Activated carbons from biocollagenic wastes of the leather industry for mercury capture in oxy-combustion
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Abstract

This study evaluates the capacity of a series of activated carbons obtained from leather industry waste to retain mercury. The behaviour of these materials was compared in two simulated flue gas compositions at laboratory scale. The atmospheres were i) a typical coal oxy-fuel combustion atmosphere and ii) an O$_2$+N$_2$ atmosphere. The activated carbons displayed different behaviours depending on their characteristics and the gas composition. The best results were obtained for the activated carbon with the highest surface area and greatest amount of micropores, sulphur and acidity character, these results being comparable to those of an activated carbon impregnated with sulfur specifically designed for capturing elemental mercury. The highest level of mercury retention was achieved in a O$_2$+N$_2$ atmosphere. However, independently of the ability of these materials to capture mercury, their most interesting characteristic was their ability to oxidize mercury in an oxy-combustion atmosphere, since this would facilitate the retention of mercury in flue gas desulfurization units with the consequence that the risk of damage to the CO$_2$ compression and purification units would be reduced or even removed.
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1. Introduction

Mercury and its compounds are highly toxic species which have a considerable impact on human health. Human activity has increased the mobilization of mercury species in the environment, raising the amounts in the atmosphere, soils, fresh waters, and oceans. Given the seriousness of these problems and the growing awareness about them, there is now global agreement on the need to reduce the emissions and release of mercury to the atmosphere. The Board of Directors of the United Nations Program for Environment (UNEP) in its Decision GC 25/5, created an Intergovernmental Negotiating Committee (INC) to develop a legally binding international agreement for mercury. Governments agreed to the text of a global legally binding instrument on mercury that was adopted in October 2013 [1]. The Treaty covers all aspects of the mercury life cycle, including anthropogenic mercury emissions to the air.

Anthropogenic sources of mercury emissions account for about 30% of the total amount of mercury entering the atmosphere each year [2]. The main industrial sources are coal combustion, metal production, the chlor-alcali industry, waste incineration, artisanal and small-scale gold mining and cement production [3]. In Europe 53.2% of the total amount of mercury emissions to the air recorded in 2010 came from coal combustion [4]. It must be remembered that mercury species are emitted to the air from industrial sources in three primary forms. One is gaseous elemental mercury (Hg\(^0\)), and all the other compounds in gas phase are grouped into what is called gaseous oxidized mercury (Hg\(^{2+}\)). The third group is mercury bound to particulates (Hg\(^p\)). In addition to the environmental problems caused by mercury species, mention should be made of the technological problems that Hg\(^0\) may cause in oxy-combustion processes. Oxy-combustion is the combustion of fossil fuels in a highly oxygen-concentrated environment rather than air, as a result of which nitrogen is eliminated from the
combustion process. The result is a flue gas composed primarily of water and CO₂. The high concentration of CO₂ and absence of nitrogen in the flue gas simplify the separation of the CO₂ for storage or beneficial use. However, CO₂ compression and purification units can be damaged by Hg⁰ due to the fact that this amalgamates with the aluminium of the heat exchangers [5-6].

Until now, the main solution for reducing mercury emissions has been to clean the gases produced from industrial sources by means of solid sorbents. In this way, mercury species are confined in a product that can be controlled. Various solid sorbents have been evaluated and used for the removal of inorganic mercury species in gas phase (Hg⁰ and Hg²⁺). They include non-carbon materials such as zeolites and calcium- and iron-based sorbents [7-9]. However, the most studied and commercialized sorbents for mercury capture have been activated carbons. Some activated carbons may be effective in capturing Hg²⁺ but in order to retain Hg⁰ chemical impregnated sorbents are generally necessary [10-12]. A number of works in the literature describe the preparation and utilization of activated carbons for mercury removal, and some reviews have summarized this information [13-16]. In the search for high-quality sorbents different variables are taken into account, among which economic and environmental limitations need to be considered. This is the reason why some researchers have focused on the use of industrial or agricultural wastes as sorbents for mercury or as precursors for obtaining mercury sorbents [17-20]. The advantage of using this kind of material is not only the reduction in cost but also the environmental benefit gained from the revalorization of an industrial waste. In the search for a precursor for the preparation of activated carbons, solid wastes generated from the vegetable tanning of bovine skin in the Leather Industry (shavings, trimmings and buffing dust), were considered in this study. The leather waste mixtures (BCT) have low ash, high carbon and nitrogen contents and insignificant
amounts of metal species, making this material a highly suitable bioprecursor of activated carbons. Moreover, the use of BCT to obtain activated carbons provides a way to recover vegetable-tanned leather waste instead of disposal or incineration. A previous study using activated carbons derived from biocollagenic wastes from a vegetable tanning process demonstrated its high capacity for toluene adsorption compared to several commercial activated carbons [21].

