by 17%) and mean Hb concentration into erythrocytes relative to animals administered with FeCl₃ alone (14.1% and 19.7%, respectively). Animals administered with prebiotics showed decreased plasmatic hepcidin levels, contributing to a higher intestinal absorption of the micronutrient. These data indicate that concurrent administration of these potentially prebiotic oligosaccharides together with a supplement of Fe ameliorates inflammation-mediated perturbations in the liver, according to the particular structure of the prebiotic compound, and result an attractive strategy to improve Fe absorption.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Iron (Fe) deficiency is the most prevalent nutrient deficiency worldwide, affecting nearly 2 billion people, particularly populations at risk such as women and children. This nutritional deficiency is associated, among others, to aggravated severity of diseases based in defective function of immune responses. In this sense, it is widely accepted the dynamic mutualism between the host and the commensal microbiota which has deep implications for health, and contributes to the maintenance of intestinal immune homeostasis. The intestinal tract harbors a massive and diverse microbiota, including both anaerobes and aerobes, containing at least 100 times as many genes as within our own genome with an enormous impact in the digestion of dietary compounds, salvage of energy, supply of (micro)nutrients and transformation of xenobiotics. The composition of this bacterial ecosystem is dynamic and potentially modifiable in response to dietary factors.

Prebiotics are selectively fermentable ingredients that induce specific changes in the composition and/or activity of the gastrointestinal microbiota, thus conferring potential benefit(s) on host health. This concept assumes that they exert major effects in the colon, where most of gastrointestinal microorganisms live. However, accumulating evidences demonstrated that prebiotics also influence mineral absorption, which takes place mainly in the upper part of the intestine. The most compelling data have demonstrated the prebiotic-promoted positive effects increasing calcium and magnesium absorption and also that zinc balance can be improved by their consumption. However, data from in vivo studies have showed conflicting data about iron absorption.

Fermentation of prebiotics leads to the production of short chain fatty acids (SCFA) able to modulate cytokines secretion and stimulate mucin production. Prebiotics such as sialyl-lactose and Raftilose P95 (oligofructose) promoted anti-inflammatory effects via activation of peroxisome proliferator activator receptor (PPAR)-γ. Other inflammatory mediators such interleukin (IL)-6 and the hepatic hepcidin have important roles in iron homeostasis. Anemia of chronic diseases usually occurs as secondary to infections and it is characterized by an immune activation with an increase in inflammatory cytokines and hepcidin levels.

In humans, recent research about the influence of prebiotics on iron absorption studied the influence in absorption processes and nutritional status concerning the micronutrient. These studies mainly investigated the effects of fructooligosaccharides...
(FOS) such as inulin showing positive trend in the fractional iron absorption in women with low iron status, although this influence did not result statistically significant. The effects of inulin on iron absorption appear of much higher magnitude in pig and rat models. Similarly to inulin, feeding galactooligosaccharides (GOS) with a degree of polymerization of 2-6 to young healthy men did not improve nutritional biomarkers of iron status. A common conclusion of these studies is that inulin does not interfere with the molecular mechanisms of iron absorption. To the best of our knowledge there are no experimental data with in vivo animal models about the influence of either GOS derived from lactulose (GOS-Lu) and kojibiose (2-\(\alpha\)-D-glucopyranosyl-\(\alpha\)-D-glucopyranose) as well as 4’-galactosyl-kojibiose on iron absorption.

Likewise, scarce data are available associating micronutrient intake with markers of inflammation. Data from human studies provided evidences about the positive effects of inulin in inflammatory processes preventing impaired iron homeostasis. This is concordant with the results from a long-term feeding study indicating the negligible impact of consumption of prebiotic and *Bifidobacterium lactis* HN019 fortified milk on nutritional Fe indicators, although, the proportion of children with Fe deficiency was reduced by 39%. These positive benefits could also be favored by bifidobacteria-mediated influence in liver Fe homeostasis.

