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Abstract

The antioxidant activity of gallic acid and a series of alkyl gallates (C4 to C18) and glycosylated alkyl gallates (C4 to C18) on fish oil-in-water emulsions was studied. Three types of emulsifiers, lecithin, Tween-20 and sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) were tested. A nonlinear behaviour of the antioxidant activity of alkyl gallates when increasing alkyl chain length was observed for emulsions prepared with lecithin. Medium-size alkyl gallates (C6-C12) were the best antioxidants. In contrast, for emulsions prepared with Tween-20, the antioxidants seem to follow the polar paradox. Glucosyl alkyl gallates were shown previously to be better surfactants than alkyl gallates. Nevertheless, they exhibited a worse antioxidant capacity than their corresponding alkyl gallates, in emulsions prepared with lecithin or Tween-20, indicating the greater relevance of having three OH groups at the polar head in comparison with having improved surfactant properties but just a di-ortho phenolic structure in the antioxidant.

Highlights

• Alkyl gallates exhibited good antioxidant (AO) activity in fish oil-in-water emulsions.
• The type of emulsifier affects their AO activity when increasing alkyl chain length.
• Glucosyl alkyl gallates showed to be better surfactants than alkyl gallates.
• Glucosyl alkyl gallates presented worse AO activity than alkyl gallates.
• Maintenance of the three OH groups at the antioxidant is crucial for AO activity.

Keywords: Lipid oxidation; gallic acid; alkyl gallates, glycosylation, oil-in-water emulsions, antioxidant, surfactant;
INTRODUCTION

Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) are major components in fish oil and are known to be highly beneficial for human health (Bang et al., 1971; Dyerberg et al., 1978; Tziomalos et al., 2007). This aspect has made them very attractive for the food, nutraceutical and cosmetics industries. However, the use of marine lipids is quite challenging due to the presence of highly oxidizable unsaturated fatty acids (Hsieh et al., 1989). Lipid oxidation becomes an even larger problem when they are part of dispersed lipid systems such as oil-in-water emulsions. This type of matrix is characterized by a large interfacial area and it is at this exact location where lipid oxidation has been proposed to start before propagating to the rest of the oil phase (Frankel, 1998; McClements et al., 2000).

Among the different strategies used to retard or inhibit lipid oxidation, the addition of antioxidants is one of the most employed approaches. Understanding the efficiency of antioxidants in inhibiting oxidation is a relevant subject for designing and preparing better antioxidants. Thus, these compounds will help fish oil containing products to extend their shelf life and maintaining their nutritional and health-related properties.

A long time standing theory to predict the antioxidant efficiency on different oil matrices has been the “polar paradox” proposed by Porter (1980) and Porter et al. (1989) which states that polar antioxidants are more effective in bulk oils, whereas lipophilic antioxidants display better antioxidant activity in emulsified systems. Frankel et al. (1994) contributed to explanation of these experimental findings with the concept of interfacial oxidation. They proposed that the differences observed may be explained by the affinity of polar antioxidants for the air-oil interface in bulk oils due to their low solubility in oil, whereas lipophilic antioxidants would prefer to locate at the oil-water interphase in emulsions.

Several research groups (Chaiyasit et al., 2005; Kikuzaki et al., 2002; Laguerre et al., 2009; Laguerre et al., 2010; Medina et al., 2009; Sørensen et al., 2008; Sørensen et al., 2011; Stöckmann et al., 2000; Torres de Pinedo et al., 2007a; Torres de Pinedo et al., 2007b; Yuji et al., 2007) have found
different examples that question the validity of the polar paradox. We found that small structural changes at phenolipids and other phenolic-based antioxidants affecting their polarity can display different antioxidant activity in bulk oils than that predicted by the polar paradox (Torres de Pinedo et al., 2007a; Torres de Pinedo et al., 2007b). Recently, Zhong et al. (2012) have reported a preliminary study with several polar and nonpolar representative antioxidants in bulk oil where concentration seems to play a critical role and therefore the polar paradox is applicable over certain concentration ranges (Shahidi et al., 2011).

In emulsions and liposomes, different authors have reported that an increase in hydrophobicity was not always advantageous for antioxidant effectiveness (Kikuzaki et al., 2002; Medina et al., 2009; Sørensen et al., 2010; Stöckmann et al., 2000; Yuji et al., 2007). In fact, a parabolic (or cut-off) effect on antioxidant activity was noticed when increasing the length of the homologous series of lipophilic alkyl esters of chlorogenic and rosmarinic acids (Laguerre et al., 2009; 2010). Consequently, medium-size chains yielded the best antioxidant capacity in emulsions, in contrast with the prediction by the polar paradox.