The present research study aims to revalorise these types of biomaterials to obtain high added value activated carbons which can be used as adsorbent materials for different toxic elements, including mercury. Activated carbons with different physical and chemical characteristics were prepared to establish the main parameters that affect mercury retention. The behavior of these activated carbons for capturing mercury species was evaluated both in air and oxy-combustion atmospheres.

2. Experimental part

2.1. Materials

A leather waste blend was obtained by mixing and homogenising three types of solid bovine skin wastes; shavings, trimmings and buffing dust, from vegetable tanning. The blend was prepared by mixing each waste in the proportion in which it was generated in the Leather Industry; 84%, 15% and 1%, for shavings, trimmings and buffing dust, respectively. The total sample of the solid leather wastes (100 kg) was sampled for a period of one month being ground to <1 mm. A representative sample of 2 kg, obtained from the total sample, referred to as Biomaterial: Collagen & Tannins (BCT) was used as bioprecursor of the activated carbons.
Two methods were used to obtain the three activated carbons for mercury retention. The first method consisted in a two-stage process: i) carbonization of the raw material (BCT) to obtain a carbonized material or char (BCTP) and ii) chemical activation with KOH at a weight ratio of 1:1. This procedure gave the activated carbon labelled BCTP1. The second method consisted in the chemical activation of BCT with KOH at a weight ratio of 0.33:1 without the pyrolysis step. This activated carbon was labelled BCT0.33.

The precursor (BCT or BCTP) and the activating agent (KOH) were mixed in a solid state (physical mixture). After chemical activation the samples were washed to remove the activation products blocking the porosity. The samples were treated with a 5 mol dm$^{-3}$ hydrochloric acid solution, rinsed with ultrapure water and dried at 105°C. A third activated carbon labelled BCTP1* was obtained from BCTP1 and washed at 100°C with hot water instead hydrochloric acid.

The mercury retention capacities were compared with that of a commercial activated carbon impregnated with sulphur (Norit RBHG3) specifically prepared for the capture of Hg$^{0}$.

2.2. Experimental device for pyrolysis and activation experiments

The experimental arrangement employed for the carbonization and activation of the precursor material has already been described in a previous work [22]. The pyrolysis and activation processes were carried out in an alumina crucible placed inside the tubular furnace under a 150 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$ flow of N$_2$ up to 750 °C, at a heating rate of 5 °C min$^{-1}$ for 1 h. The optimal conditions for the pyrolysis step were previously established [22]. About 10 g of BCT was used for the pyrolysis experiment and 5-10 g of BCT or BCTP and activating agent were used in the activation experiment.
2.3 Characterization of the raw materials and products

The moisture and ash contents of the sample were determined following the UNE 32002 and 32004 norms respectively, and the carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen and sulphur contents by means of automatic equipment. The concentration of chlorine was determined by means of an ion selective electrode.

The pH of the aqueous solutions obtained in every washing of the activated carbons were measured with a TIM870 Radiometer. The final conditions in the washing step were selected by controlling the pH until a constant value was obtained. The final pH value in the aqueous solutions is reflecting the chemical nature (acidity or basicity) in the activated carbons obtained.

The morphology of the samples was examined using a Scanning Electron Microscope equipped with an Energy-Dispersive X-Ray analysing system (SEM/EDX).

Textural characterization was performed by measuring the N₂ adsorption isotherms at -196°C. The isotherms were used to calculate the specific surface area $S_{BET}$ and total pore volume, $V_{TOT}$, at a relative pressure of 0.95. The pore size distributions, microporosity and mesoporosity, were obtained by applying the density functional theory (DFT) model to the N₂ adsorption data, assuming slit-shaped pore geometry. Additionally, the narrow microporosity (pore width smaller than 0.7 nm) was estimated from CO₂ adsorption isotherms at 0°C using 1.023 g cm⁻³ as the density of adsorbed CO₂ and 0.36 as the $b$ parameter. The CO₂ isotherms were used to calculate the micropore volume ($W_0$), micropore size ($L_0$), energy characteristic ($E_0$) applying the Dubinin-Raduskevich equation. In addition, the water vapour adsorption isotherms of the samples were determined at 25°C for water activity (aw) from 0 to 1. The equilibrium moisture content was expressed as grams per g of dry solid. The true
density of the materials was obtained by helium pycnometry. Before these experiments were performed the samples were outgassed under vacuum at 120°C overnight.