In the present study an *in vivo* iron-deficient rat model was used to evaluate the influence of a mixture of novel GOS-Lu, kojibiose or 4’galactosyl-kojibiose on restoration of hemoglobin (Hb) levels and liver expression of inflammatory biomarkers and hepcidin production. SCFA profile in the colon contents of the different experimental groups was measured to monitor the effect of prebiotic compounds on gut microbiota metabolic activity.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Enzymatic synthesis of the studied potentially prebiotic oligosaccharides

Enzymatic synthesis of GOS derived from lactulose (GOS-Lu) was carried out via the hydrolysis and transgalactosylation of the prebiotic carbohydrate lactulose (Duphalac, Solvay Pharmaceuticals) by using a \(\beta\)-galactosidase from *Aspergillus oryzae* and following the procedure described elsewhere with slight modifications.

Oligosaccharide mixture with high proportion (i.e., 44%) of 4’-galactosyl-kojibiose (\(O\)-\(\beta\)-D-galactopyranosyl-(1→4)-\(O\)-(\(\alpha\)-D-glucopyranosyl-(1→2))-\(\alpha\)-D-glucopyranose) was obtained through a biotechnological process based on the dextranucrase-catalysed
synthesis followed by a purification step with β-galactosidase hydrolysis and yeast
treatment. Enzymatic synthesis was conducted with a dextran sucrose from *L. mesenteroides* B-512F by the transfer of a glucosyl unit from the hydrolysis of sucrose
to lactose acceptor through the formation of an α-(1→2)-glucosyl bond. The
oligosaccharide mixture obtained (38.5% lactose, 31.2% 4’-galactosyl-kojibiose, 21.3%
fructose, 5.2% leucrose, 2.8% lactosucrose, 0.9% glucose and 0.1% sucrose) was
purified by *Kluyveromyces lactis* β-galactosidase hydrolysis and *Saccharomyces
cerevisiae* yeast treatment in order to reduce the large amount of lactose as well as
eliminating residual monosaccharides and sucrose.

Oligosaccharide mixture with high proportion of kojibiose (66%) was obtained from
the complete hydrolytic action of a *Kluyveromyces lactis* β-galactosidase on 4’-galactosyl-kojibiose, after removal of residual monosaccharides by using a
*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeast treatment as previously shown.

### 2.2 Animals

Forty-two female Wistar albino rats, aged 3 weeks with an average weight of 61.4 ± 5.6 g were obtained from the University of Valencia Animal Service. Animal experiments were carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations include in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of University of Valencia (SCSIE, University of Valencia, Spain) and the protocol was approved by its Ethic Committee (A1351244049254).

### 2.3 Experimental design

Animals were randomly distributed into six different groups (n=7 per group), 1) a control group receiving a standard AIN-93G diet, and five iron-deficient groups receiving a AIN-76A diet (Harlan) for 15 days that were subjected to different treatments: 2) administered without FeCl₃; 3) administered with FeCl₃ (2.5 µg); 4) administered with FeCl₃ together with GOS-Lu; 5) administered with FeCl₃ together with kojibiose; and 6) administered with FeCl₃ together with 4’-galactosyl-kojibiose. Potentially prebiotic oligosaccharides were administered at 0.5% (w/w daily consumption of diet) during two consecutive days. The rats were maintained in an environment of controlled temperature (21–23°C), humidity (55 %) and light (12 h) – dark (12 h) cycle, with *ad libitum* food and mineral-free water available. Records of weight and food intake were collected daily.
After treatment, rats were anaesthetised (isofluran) and sacrificed by exsanguination. Whole blood samples were preserved in EDTA-treated tubes to prevent coagulation (at room temperature) for haematological analyses and the rest of the blood was used for hepcidin peptide quantification. Sections (±100 mg) of the liver were immersed in RNA later buffer (Qiagen, CA, USA) and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen for gene expression analyses. Colon content samples were kept in 0.5 mL H₂SO₄ (2N) and immediately analysed for SCFA content.