Different explanations have been proposed for this parabolic effect on antioxidant efficiency in emulsions such as partitioning factors of antioxidants in emulsified systems (Laguerre et al., 2009), reduced mobility (Fendler, 1982; Laguerre et al., 2012; Losada-Barreiro et al., 2013), internalization (Laguerre et al., 2012), self-aggregation of phenolipids with very long alkyl chains due to their hydrophobicity and molecular size (Laguerre et al., 2010; 2012; Panya et al., 2012) and surface active properties of the phenolipid antioxidants (Heins et al., 2007; Lucas et al., 2010; Yuji et al., 2007).

Our objective in this work was to investigate the efficiency of antioxidants in oil-in-water emulsions by examining the relevance of the surface active properties and the molecular interactions between the phenolipid antioxidants and the emulsifier. To do so, we designed and prepared a series of alkyl gallate derivatives containing carbohydrates on the phenolic moiety and examined them as inhibitors of the oxidation of highly oxidation susceptible fish lipids when contained in oil-in-water emulsions (Figure 1). We have recently shown that by adding a sugar to alkyl gallates at their phenolic
structure, the corresponding glycosyl alkyl gallates become better surfactants. The idea was to check the antioxidant capacity of these new molecules with improved surfactant efficiency but containing just a di-ortho phenolic structure (in comparison with their parent compounds containing three phenolic OH’s). Oxidation experiments in oil-in-water emulsions have been carried out using lecithin, Tween-20 and SDS as emulsifiers. The rate of oxidation was monitored by the formation of lipid oxidation products during controlled sample storage.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials. Cod (Gadus morhua) liver oil contained 40.6 % of \(-3\) PUFA’s (3.7% of 18:3 \(\omega 3\); 1.3% of 20:4 \(\omega 3\); 14.9% of 20:5 \(\omega 3\); 2.8% of 22:5 \(\omega 3\) and 17.9% of 22:6 \(\omega 3\)) was purchased from Fluka (New-Ulm, Switzerland). It showed a standard quality as tested by the absence of rancid off-flavours as well as low values of hexanal (less than 0.01 ppm), 1-penten-3-ol or pentanal (both lower than 0.001 ppm) (Iglesias et al., 2007). Its peroxide and anisidine values were 3.92 ± 0.35 milliequivalents oxygen/ kg oil (Chapman et al., 1949) and 10.32± 0.56 (AOCS, 2011 Method Cd 18-90), respectively.

L-\(\alpha\)-phosphatidylcholine (Soybean lecithin, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), Tween-20 (Sigma) and SDS (Sigma) were used as surfactant in oil-in-water emulsions. Soybean lecithin used was essentially a crude organic extract of egg yolk which contains not less than 60% phosphatidylcholine. The remaining 40% consists of mostly phosphatidylethanolamine plus other phospholipids as well as traces of triacylglycerols and cholesterol. Its peroxide and anisidine values were 6.78 ± 0.14 milliequivalents oxygen/ kg oil (Chapman et al., 1949) and 0.85 ± 0.02 (AOCS, 2011 Method Cd 18-90), respectively.

Gallic acid (Sigma) was used as control since is the basic unit of the different phenolipids. Butyl gallate, hexyl gallate, octyl gallate, dodecyl gallate, hexadecyl gallate and octadecyl gallate were purchased from TCI Europe. N.V (Boerenveldseweg, Zwijndrecht, Belgium). Decyl gallate was prepared as described previously (Maldonado et al., 2011). All chemicals and solvents used were either analytical or
Synthesis of glucosyl- and glucuronosyl alkyl gallates. The new phenolipids were prepared from the corresponding alkyl gallates as described previously (Maldonado et al., 2011) (see Figure 1 for structures). Glucuronosyl methyl ester hexadecyl gallate, compound 17, was synthesized as follows: acetyl protected glucuronosyl methyl ester hexadecyl gallate was dissolved in methanol (2 mL for each 100 mg) and \( \text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3 \) (0.3 eq.) was then added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h and when starting material had disappeared, Amberlite IR-120 was then added until pH = 7. The reaction mixture was then filtered and solvents removed to afford compound 17 in high yield. \(^1\text{H NMR} (300 \text{ MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta 7.27 (s, 1 \text{ H, H}_{\text{arom}}), 7.18 (s, 1 \text{ H, H}_{\text{arom}}), 4.81 (d, 1\text{H, J} = 7.32, \text{ H-1}), 4.49 (t, 2\text{H, CH}_2), 3.96 (d, 1\text{H, J} = 9.6 \text{ Hz, H-5}), 3.71 (s, 3\text{H, MeO}), 3.60-3.45 (m, 3\text{H, H-2, H-3, H-4}), 1.67-1.63 (m, 2\text{H, CH}_2), 1.34-1.19 (m, 26 \text{H, } 13\times\text{CH}_2), 0.82 (t, 3\text{H, } J = 7.5 \text{ Hz, CH}_3)\). \(^1\text{C NMR} (75 \text{ MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta 169.4, 166.6, 145.6, 145.1, 140.3, 120.6, 112.0, 110.4, 102.9, 75.4, 73.1, 71.5, 64.6, 51.6, 31.7, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 29.1, 29.0, 28.4, 25.7, 22.4, 13.1. \text{MS (ES}^+\text{)} \text{Calcd. for C}_{30}\text{H}_{48}\text{NaO}_{11} (\text{M-H}) 583.3, \text{Found: 583.6. All compounds prepared showed 95% purity or higher by HPLC.}