2.4 Experimental device for mercury retention experiments

The laboratory device used for retention of mercury is shown in Figure 1. The experimental device consists of a glass reactor heated by a furnace and fitted with a thermocouple. The sorbent bed was prepared by mixing 30 mg of activated carbon with 500 mg of sand. The elemental mercury in gas phase was obtained from a permeation tube and it was passed through the sorbent bed at 0.5 L min\(^{-1}\). The concentration of mercury passed through the reactor was 100 \(\mu\)g/m\(^3\). A synthetic gas mixture consisting of 4% O\(_2\), 1000ppm SO\(_2\), 1000ppm NO, 100 ppm NO\(_2\), 25 ppm HCl, 12% H\(_2\)O, 64% CO\(_2\) and 20% N\(_2\) was employed as the simulated oxy-combustion atmosphere. The results were compared with those obtained in an O\(_2\)+N\(_2\) atmosphere (12.6% O\(_2\)). The temperature of the sorbent and the gases was 150ºC. A continuous Hg emission monitor (VM 3000) was used to obtain the Hg adsorption curves. The mercury content after the retention experiments was determined by means of an automatic mercury analyzer (AMA). The duration of the mercury experiments was the time needed for the samples to reach maximum retention capacity.

The oxidation of mercury was evaluated by capturing the Hg\(^{2+}\) in an ion exchanger resin (Dowex\(^{\circledR}\) 1x8), specially designed for the selective extraction of Hg\(^{2+}\) species [23]. The resin was placed at the exit of the reactor prior to the Hg\(^0\) continuous analyzer (Figure 1). The Hg\(^{2+}\) in the resin at the end of the retention experiments was determined by AMA. The resin had previously been conditioned with a mixture of HCl:H\(_2\)O (1:1) at 90ºC for 30 minutes and then filtered and dried.
The content of mercury condensate in the water and collected during the experiments prior to the analyzer was determined by AMA. The sulphate, nitrite and nitrate anion condensate in the water vapour was determined by ionic chromatography.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Chemical characterization

Figure 2 shows the results of the chemical analysis corresponding to the mixture of the leather solid wastes (BCT), the carbonized material (BCTP), activated carbons (BCT0.33, BCTP1, BCTP1*) and the commercial activated carbon impregnated with sulphur (RBHG3). BCT has a low ash content (2.6%), and a high carbon and nitrogen contents (48.2 % and 7.5%, respectively) which makes this material a highly suitable bioprecursor for obtaining activated carbons. The pyrolysis step caused the thermal decomposition of the leather solid wastes with the subsequent elimination of volatile matter, producing a char, BCTP, with a higher carbon and ash content than BCT. These two materials, BCT y BCTP, were used as precursors of the activated carbons BCT0.33, BCTP1 and BCTP1* which have a carbon content of 81-91 % (Figure 2). The ash content is < 1.7 % for the materials that were washed with HCl (BCT0.33, BCTP1) whereas in the case of BCTP1* which was washed with hot water it is 10.5%. As is well-known, elements such as sulphur and chlorine may react with mercury and so these elements were also analysed in this study. BCT0.33 has the highest sulphur content (2%) of those adsorbent materials prepared from biocollagenic wastes obtained from the leather industry, although it is lower than that of RBHG3 (6%) (Figure 2). The chlorine content of both the BCT0.33 and BCTP1 activated carbons, which were washed with HCl, is approximately 0.03%. The pH values of BCT0.33 and BCTP1 are 3.6 and 4.1, respectively, whereas in the case of BCTP1* a basic pH of 10.4 was obtained.
3.2 Textural characterization and SEM-EDX