2.4 Hemoglobin (Hb) measurement

Hb concentrations were measured photometrically using cyanmethemoglobin standard solution according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Sigma-Aldrich). This method is based on the oxidation of Hb and its derivatives (except sulfhemoglobin) to methemoglobin in the presence of potassium ferricyanide to form cyanmethemoglobin. The absorbance, measured at 540 nm, is proportional to the total Hb concentration.

2.5 Hematological parameters

The number of erythrocytes was calculated by using a Neubauer improved cell counting chamber and hematocrit was estimated by centrifugation of whole blood in microcapillar tubes. Mean corpuscular volume (MCV) was calculated using the following equation: (hematocrit x 10)/n° erythrocytes (10⁶/mm³ blood), and mean corpuscular Hb (MCH) (%) as: (hemoglobin (g/dL)x100)/hematocrit. The globular sedimentation speed (VSG) was determined according to the Westergren’s method as proposed by the International Council for Standardization in Hematology (ICSH).

2.6 Real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR)

Total RNA was extracted from liver tissue samples using an RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) following the protocol provided by the manufacturer. One microgram of total RNA was converted to double-stranded cDNA using AMV Reverse Transcriptase (Promega, WI, USA). PCR was performed with primers designed for the following Rattus norvegicus genes: Hamp (forward: 5’- AGC GGT GCC TAT CTC CGG CA-3’; reverse: 5’- CGG AGG GGA GGC AGT GTG TTG-3’); TfR2 (forward: 5’- GGC AGA GTG TCT GCT GGG TG-3’; reverse: 5’- GGC CAG AGC TCG GCA GTG TG -3’); IL-6 (forward: 5’-TCT CGA GCC CAC CAG GAA C -3’; reverse: 5’-AGG GAA GGC AGT GGC TGT CA -3’); NFkB (forward 5’- CTT CTC GGA GTC CCT CAC TG-3’,
reverse 5’- CCA ATA GCA GCT GGA AAA GC-3’); PPARγ (forward 5’- TGA TCC TAC GGC CAG ACA GA-3’, reverse 5’-GGG AGG TTG TCC CTG GAA TG-3’) and β-actin (forward 5’- CTC TTC CAG CCT TCC TTC CT-3’; reverse 5’- TAG AGC CAC CAA TCC ACA CA-3’), the latter used as a housekeeping gene. The PCR mix (20 µL reaction volume) consisted of 7.5 µL SYBR Green I master mix, 1.3 µmol/L primers, and 2.5 µL cDNA. PCR reactions were performed in triplicate in a LightCycler 480 (Roche) with the following program: 1 cycle at 95 ºC for 5 min, 35 cycles at 60 ºC for 20 s and 72 ºC for 45 s. Samples of each animal tissue were measured in duplicate and gene expression was expressed as fold-change. The relative mRNA expression of the tested gene compared to β-actin expression was calculated using the 2-∆∆Ct method.

2.7 Quantification of hepcidin

All sample preparation steps were performed at room temperature as previously described. Briefly, aliquots (50 µL) of plasma were mixed with 100 µL aliquot of acetonitrile (Burdick and Jackson, Muskegon, MI, USA) by pipetting. The samples were then centrifuged at 3,000 x g for 10 minutes at 4°C (Jouan, Winchester, VA, USA) and the supernatant (100 µL) was mixed with 0.02% (v/v) aqueous acetic acid. The analysis was performed on an Agilent HPLC system connected on line to quadrupole ion trap mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, MA) via an electrospray interface. The HPLC system was equipped with a quaternary pump, an in-line degasser, an automatic injector, and a variable wavelength absorbance detector set at 214 nm (1200 Series, Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany). The column used in these analyses was a BioBasic C18 (250 × 4.6 mm, 5 µm particle size) (Thermo, Waltham, MA, USA). The mobile phases consisted of, trifluoroacetic acid/isopropanol/water (0.125/1/500, v/v/v, A) and trifluoroacetic acid/isopropanol/water/methanol/acetonitrile (0.125/1/50/350/100, v/v/v/v/v, B). Aliquots (50 µL) of the precipitation supernatants were injected in each cycle and the analysis was performed using the following gradient: 0 min, 5 % B; 30 min, 90 % B; 33 min, 100 % B; 35 min, 100 % B; 45 min, 5 % B. Two independent samples from each animal were analyzed.