Preparation of oil-in-water emulsions and thermal oxidation experiments. Cod liver oil-in-water emulsions containing the emulsifier (1% lecithin, 2% Tween-20 and 1% SDS) and 10% fish oil were prepared in water, as previously described by Huang et al. (1996b). Briefly, cod liver oil was emulsified in water using lecithin, Tween-20 or SDS as emulsifiers, and sonicated at high power (Ultrason Fungilab, 30 KHz ± 5%) for 10 min in a cold glass container. Previous studies in our laboratory showed that these are the most adequate concentrations of each emulsifier to get a stable emulsion during the whole study. Prepared phenolipids were added in methanol solutions into screw-capped 50-mL Erlenmeyer flasks and then, methanol was removed under a stream of nitrogen before addition of oil-in-water emulsions (3 g). The concentration of each phenolipid in the emulsion was 0.1 mmol/kg. Samples were subsequently sonicated for 5 min for a total dispersion of antioxidants. Control samples have no antioxidant added. The oxidative stability of emulsions was monitored during storage at two different
temperatures 45 ºC and 30º C by sensory analysis and measuring the formation of conjugated diene and triene hydroperoxides. The set of experiments including different phenolipids that share the hexadecyl alkyl chains was carried out at 50 ºC to accelerate sample oxidation. Triplicate samples were prepared and oxidized.

**Sensory analysis.** Sensory analysis was evaluated by an expert panel formed by four trained specialists in descriptive analysis of fishy off-flavours, in a room designed for that purpose. Samples were placed at room temperature during 10 minutes before analysis. Three categories were ranked: no rancidity (A), incipient rancidity (B), and rancid (C).

**Conjugated diene and triene hydroperoxides.** Fifty microliters of emulsion (49 mg) were dispersed in 5 mL of ethanol and then diluted to a measurable absorbance when it was necessary. The absorbance was measured at 234 nm for dienes and at 268 nm for trienes (UV-Vis Spectrophotometer, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). The results were expressed as millimol of hydroperoxides per kilogram of oil (mmol/kg oil) as describes previously (Huang *et al*., 1996a).

% Inhibition was determined according to equation:

\[ \text{% INHIBITION} = ((C-S)/C) \times 100 \]

Where C was the increment in the oxidation product formed in control and S was the increment in the oxidation product formed in sample, both expressed as mmol / kg oil.

**Statistical Analysis.** Each sample type (antioxidant) was replicated in two independent storage experiments (n=2) using different batches of oil-in-water emulsions. Triplicate samples were prepared for each of those experiments. An average value of the replicate analyses was used in calculations of sample variation and significance testing. The data were compared by one-way analysis of variance and the means were compared by a least squares difference method (*Sokal et al.*, 1994). Significance was declared at p < 0.01. Correlations between the propagation rates of lipid oxidation products and the physicochemical properties of phenolics were determined by Pearson coefficients. Statistical analyses were performed with the software *Statistica*.
RESULTS

Preparation of glucosyl- and glucuronosyl alkyl gallates. These compounds have been synthesized from the corresponding alkyl gallates 2-8 (Maldonado et al., 2011) (see Figure 1 for structures). Briefly, two contiguous hydroxyl groups of the alkyl gallates were protected via isopropylidene formation in moderate yields (43-60%). Next, the remaining OH group was glycosylated with the acetyl-protected glucosyl or glucuronosyl methyl ester trichloroacetimidate donors (yields 67-93 and 42-63%, respectively). Finally, treatment with trifluoroacetic acid to remove the acetal group (yields 53-83% for the glucose series and 62-81% for the glucuronosyl series) followed by basic hydrolysis gave glucosyl alkyl gallates 9-15 (yields 75-99%) and glucuronosyl alkyl gallate 16 (yield 79%). Compound 17 was obtained by shortening the reaction time during the basic hydrolysis of the corresponding acetyl protected glucuronosyl methyl ester hexadecyl gallate. All compounds were purified by flash chromatography using silica gel as stationary phase. Further details on the synthesis and purification can be found in Maldonado et al. (2011).