The N$_2$ adsorption isotherms at -196 ºC of the activated carbons used as mercury sorbents are shown in Figure 3. The adsorption of N$_2$ took place fundamentally at low relative pressures which is typical of microporous solids [24]. Moreover, the isotherms show a hysteresis loop, which is associated to capillary condensation inside the mesopores. Therefore the isotherms present a type I-IV hybrid shape, according to the BDDT classification [25]. The shape of the hysteresis loop is Type H4, according to the IUPAC nomenclature [24], which is often associated to narrow slit-like pores. The activated carbon obtained without a previous pyrolysis step (BCT0.33) presents the highest adsorption of nitrogen. Therefore, the pyrolysis step previous to the chemical activation does not seem to have contributed to the textural development of the activated carbon. Similar nitrogen adsorptions were obtained for the two carbonized activated carbons, adsorption being only slightly higher in the activated carbon washed with acid and water (BCTP1). These minor differences could be due to the high concentration of inorganic matter present in the activated carbon washed only with water (BCTP1*). The lowest nitrogen adsorption is corresponded to the commercial activated carbon RBHG3.

The results obtained with respect to the equivalent specific surface area S$_{BET}$, total pore volume V$_{TOT}$ at a relative pressure of 0.95 and the pore size distributions (micropore and mesopores) by applying the density functional theory (DFT) model to the N$_2$ adsorption data for the activated carbons are presented in Table 1. The helium density of the materials is also shown in the Table 1 and as can be seen it is very similar for all the materials (~ 2.1 g cm$^{-3}$). BCT0.33 exhibited better results for S$_{BET}$ and V$_{TOT}$, (1602 m$^2$g$^{-1}$ and 0.695 cm$^3$g$^{-1}$, respectively) than the activated carbons subjected to
pyrolysis step prior to chemical activation. The lowest $S_{BET}$ and $V_{TOT}$ values (1078 m$^2$g$^{-1}$ and 0.467 cm$^3$g$^{-1}$, respectively) correspond to BCTP1*. The commercial activated carbon presents a BET specific surface area of ~800 m$^2$/g.

An examination of the pore size distribution by means of the DFT method (Table 1 and Figure 3), revealed that the adsorbent materials obtained are essentially microporous (total micropore volume of up to 0.512 cm$^3$g$^{-1}$), with a low contribution of mesoporosity (total mesopore volume of up to 0.040 cm$^3$g$^{-1}$). Chemical activation of the original sample (BCT) resulted in BCT0.33 having a higher total micropore volume than the adsorbent material obtained by activation of BCTP due to the development of medium-sized microporosity. The pyrolysis step prior to chemical activation seems to have had a negative effect on the development of medium-sized microporosity (0.7-2 nm). However, the contribution of narrow microporosity (<0.7 nm) remained unchanged (Table 1).

The CO$_2$ adsorption isotherms at 0°C of the activated carbons are shown in Figure 4. In the case of porous carbons the adsorption of carbon dioxide at 0°C can be used to measure pore sizes, particularly in the case of ultramicroporosity (pores smaller than 0.7 nm). As can be seen from Figure 4, CO$_2$ uptake is similar for the activated carbons obtained from the leather wastes and in all cases higher than the CO$_2$ adsorption of RBHG3 over the entire relative pressure range. The micropore volume, $W_0$, energy characteristic ($E_0$) were calculated from the CO$_2$ isotherms by applying the Dubinin-Raduskevich equation (Table 1). The activated material obtained from the leather residue, without the previous pyrolysis step, has a micropore volume (0.528 cm$^3$g$^{-1}$) which is higher than the values obtained from the carbonized leather residue (0.365 and 0.388 cm$^3$g$^{-1}$) (Table 1). As in the case of the N$_2$ isotherm, therefore the pyrolysis step prior to the chemical activation promotes the development of micropore volume to a
lesser extent. As for the average micropore size of the activated carbons (0.64-0.86) it is always slightly lower for the adsorbent materials obtained from the leather wastes by chemical activation with a previous pyrolysis step (Table 1).