2.8 Analysis of short chain fatty acids (SCFA)

Aliquots (0.17 ± 0.04 g) of colon samples were kept in 0.2 mL of 2N H2SO4. The samples were homogenized (1 min) using a TissueRuptor (Qiagen) and vortexed for 30
s. Afterwards, the mixtures were centrifuged (10,000 x g, 10 min) and the supernatant was collected and diluted (1:20) in deionized water prior filtration (0.45 µm, MillexGN, Millipore).

The quantification of organic acids was carried out on 1200 Agilent HPLC system equipped with a multisolvent pump and a wavelength absorbance detector set at 214 nm (1200 Series, Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany). The separation was performed on a BioBasis C\textsubscript{18} column (250 x 4.6 mm, 5 µm particle size) (Thermo, Waltham, MA, USA). The elution was performed using 1% acetonitrile in 20 mM phosphate buffer adjusted to pH 2.20 with phosphoric acid (A), water/acetonitrile (80/20, v/v, B) according to the following gradient: 0 min, 0 % B; 5 min, 0 % B; 12 min, 10 % B; 19 min, 10 % B. The following organic acids were analyzed: formic acid, acetic acid, propionic acid, D-/-L-Lactic acid, i3butyric acid, i3valeric acid.

2.9 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS v.15 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Variance analysis by one-way method was used to compare the influence of feeding different prebiotic compounds in the iron-deficient groups of animals. Individual means were tested using pair-wise comparison with Tukey’s multiple comparison test when effects were significant. Statistical significance was established at $P<0.05$ for all comparisons.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Effects in hematological parameters and hepatic expression of transferrin receptor (TfR2)

Animals fed with the Fe-deficient diet alone showed a significant decrease in hemoglobin (Hb) concentrations compared to animals fed with the standard diet (Table I). In this period of treatment (15 days) there were not provoked changes in the hematocrit, which have been reported to occur after 20 days in animals under a Fe-deficient diet. Nevertheless, the decrease in mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCH) became significantly ($P<0.05$) reduced in animals receiving the Fe-deficient diet. Animals administered with the supplement of Fe alone showed normalized Hb concentrations, but lower ($P<0.001$) than values quantified in controls. Notably, there were not quantified significant ($P>0.05$) alterations between the Hb concentration of animals administered with the supplement of Fe together with the
prebiotic compounds GOS-Lu or kojibiose. This prebiotic-promoted positive effect in Hb concentration was not observed in animals administered with 4’-galactosyl-kojibiose. A similar trend with higher increases in MCH was calculated in animals administered with GOS-Lu and kojibiose in comparison to 4’-galactosyl-kojibiose or the supplement of Fe alone. There were not significant \((P>0.05)\) changes in body weight gain in animals fed with the Fe-deficient diet compared to the controls at the end of period of study \((data\ not\ shown)\).

Changes in Tfr2 expression (mRNA) levels in animals administered with the supplement of Fe alone or together with the oligosaccharides assayed are shown in Figure 1. Animals administered with the supplement of Fe alone showed a trend increasing \((P>0.05)\) Tfr2 expression levels in comparison to controls with normal Hb concentration. Feeding the supplement of Fe together with GOS-Lu or kojibiose did not affect Tfr2 expression values. Notably, feeding animals with 4’-galactosyl-kojibiose down-regulated \((P=0.001)\) Tfr2 expression levels compared to controls, but to similar \((P=0.28)\) levels found in animals fed with the Fe-deficient diet.