Inhibition of lipid oxidation by alkyl gallates 2-8. Antioxidant activity of alkyl gallates and gallic acid in fish oil-in-water emulsions was tested in thermal oxidation samples supplemented with concentrations of 0.1 mmol/kg of each compound. The temperature and time of the experiment varies depending on the concentration and efficiency of the antioxidants and the emulsifier used in the oxidation experiment. The oxidation experiments were first run during 10 days at 45 °C using lecithin as emulsifier. According to sensory assessment (Table S1, supplementary data) the best results were obtained with hexyl gallate (3) which kept the emulsion stable until day 10. Control samples developed incipient rancidity by the 4th day. Samples with the rest of alkyl gallates showed a good quality until, at least, the sixth day. These results were verified by chemical analysis of conjugated diene and triene hydroperoxides (Figure S1, supplementary data). Results on the percentage of inhibition on the
formation of conjugated diene and triene hydroperoxides are shown in Table 1. All alkyl gallate
derivatives were considerably effective to inhibit the formation of conjugated diene and triene
hydroperoxides. The antioxidant efficiency order was found to be: hexyl gallate \sim dodecyl gallate >
octyl gallate \sim decyl gallate \sim hexadecyl gallate > butyl gallate > octadecyl gallate >> gallic
acid. These results seem to disagree with the rules predicted by the polar paradox since the two more
hydrophobic compounds, hexadecyl and octadecyl gallates (7 and 8, respectively), display worse
antioxidant capacity than some medium size, less polar derivatives such as hexyl or octyl gallate (3 and
4, respectively).

When the emulsion was prepared with Tween-20 or SDS as emulsifiers and the experiments
were carried out at 45ºC, there was a notable increment of the rate of oxidation. As consequence of this
oxidation rate and the lack of induction period, it was difficult to study the antioxidant behavior of the
target compounds and therefore, identify differences on the antioxidant efficiency among them (data not
shown). Then, all the following experiments with Tween-20 or SDS were carried out at 30ºC. It is
important to comment that lecithin is a known antioxidant compound (Evans, 1935; Feigenbaum, 1946;
Jude et al., 2003) whereas Tween-20 and SDS are emulsifiers without any known antioxidant
properties.

When the oxidation experiments were run using Tween-20 as emulsifier at 30 ºC for 5 days,
conjugated diene and triene hydroperoxide data showed that most alkyl gallates were quite effective
antioxidants (Table I and Figure S2, supplementary data). Only butyl gallate showed medium
antioxidant efficiency and in the case of gallic acid a prooxidant behaviour was observed. The
antioxidant efficiency order showed some differences compared to the experiment with lecithin as
emulsifier: dodecyl gallate \sim hexadecyl gallate \sim octadecyl gallate > octyl gallate \sim hexyl gallate >
decyl gallate >> butyl gallate >> gallic acid. In this case, the highest antioxidant efficiency is observed
for the more hydrophobic alkyl gallates 6-8, as it would be predicted by the “polar paradox”. Sensory
assessment (Table S2A, supplementary data) showed that gallic acid developed incipient rancidity by
the first day, whereas dodecyl gallate, hexadecyl gallate and octadecyl gallate showed the best results and did not show rancidity until day 5. Sensory scores agreed with chemical analysis results.

In emulsions prepared with SDS as emulsifier (Figure S3, supplementary data), all compounds showed a prooxidant behaviour (Table S3) developing a rancid off-flavors by the second day of storage (Table S4A).

**Inhibition of lipid oxidation by glucosyl alkyl gallates 9-15.** The effect of the addition of a glucose unit at the phenolic ring of alkyl gallates on the antioxidant activity in emulsions was examined next. Thermal oxidation experiments in fish oil-in-water emulsions were carried out in samples supplemented with each phenolic derivative (0.1 mmol/kg). Emulsions were prepared first using lecithin as emulsifier and oxidation experiments were run during 8 days at 45 ºC. For direct comparison the corresponding alkyl gallates were also added to the experiment.

According to sensory assessment (Table S5), control samples and all glucosyl alkyl gallates were kept stable until day 4. After that, a rancid odour was detected. Sensory evaluations were verified by chemical analysis of conjugated diene and triene hydroperoxides (Table S6). All glucosyl alkyl gallate derivatives 9-15 showed very little efficiency to inhibit the formation of conjugated diene and triene hydroperoxides (Figure S4, supplementary data). Only glucosyl hexyl gallate 10 displayed a very limited antioxidant activity. The presence of the third hydroxyl group at the phenolic unit seems to be crucial for the antioxidant capacity.

We decided to perform the same experiment under less drastic conditions (30 ºC, see Table 2 and Figure S5, supplementary data) trying to differentiate more clearly among this series of antioxidants. In this case, medium size glucosyl alkyl gallates (9-12) showed reasonable antioxidant capacity after 8 days with glucosyl butyl gallate 9 being the best antioxidant of this series according to sensory scores (Table S7B, supplementary data) and conjugated diene hydroperoxides formation. Similarly to the oxidation experiment in emulsions prepared with lecithin containing alkyl gallates, the most hydrophobic compounds (13-15) were worse antioxidants than some of the more polar compounds.
of the series (9-12). Then, we carried out the oxidation experiments in emulsions prepared with Tween-20 as emulsifier (30 ºC for 5 days). Conjugated diene and triene hydroperoxide data (Table 2, see also Figure S2, supplementary data) showed quite different results from those obtained in emulsions prepared with lecithin. Here, glucosyl butyl gallate 9 displayed the worst antioxidant activity of the series, followed by glucosyl decyl gallate 12. The rest of derivatives were better antioxidants, with the most hydrophobic glucosyl octadecyl gallate 15 being the best antioxidant of this series. Again, sensory analysis agreed with these results (Table S2B) showing the worst quality for glucosyl butyl gallate 9 by the second day.