Figure 5 shows the water vapour adsorption-desorption isotherms corresponding to the activated carbons. The water vapour adsorption isotherms are of type V also referred to as S-shaped [25] which is a consequence of the low interaction of the water molecules with the graphitic surface [26]. This type of isotherm is generally observed in microporous materials and often reported for activated carbons. The activated carbons obtained from the leather wastes present a higher adsorption than that of the commercial activated carbon. The isotherms show similar low adsorptions in the low relative pressure range but these increase dramatically from about \( p/p_0^0 > 0.1 \) in BCTP1 and BCTP1* and from \( p/p_0^0 > 0.2 \) in BCT0.33. The higher adsorption at the low relative pressure of BCTP1 and BCTP1* suggests a greater presence of surface functional groups, indicating that the pyrolysis step prior to the chemical activation increases the number of hydrophilic groups capable of forming hydrogen bonds with water molecules. The process of water adsorption is due to both to physical adsorption and chemical interaction with surface groups [26, 27]. Water molecules establish hydrogen bridges with the oxygen on the surface, in what are known as primary adsorption sites [26, 28], and subsequently water molecules will then bond with the previously adsorbed water molecules [26]. When this molecular cluster becomes sufficiently large, dispersion forces become predominant and it leaves the primary site to become adsorbed into hydrophobic micropores [29]. The isotherm shows a steep part \( (p/p= 0.3–0.7) \) associated with the steady growth of the water clusters. The region at a relative pressure higher than 0.7 is characterized by the high amount of water vapour adsorbed. The maximum adsorption is 0.557 g/g and 0.429 g/g in the case of BCT0.33 and
BCTP1 samples, respectively. Therefore, the material obtained by chemical activation without a previous pyrolysis step is more effective with respect to the total amount of water vapour adsorbed at the maximum p/p₀. The adsorption-desorption isotherms corresponding to the water vapour obtained show hysteresis loops, which are commonly attributed to pore filling and emptying mechanisms due to the condensation of water vapour in the pores of the activated carbon [30].

The SEM-EDX results (Figure 6) show the formation of macroporosity after chemical activation. It can be seen that the filamentous structure of the precursor has been destroyed after different chemical activations in all the samples. It can also be observed that the inorganic matter content of BCTP1* (Figure 6 c), visible in the form of small white brilliant fragments, is higher.

3.3 Mercury retention capacity

The mercury retention capacities and oxidation percentages of the activated carbons, in the O₂+N₂ and oxy-combustion atmospheres, are presented in Table 2. The confidence limit of the results is given as the standard deviation. Figures 7-8 show the mercury adsorption curves for the three activated carbons in the two atmospheres evaluated. As can be seen different mercury retention capacities were obtained depending not only on the characteristics of the activated carbons but also on the composition of the gases. The highest mercury retention was reached in the O₂+N₂ atmosphere with the activated carbon obtained from the leather residue following the procedure without a pyrolysis step (BCT0.33). The mercury retention capacity of BCT0.33 was of the order of that reached by the commercial activated carbon impregnated with sulphur (RBHG3). It must be borne in mind that the activated carbon BCT0.33 not only has the highest sulphur content but also the best textural
development. On the one hand, the presence of sulfur groups in the activated carbon BCT0.33 may facilitate the binding of Hg to the surface [12, 31] and on the other hand BCT0.33 has the highest $S_{\text{BET}}$, total pore volume and total micropore volume (Table 1) which may also favour mercury retention. It is well known that the Hg$^0$ adsorption mechanism occurs inside the micropores where the potential for interaction between the solid and the Hg$^0$ is significantly higher than in the wider pores [31]. The carbonized activated carbons (BCTP1, BCTP1*) show very different mercury retention capacities in the O$_2$+N$_2$ atmosphere (Figure 7), even though both carbons have similar textural characteristics. Two key differences between BCTP1 and BCTP1*, which would favour the mercury retention capacity in BCTP1 are: i) the presence of chlorine and ii) its acid character [32]. Therefore, for mercury retention in activated carbons, both a well-developed texture and the surface chemistry are important.

Especially remarkable are the huge differences in mercury behaviour between the O$_2$+N$_2$ atmosphere and the oxy-combustion atmosphere where O$_2$, CO$_2$ and H$_2$O vapour are present in major concentrations, and N$_2$, SO$_2$, HCl and NO$_x$ in minor and trace concentrations. Apart from the characteristics of each activated carbon, a number of reactions between the gases (homogeneous oxidation) and interactions between the activated carbons and the gases (heterogeneous oxidation) must be taken into account to explain the different mercury retention capacities and speciations of each type of activated carbon.