### 3.2 Effects in hepcidin production and liver biomarkers

The consumption of Fe-deficient diet did not provoke alterations in bioactive hepcidin peptide production relative to animals fed with the Fe-adequate diet \((Figure 2)\). Animals administered with the supplement of Fe alone exhibited a significantly \((P=0.029)\) increased circulating hepcidin concentration. These animals showed hepcidin concentrations up to 1.64-fold that of control animals demonstrating the physiological inflammatory response at liver level. However, the concurrent administration of the supplement of Fe and all prebiotic compounds studied tended to decrease to similar values the circulating concentration of hepcidin that not differed from the control group.

Changes in hepatic NFkB, IL-6 and PPARγ expression levels in the different treatment groups are shown in Figure 1. Nutritional deficiency of iron induced NFkB (Nuclear Factor Kappa-B) expression (mRNA), which was not normalized neither by the administration of the Fe supplement alone or together with none of the prebiotic compounds. Additionally, Fe-deficient animals showed increased IL-6 expression (mRNA) compared to control animals as well as those groups administered with the supplement of Fe alone and together with kojibiose. However, there were not significant differences in IL-6 mRNA levels in animals administered with GOS-Lu or 4’-galactosyl-kojibiose relative to controls. All animals from the different treatment groups
showed an increased PPARγ expression (mRNA) compared to controls. The administration of the supplement of Fe alone decreased PPARγ expression values relative to Fe-deficient animals. Besides, animals administered with the Fe supplement together with the different prebiotic structures revealed significant differences affecting PPARγ expression in the different treatment groups.

3.3 Effects in short chain fatty acids (SCFA) production

The concentration of several different SCFA quantified in colon contents of animals from the different groups of treatment are shown in Table 2. Significant differences in the concentration of formic acid and i-valeric acid in colon content of Fe-deficient animals were found as compared to controls. On the other hand, the level of acetic, propionic, i-butyric and i-valeric acid was higher in animals administered with the supplement of Fe alone than those fed with the standard diet. Neither the concentration of propionic acid nor butyric acid in both Fe-adequate or deficient groups presented significant ($P>0.05$) correlation with Hb levels. Animals fed the concurrent administration of the supplement of Fe together with GOS-Lu showed decreased concentration of i-valeric acid relative to animals administered with the supplement of Fe alone. Feeding animals with the supplement of Fe and kojibiose changed, but not significantly ($P=0.065$), the mean value for formic acid and normalized the levels of i-valeric acid to values similar to concentrations found in controls. Animals administered with 4’-galactosyl-kojibiose exhibited lower concentration of formic, acetic, propionic, i-butyric and i-valeric acids in comparison to animals fed with the supplement of Fe alone.

4. DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated the rapid restoration of normal Hb levels in Fe-deficient animals fed with potential prebiotics such as GOS-Lu and kojibiose together with a supplement of FeCl₃, to even higher (by 14%) mean values than those quantified in animals fed only with the supplement of Fe. This positive effect in Fe absorption is clearly evident in the increased MCH calculated in animals fed kojibiose. In contrast, 4’-galactosyl-kojibiose showed a much less influence on the studied hematological parameters. These results highlight the role played by the oligosaccharide structure in mineral absorption.
The assayed oligosaccharides have been comprehensively characterized prior to this study. GOS-Lu is a complex mixture predominantly dominated by the presence of di- and trisaccharides (31% and 42%, respectively) followed by tetrasaccharides (24.6%) and pentasaccharides in trace amounts.\(^{23}\) The disaccharide fraction was mainly composed of galactosyl-fructoses with 1→1, 1→4 (i.e., lactulose), 1→5, and 1→6 glycosidic linkages, in addition to galactobioses linked by 1→1, 1→2, 1→3, 1→4, and 1→6 glycosidic linkages, whereas the trisaccharide fraction was mainly composed by the trisaccharide 6′-galactosyl-lactulose.\(^{24}\)