Experiments with SDS as emulsifiers were carried out at 30 ºC during 3 days (Table S8 and Figure S3, supplementary data). Only glucosyl decyl gallate showed moderate antioxidant behaviour inhibiting the development of rancidity up to second day (Table S4B). The rest of compounds showed a prooxidant behaviour, the same result observed for the alkyl gallates series.

Inhibition of lipid oxidation by glucosyl hexadecyl gallate 14, glucuronosyl hexadecyl gallates 16 and glucuronosyl methyl ester hexadecyl gallate 17. As a final experiment we compared the antioxidant efficiency in fish oil-in-water emulsions of three different modifications on the phenolic ring of hexadecyl gallate with the unmodified hexadecyl gallate 7. We included glucosyl, glucuronosyl and glucuronosyl methyl ester hexadecyl gallates, compounds 14, 16 and 17. Compound 16 behaves as a surfactant (surface tension changes with concentration in aqueous solution) whereas 14 and 17 do not. Once again, thermal oxidation samples were supplemented with concentrations of 0.1 mmol/kg of each antioxidant. The oxidation experiments were run at 50 ºC during 4 days first on emulsions prepared with lecithin. Octyl gallate 4 was used as positive control.

The results on the percentage of inhibition on the formation of conjugated diene and triene hydroperoxides are shown in Table 3 (see also Figure S6, supplementary data). All three phenolic ring modified hexadecyl gallates (14, 16 and 17) showed a clear lower antioxidant efficiency compared to hexadecyl gallate 7. Among them, no differences could be observed between compounds 14 and 16.
Compound 17 was the less active antioxidant in this system. Chemical results agreed with sensory assessment (Table S9, supplementary data).

When the thermal oxidation experiment was carried on emulsions prepared with Tween-20 as emulsifier at 30°C, the results for the phenolic ring modified alkyl gallates 14, 16 and 17 were according with those previously described for lecithin since they showed less antioxidant efficiency than the original hexadecyl gallate 7 that showed a notable antioxidant efficacy. (Figure S2, supplementary data).

The type of unit attached to the phenolic ring has a small influence on the antioxidant activity of these derivatives. Among the gallates with a phenolic ring substituent 14, 16 and 17, compound 16 showed the highest efficiency. Sensory score agreed with these results (Table S2B, supplementary data).

Again, a prooxidant activity of these compounds was observed when emulsion was prepared with SDS as emulsifier at 30 °C (Figure S3, supplementary data) showing a rancid off-flavor by the first day (Table S4, supplementary data).

DISCUSSION

Alkyl gallates as antioxidants for emulsions have been studied previously by different groups. Porter et al. (1989) examined gallic acid and alkyl gallates up to twelve carbons length (dodecyl gallate) in dry vegetable oil-in-water emulsions using lecithin as emulsifier. When they plotted antioxidant effectiveness against the $R_f$ measured on silica TLC plates (that gives a rough measure of polarity), the authors found a general linear trend where nonpolar antioxidants were more effective in dispersed lipid emulsions. In fact, this has been considered a clear example of antioxidants that support the “polar paradox”.

We have plotted the percentage of oxidation inhibition found in a fish oil-in-water emulsion against the alkyl chain length for each antioxidant of this alkyl gallate series (Figure 2A) and have found a parabolic behaviour when lecithin was used as emulsifier and a non-linear hyperbolic curve when Tween-20 was used as emulsifier. When SDS was used as emulsifier, only glucosyl decyl gallate
showed moderate antioxidant behaviour. The rest of compounds showed a clear prooxidant action. Our results with emulsions using lecithin as emulsifier seem to disagree with the rules predicted by the polar paradox. The short series of alkyl gallates used by Porter and colleagues in their experiments may be the reason for the discrepancies with our results. In contrast, our results with emulsions using Tween-20 as emulsifier seem to fit better with the polar paradox since the more hydrophobic compounds display better antioxidant efficiency in emulsions. In fact, a decrease in the percentage of oxidation inhibition is not observed for hexadecyl gallate 7 or octadecyl gallate 8 on emulsions prepared with Tween-20 but it is observed on emulsions prepared with lecithin.

Several studies of antioxidant efficiency of phenolipids in emulsions have been reported. Laguerre et al. (2009; 2010) found a parabolic behaviour or cut-off effect on a series of chlorogenate alkyl esters and rosmarinate alkyl esters where the maximum antioxidant efficiency in an oil-in-water system was displayed by medium-size alkyl derivatives (dodecyl and octyl, respectively). Acylation of hydroxytyrosol with medium-size alkyl chains (octanoic acid) also displayed higher antioxidant activity than hydroxytyrosol itself or hydroxytyrosol fatty acid esters with longer alkyl chains in fish oil-in-water emulsions (Medina et al., 2009).

Several explanations have been proposed for this type of behaviour. Since location of the antioxidants at the oil-water interphase is considered crucial to obtain good antioxidant activity in emulsions (Heins et al., 2007) it makes sense that the partitioning behaviour of the antioxidants between the different phases could be key to explain the parabolic effect. However, Laguerre et al. (2009) did not observe a good correlation with partitioning and proposed that long-chain phenolipids could be involved in the formation of micelles or other aggregates and therefore not properly placed at the emulsion interphase. The decrease in mobility due to the increase in molecular size for long-chain lipophilic antioxidants has also been mentioned as a possible reason for the parabolic effect observed (Fendler, 1982). Once again, this decrease in diffusion of the antioxidants may hinder the proper location of the antioxidants at the interphase.
Recently, we have shown that phenolipids such as hydroxytyrosol fatty acid esters possess surfactant properties (Lucas et al., 2010) and have proposed that the more effective surfactants would locate preferentially at the oil-water interface in the emulsions inhibiting lipid oxidation more efficiently. In a previous work we measured the surfactant properties in aqueous solutions of the alkyl gallates 2-8 used in this study (see Table S10) (Maldonado et al., 2011). When we plot the surfactant effectiveness versus the length of the alkyl chain for each of the alkyl gallates that display surfactant properties, we observe that the data easily fit a parabolic line (Figure S7). In fact, the best surfactants are medium-size alkyl gallates that also display the best antioxidant efficiency in oil-in-water emulsions when lecithin is used as emulsifier. However, since this surfactant property is linked to the structure of the antioxidant, there is not such a correlation with the antioxidant capacity observed for the alkyl gallates in emulsions prepared with Tween-20 as emulsifier where medium-size and long-size alkyl gallates show similar antioxidant efficiency.

One could argue that the antioxidant behaviour of the phenolipids is ruled in a different way for each specific emulsifier used to prepare the emulsions. This is probably not the case since Panya et al. (2012) observed a parabolic effect for rosmarinic alkyl esters in emulsions prepared with Tween-20 whereas, in our case, alkyl gallates in emulsions prepared with Tween-20 seem to follow better the behaviour predicted by the polar paradox. It seems to be related to the interactions of the emulsifier and a specific antioxidant than to the existence of a universal emulsifier for all antioxidants.

The relevance of the nature of the emulsifier in emulsions has been studied by Stöckmann et al. (2000). They reported that the antioxidant activity of a short homologous alkyl gallate series (from gallic acid to octyl gallate) in stripped corn oil-in-water emulsions showed great differences depending on the emulsifier used (lecithin, SDS and Brij 58). They hypothesized that specific molecular interactions between the antioxidants and the emulsifier were the cause of the differences found between emulsions. They proposed that these interactions could be between the antioxidant and the headgroup of the emulsifier (e.g. hydrogen bonds between the phenolic OH groups and the charge of the emulsifier) and also between the alkyl chains of the antioxidant and the lipid chain of the emulsifier, which would
affect the diffusion of the antioxidant in the emulsion. Several other authors (Aleman et al., 2015; McClements et al., 2000; Shahidi et al., 2011; Sørensen et al., 2008) have also suggested the relevance of the interactions between the emulsifiers and the antioxidants.

Additionally, an important aspect that could be related to the differences found between the antioxidant activity of the gallate derivatives in emulsions stabilized with lecithin, Tween-20 and SDS, is the significance of the antioxidant properties of emulsifiers for improving the oxidative stability of emulsions. Lecithin is a known antioxidant compound with good emulsification properties (Judd et al., 2003). In contrast, Tween-20 and SDS are emulsifiers without any known antioxidant properties due to lack of functional groups responsible for antioxidant activity (Kerwin, 2008). Pan et al. (2013) have demonstrated a major stabilization of emulsions with lecithin associated to a lower rate of permeation of peroxyl radicals from the aqueous phase to the oil phase of emulsion compared with emulsions stabilized with Tween-20. The higher rate of permeation of peroxyl radicals in the Tween-20 emulsions, due to the minor antioxidant activity of this emulsifier provoked a destabilization of the emulsion in terms of oxidation. Therefore, in our work, probably antioxidant synergistic or additive effects between lecithin and the gallate antioxidants are occurring and contributing to the antioxidant effectiveness identified for each gallate derivative. Such synergistic and additive effects could be dependent of the molecular structure of the conjugated gallate antioxidant. Tween-20 and SDS are compounds with no known antioxidant properties, thus they cannot increase or decrease the antioxidant activity associated to the gallate derivatives.