In the case of the mixture obtained with high proportion of kojibiose (2-\(\alpha\)-d-glucopyranosyl-\(\alpha\)-d-glucopyranose), carbohydrate composition determined by GC-FID was as follows: 66% kojibiose, 20% leucrose, 8% yeast metabolites and 6% trisaccharides. Kojibiose was purified by LC-RID and identified by GC-MS.\(^{21}\)

Carbohydrate composition of the mixture with high proportion of 4′-galactosyl-kojibiose was determined by gas chromatography with flame ionization detector showing that it was composed of 44% 4′-galactosyl-kojibiose, 30% galactosylated derivatives, 13% kojibiose, 8% leucrose, 3% lactose and 2% yeast metabolites (minor amounts of polyalcohols and organic acids). 4′-galactosyl-kojibiose was isolated and chromatographically purified by LC-RID from the reaction mixture obtained and then fully characterized by 1D and 2D (\(^1\)H, \(^1\)H) and (\(^1\)H-\(^{13}\)C) nuclear magnetic resonance studies (gCOSY, TOCSY, ROESY, multiplicity-edited gHSQC, and gHMBC).\(^{20}\)

These results evidence the avidity of Fe-deficient organisms for the micronutrient and taken together with previous studies with humans\(^7,14\) indicate that prebiotic-mediated positive effects on Fe homeostasis are mostly relevant when suffering nutritional deficiency of the micronutrient. These human studies also stressed that the magnitude of the prebiotic-mediated effects seemed to be conditioned by the nutritional status on the micronutrient of the subjects. For example, feeding GOS to young healthy men did not improved nutritional biomarkers of Fe status.\(^{14}\) Further studies in women with low iron status also pointed out the inulin-promoted improved (\(P<0.05\)) fractional iron absorption.\(^7\)

Previous research associated the dietary micronutrient intake with markers of inflammation, which effects that can be aggravated after long-term consumption.\(^{15}\) Although, Fe absorption is tightly regulated and controlled at intestinal level these processes are influenced by the hepatic production of inflammatory mediators such as hepcidin\(^{18,25}\) and IL-6.\(^{18}\) Serum hepcidin displays an inverse relationship with Fe
intestinal absorption either from foods or dietary supplements. Thus, prebiotic-mediated decrease in the production of hepcidin (Fig. 2) is reflected in improved hematological parameters such as Hb and MCH (Table 1). These anti-inflammatory effects appear to be dependent on the prebiotic structure considered and can explain, at least in part, their differential capacity to influence Fe absorption. Human and experimental animal models indicate that control of liver inflammatory processes in response to free Fe can result in an improved iron homeostasis and nutritional status.

The effects and influence in liver physiology of the prebiotic compounds assayed could be explained by differences in the monomer and linkage type of the different prebiotic compounds tested that also could influence their prebiotic selectivity. Data from animal studies have demonstrated the prebiotic effect of GOS-Lu (1% w/w diet for 14 days) increasing the numbers of beneficial bifidobacteria and lactobacilli together with the number of *Eubacterium rectale/Clostridium coccoides* group and bacteroidetes. However, from *in vitro* studies it has been calculated a high prebiotic index for kojibiose promoting increases in the numbers of bifidobacteria, but not for lactobacilli, *Eubacterium rectale/Clostridium coccoides* and bacteroidetes group. In this context, it has been reported the inhibition of NFkB signaling by anaerobic commensal bacteria, particularly *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* exerted potential anti-inflammatory effects by promoting nuclear export of NFκB subunit relA in complex with PPAR-γ. However, the GOS-Lu mediated increase in *Bacteroides* spp. it is not reflected in a significant down-regulation of NFkB expression (mRNA) in this group of treatment (Fig. 1).