In this work we designed glycosyl alkyl gallates to improve the surface active properties of the corresponding alkyl gallates expecting also to improve their antioxidant activity in emulsions (since they still possess a di-ortho phenolic unit in their structure). When we measured the surface tension in aqueous solutions for glycosyl alkyl gallates we found that from the butyl (11) to the dodecyl (15) derivatives these compounds behave as surfactants (Table S10) (Maldonado et al., 2011). Moreover, the surfactant effectiveness ($\gamma_{\text{cmc}}$, surface tension at the CMC) for compounds 11-15 is lower than for the corresponding alkyl gallates 4-6 (7 and 8 do not behave as surfactants) demonstrating that they are
better surfactants. However, glycosyl alkyl gallates displayed less antioxidant efficiency in oil-in-water emulsions than alkyl gallates. These results were somehow surprising since the structure of these compounds still maintain a di-ortho phenolic ring and better antioxidant activity could be expected. It is important to note that the ester functionality in these alkyl gallate derivatives partially deactivates the aromatic ring what can limit the hydrogen donating capacity of the phenolic OH groups and decreases the stability of a radical on the ring. In fact, other antioxidants with a di-ortho phenolic moiety and an electron-rich aromatic ring such as hydroxytyrosol display excellent antioxidant activity (Medina et al., 2009).

When the percentage of oxidation inhibition was plotted against the alkyl chain length for each glucosyl alkyl gallate (Figure 2B), we observed a similar scenario to that found for the alkyl gallates, butyl gallate and medium-size alkyl gallates (C6-C10) were the best antioxidants in emulsions prepared with lecithin whereas in emulsions prepared with Tween-20 the glucosyl phenolipids tend to follow the polar paradox.

Finally, direct comparison of antioxidants containing the same hexadecyl alkyl chain and different polar headgroups, galloyl-7, glucosylgalloyl-14, glucuronosylgalloyl-16 and glucuronate methyl ester galloyl-17, indicates again the relevance of maintaining the three OH groups in the aromatic ring and also points out the glycosyl unit is not relevant for activating the radical scavenging activity of the phenolic group or for the location in the interphase of the emulsion since we only observe minor differences among them. Moreover, the fact that glucuronosyl hexadecyl gallate 16 shows surfactant properties does not improve its antioxidant activity when compared to 14 and 17 which are not surfactants.

In conclusion, we have found that maintenance of the three phenolic hydroxyl groups in gallic acid is fundamental for the antioxidant efficiency of alkyl gallate derivatives since glycosylation of just one OH group results in a large decrease in antioxidant capacity. Improvement of the surfactant properties of the alkyl gallate by addition of a carbohydrate in their polar head does not translate in better antioxidant efficiency. The type of emulsifier seems to be playing an important role and probably
specific interactions between emulsifier and antioxidants together to the additive or synergistic effect occurring may rule their antioxidant activity in oil-in-water emulsions. Strong head-to-head and tail-to-tail interactions between the emulsifier and the phenolipid may place the antioxidant closer to the interphase and therefore could display better protecting efficiency in the emulsion. If these interactions are weaker then the antioxidant will tend to be more randomly located in the emulsion affecting its antioxidant activity. Finally, small differences in antioxidant efficiency were observed when glucosyl, glucuronosyl and glucuronosyl methyl ester hexadecyl gallates were compared.
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**FIGURE LEGENDS**

**Figure 1.** Chemical structures of gallic acid 1, alkyl gallates 2-8, glucosyl alkyl gallates 9-15, and glucuronosyl alkyl gallate 16 and glucuronosyl methyl ester hexadecyl gallate 17.