PPAR-γ expression is also associated to insulin signaling and inhibition of monocyte and macrophage inflammatory responses by preventing the activation of nuclear transcription factors, such as NFkB, activating Protein-1 and STAT1 (Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription-1). *Inulin* is a heterogeneous collection of fructose polymers (glucosyl and fructosyl moieties), which are linked by β(2→1) bonds and a degree of polymerization ranged from 2 to 60. Besides, the prebiotic structures used in the present study are galactooligosaccharides, which are linked by α(1→2)-glucosyl bonds and predominantly dominated by the presence of di- and trisaccharides. These structural differences could explain the different expression patterns in relation to PPAR and NFB (Fig. 1). Positive prebiotic-mediated effects on gene expression have been evidenced in newborn animals because of the different regulation of circulating satiety hormones and genes involved in glucose transport and energy metabolism in offspring. Findings supporting the influence of dietary prebiotics in modulation of gut
microbiota or their direct influence in PPAR-γ expression suggest a potential use for prebiotics in type-2 diabetes, hypertension in the absence of obesity and, a number of components of the metabolic syndrome. Notably, the data presented in this study revealed significant differences of the prebiotic compounds assayed in PPAR expression evidencing the importance of the prebiotic structure on their potential physiological effects and utilization as adjuvants in therapeutic strategies.

Fermentation of prebiotics with the subsequent production of SCFA plays a pivotal role in some beneficial activities in the gut. Deficiencies in Fe absorption processes have been associated to acetic-induced inhibition of glucose metabolism in diabetic animal models. In good accordance with these data, the decreased colon acetic acid concentration in the groups of animals fed with GOS-Lu and kojibiose likely associated inversely with MCH (Table 1), but not in animals fed with the 4'-galactosyl-kojibiose. Otherwise, the higher production of both propionic and butyric acid in the groups administered with the prebiotics GOS-Lu and kojibiose compounds could be hypothesized to favor low oxidative stress, due to Fe incorporation into cells, reflected in improved MCH values. This hypothesis is supported by the interaction of propionates with heme oxygenase leading to the production of precursors to the powerful antioxidant bilirubin. Also, ketone body D-β-hydroxybutyrate (βOHB) has been also reported as an endogenous and specific inhibitor of class I histone deacetylases reducing oxidative stress. It cannot be ruled out that butyrate and propionate constitute the main source of energy for host colonocytes and are also important for gastrointestinal health, immunity, and host metabolism contributing to maintain angiopoietin-like protein 4 (ANGPTL4) levels stimulating additional routes to gut microbiota.