**Figure 2.** A) Percentage of inhibition of gallic acid 1 and alkyl gallates 2-8 vs their alkyl chain length. Symbol ■ represents % inhibition at day 7 at 45 °C using lecithin as emulsifier. Symbol x represents % inhibition at day 8 at 30 °C using Tween-20 as emulsifier. B) Percentage of inhibition of gallic acid 1 and glucosyl alkyl gallates 9-15 vs their alkyl chain length. Symbol ■ represents % inhibition at day 7 at 30 °C using lecithin as emulsifier. Symbol x represents % inhibition at day 4 at 30 °C using Tween-20 as emulsifier.
Table 1. Inhibition by gallic acid 1 and alkyl gallates 2-8 on the formation of conjugated diene and triene hydroperoxides in fish oil-in-water emulsions during oxidation (Tween-20 was used as emulsifier at 30 °C, lecithin was used as emulsifier at 45°C). Antioxidants were tested at the same concentration: 0.1 mmol/kg (mean±sd).^1,2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenolic antioxidants</th>
<th>Tween-20</th>
<th>Lecithin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conj. Dienes (day 4)</td>
<td>Conj. Trienes (day 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1a</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallic acid 1</td>
<td>-26.9 ± 2.8a</td>
<td>-10.3 ± 0.4a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyl gallate 2</td>
<td>54.4 ± 0.4b</td>
<td>74.2 ± 3.5b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexyl gallate 3</td>
<td>88.5 ± 0.4d</td>
<td>91.7 ± 0.9c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octyl gallate 4</td>
<td>89.4 ± 1.1d</td>
<td>93.2 ± 2.8d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decyl gallate 5</td>
<td>85.8 ± 0.5c</td>
<td>87.6 ± 1.3c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dodecyl gallate 6</td>
<td>92.3 ± 1.4e</td>
<td>96.3 ± 0.9e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexadecyl gallate 7</td>
<td>91.0 ± 1.0e</td>
<td>95.4 ± 2.1e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octadecyl gallate 8</td>
<td>92.2 ± 1.5e</td>
<td>96.4 ± 0.6e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^1 % Inhibition = [(C - S)/C] X 100 where C = increment in the oxidation product formed in control and S = increment in the oxidation product formed in sample (Frankel, 1998).^2 Values in each column with the same superscript letter were not significantly different (p < 0.01).
Table 2. Inhibition by gallic acid 1 and glucosyl alkyl gallates 9-15 on the formation of conjugated diene and triene hydroperoxides in fish oil-in-water emulsions during oxidation at 30°C using lecithin (data on day 8) or Tween-20 (data on day 4) as emulsifiers. Antioxidants were tested at the same concentration: 0.1 mmol/kg (mean±sd)\textsuperscript{1,2}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenolic antioxidants</th>
<th>Tween-20</th>
<th>Lecithin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conj. Dienes</td>
<td>Conj. Trienes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallic acid 1</td>
<td>-26.9 ± 2.8\textsuperscript{d}</td>
<td>-10.3 ± 0.4\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glc-butyl gallate 9</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.2\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>6.0 ± 1.2\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glc-hexyl gallate 10</td>
<td>28.3 ± 0.5\textsuperscript{e}</td>
<td>33.6 ± 0.5\textsuperscript{d}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glc-octyl gallate 11</td>
<td>41.6 ± 0.8\textsuperscript{f}</td>
<td>43.1 ± 0.5\textsuperscript{e}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glc-decyl gallate 12</td>
<td>12.4 ± 1.1\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.6\textsuperscript{c}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glc-dodecyl gallate 13</td>
<td>32.6 ± 3.2\textsuperscript{e}</td>
<td>50.8 ± 5.5\textsuperscript{f}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glc-hexadecyl gallate 14</td>
<td>22.7 ± 0.4\textsuperscript{d}</td>
<td>66.0 ± 0.7\textsuperscript{g}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glc-octadecyl gallate 15</td>
<td>56.3 ± 5.3\textsuperscript{g}</td>
<td>57.6 ± 0.9\textsuperscript{f}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{1} % Inhibition = [(C - S)/C] X where C = increment in the oxidation product formed in control and S = increment in the oxidation product formed in sample (Frankel, 1998). \textsuperscript{2} Values in each column with the same superscript letter were not significantly different (p < 0.01).
Table 3. Inhibition by octyl gallate 4, hexadecyl gallate 7, glucosyl hexadecyl gallate 14, glucuronosyl alkyl gallates 16 and glucuronosyl methyl ester hexadecyl gallate 17 on the formation of conjugated diene and triene hydroperoxides in fish oil-in-water emulsions during oxidation at 50°C using lecithin as emulsifier (data on day 4) and during oxidation at 30°C using Tween-20 as emulsifier (data on day 4). Antioxidants were tested at the same concentration: 0.1 mmol/kg (mean±sd) 1,2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenolic antioxidants</th>
<th>Tween-20</th>
<th>Lecithin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conj. Dienes</td>
<td>Conj. Trienes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1a</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octyl gallate 4</td>
<td>89.4 ± 1.1b</td>
<td>93.2 ± 2.8b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexadecyl gallate 7</td>
<td>91.0 ± 1.0b</td>
<td>95.4 ± 2.1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glc-hexadecyl gallate 14</td>
<td>22.7 ± 0.4d</td>
<td>49.3 ± 0.7c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GlcA-hexadecyl gallate 16</td>
<td>37.5 ± 0.2b</td>
<td>50.4 ± 0.9d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeGlcA-hexadecyl gallate 17</td>
<td>25.2 ± 0.9c</td>
<td>38.4 ± 1.3d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 % Inhibition = [(C - S)/C] X 100 where C = increment in the oxidation product formed in control and S = increment in the oxidation product formed in sample (Frankel, 1998). Values in each column with the same superscript letter were not significantly different (p < 0.01).
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