Overall, the data presented indicate that administration of certain prebiotic structures could help preventing cellular alterations as consequence of the dietary micronutrient intake.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The data reported herein on the influence of different prebiotic compounds, reveal novel findings on how structural differences of prebiotics can affect Fe homeostasis in a Fe-deficient animal model. Physiological response(s) in Fe homeostasis can be modulated by the concurrent administration of supplements of Fe together with prebiotics. The data reported point to prebiotic-mediated beneficial effects to liver function that are reflected in higher Hb concentration (up to 17%) improving nutritional
status of the micronutrient. Accordingly, animals administered with GOS-Lu and kojibiose showed significantly higher MHC than those administered with the supplement of Fe alone. Moreover, feeding animals with potential prebiotic compounds decreased the Fe supplement-induced liver secretion of inflammatory bioactive hepcidin peptide, thus contributing to an improved intestinal absorption of the micronutrient. These effects were accompanied of different expression patterns of liver iron sensing biomarkers indicating their different influence in the cross-talk within the gut-liver axis. The fact that infants constitute a population at a high risk to suffer iron deficiency, points out the attractive potential use prebiotics in the formulation of infant foods for improving bowel function due to its prebiotic function and prevent the risk of nutritional deficiency in iron. However, further human trials are needed to support the clinical relevance of this potential nutritional intervention.
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Figure 1. Hepatic expression of transferrin receptor (TfR2), interleukin (IL)-6, peroxisome activator receptor (PPAR)-γ and nuclear factor kappa (NFκB) in control and iron deficient (ID) animals and those administered with the supplement of Fe alone or together with the different potential prebiotic compounds (GOS-Lu, kojibiose or galactosyl-kojibiose). Results are expressed as median (lower-upper limits) (n=7). Superscript symbols indicate statistically (P<0.05) significant differences. §, P=0.024; *,¢ P<0.05 relative to controls.
Figure 2. Plasma hepcidin peptide concentrations in control and iron deficient (ID) animals and those administered with the supplement of Fe alone or together with the different potential prebiotic compounds (GOS-Lu, kojibiose or galactosyl-kojibiose). Results are expressed as median (lower-upper limits) (n=7). Superscript letters indicate statistically (P<0.05) significant differences.
Table 1. Hematological parameters of control and iron deficient (ID) animals and those administered with the supplement of Fe alone or together with the different potential prebiotic compounds GOS-Lu, kojibiose, and galactosyl-kojibiose. Results are expressed as median (lower-upper limits) (n=7). a-d Different superscript letters indicate significant ($P<0.05$) statistical differences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>FeCl$_3$</th>
<th>Oligosaccharide + FeCl$_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hb (g/dL)</td>
<td>19.8 ± 0.7$^a$</td>
<td>11.1 ± 2.7$^d$</td>
<td>16.2 ± 0.2$^{bc}$</td>
<td>18.1 ± 1.3$^{ab}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit</td>
<td>55.3 ± 2.1$^a$</td>
<td>53.7 ± 1.4$^a$</td>
<td>56.0 ± 0.9$^{ab}$</td>
<td>54.0 ± 0.9$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythrocytes (x10$^6$/mm$^3$)</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV$^1$ (x10$^4$)</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH$^2$ (pg)</td>
<td>35.7 ± 1.2$^a$</td>
<td>20.3 ± 5.5$^b$</td>
<td>28.9 ± 0.3$^{cd}$</td>
<td>33.0 ± 0.8$^{cd}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSG$^3$ (mm/h)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$ MCV, mean corpuscular volume – mean standard deviation (SD) = ±4.09 x10$^3$; $^2$ MCH, mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration; $^3$ VSG, corpuscular speed sedimentation
Table 2. Short chain fatty acids (SCFA) concentration in colon contents (µg/g feces) of control and iron deficient (ID) animals and those administered with the supplement of Fe alone or together with the different potential prebiotic compounds GOS-Lu, kojibiose, and galactosyl-kojibiose. Results are expressed as median (lower-upper limits) (n=7). a-d Different superscript letters indicate significant ($P<0.05$) statistical differences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCFA</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>FeCl$_3$</th>
<th>Oligosaccharide + FeCl$_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GOS-Lu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formic</td>
<td>8.57 ± 1.06$^a$</td>
<td>14.49 ± 2.94$^b$</td>
<td>12.03 ± 2.32$^{ab}$</td>
<td>12.06 ± 0.26$^{ab}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.25$^a$</td>
<td>1.92 ± 1.07$^{ab}$</td>
<td>3.04 ± 1.24$^b$</td>
<td>2.24 ± 0.13$^{ab}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionic</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.18$^{ab}$</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.18$^{ab}$</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.21$^c$</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.07$^{bc}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D/L-Lactic</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.02$^{ab}$</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.09$^a$</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.07$^{ab}$</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.07$^{ab}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i$-Butyric</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.05$^a$</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.11$^a$</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.15$^b$</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.13$^{ab}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i$-Valeric</td>
<td>8.10 ± 1.17$^a$</td>
<td>15.20 ± 3.02$^c$</td>
<td>15.26 ± 2.83$^c$</td>
<td>12.71 ± 0.51$^b$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>