The amount of mercury retained by the activated carbons (Table 2) is significantly lower in the oxy-combustion atmosphere (4% O$_2$, 1000ppm SO$_2$, 1000ppm NO, 100 ppm NO$_2$, 25 ppm HCl, 12% H$_2$O, 64% CO$_2$ and 20% N$_2$) than in the O$_2$+N$_2$ atmosphere. This conflicts with the hypothesis that the presence of SO$_2$/SO$_3$ in the gases has a beneficial effect. According to previous results by the authors and other
researchers [33-34] a higher proportion of oxidation of SO₂ to SO₃ occurs during oxy-
combustion than in air combustion. After several investigations into the role of SO₂/SO₃
in mercury retention by activated carbons [35-39] it was concluded [12] that SO₃ in flue
gases can cause the formation of H₂SO₄ on the activated carbon surface, which would
enhance Hg⁰ adsorption. However, in the present study SO₃ and/or H₂SO₄ might not be
favoring Hg capture in the oxy-combustion atmosphere due to the slow kinetics of the
reaction [12] where the activated carbon-gas contact time is lower than 1 second, similar
to the contact time when activated carbon is injected into a coal-fired power plant. The
reduction in mercury retention in oxy-combustion could be due to the adsorption of the
CO₂ on the activated carbon. It must be remembered that in an oxy-combustion
atmosphere CO₂ is present in concentrations of around 70-80%. CO₂ might be
occupying part of the activated carbon microporous structure [31], in which case Hg and
CO₂ would be competing for the same adsorption sites. In support of this hypothesis,
the most marked decline in mercury retention was observed in the activated carbon with
the highest micropore volume (BCT0.33) (Table 1).

The percentages of Hg²⁺ formed in both atmospheres are also very different.
According to previous results by the authors, homogeneous oxidation of mercury occurs
before the gas reaches the sorbent in an oxy-combustion atmosphere. Therefore,
contrary to what occurs in an O₂+N₂ atmosphere where all the mercury that passes
through the sorbent is in the form of Hg⁰ \((\text{Hg}^{2+}(\text{g})_\text{in}=0)\), in oxy-combustion,
approximately 30% of the Hg⁰ is oxidized to Hg²⁺ \((\text{Hg}^{2+}(\text{g})_\text{in}=30\%)\) (Table 2). The main
gases involved in this mercury oxidation would be SO₂, O₂ and NO [40-42] through the
following reactions:

\[
2\text{Hg}^0(\text{g}) + 2\text{SO}_2(\text{g}) + 2\text{O}_2(\text{g}) \rightarrow 2\text{HgO}(\text{g}) + 2\text{SO}_3(\text{g}) \quad \text{fast} \quad (I)
\]
Apart from homogeneous oxidation, reactions in aqueous phase produced by the condensation of a high amount of water vapor, which is typical of an oxy-combustion flue gas, may also occur. This would give rise to the formation of acid gases (reactions III, IV and V). Indeed, ion chromatographic analysis of the condensate water collected in a flask in the laboratory-scale device after the sorbent bed and prior to the elemental mercury analyzer (Figure 1), corroborated the presence of sulphates, nitrates and nitrates. The following reactions would then favor mercury oxidation through the formation of NO.

\[
\begin{align*}
2 \text{Hg}_0 (g) + 2 \text{NO} (g) & \rightarrow 2 \text{HgO} (g) + \text{N}_2 (g) \quad \text{very fast} \quad \text{(II)} \\
\text{SO}_3 (aq,g) + \text{H}_2\text{O} (l,g) & \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 (aq) \quad \text{fast} \quad \text{(III)} \\
2\text{NO}_2 (g) + \text{H}_2\text{O} (l,g) & \rightarrow \text{HNO}_2 (aq) + \text{HNO}_3 (aq) \quad \text{slow} \quad \text{(IV)} \\
3\text{HNO}_2 (aq) & \rightarrow \text{HNO}_3 (aq) + 2\text{NO} (g) + \text{H}_2\text{O} (l,g) \quad \text{fast} \quad \text{(V)}
\end{align*}
\]

It is remarkable that the percentage of \(\text{Hg}^{2+} (g)\) at the outlet of the sorbent bed (\(\text{Hg}^{2+} (g)_{\text{out}}\)), resulting from homogeneous and heterogeneous oxidation, increased to approximately 50-60% in BCT0.33 and in the commercial activated carbon (Table 2). The results suggests that the presence of reactive gases reduces the mercury retention capacity of the activated carbons but oxidizes \(\text{Hg}^0\) to \(\text{Hg}^{2+}\), especially in the case of those activated carbons with the highest mercury retention capacities, i.e. those with an acidic character, a higher surface area and greater micropores and sulphur content. The better textural development of BCT0.33 also implies a higher water adsorption at high pressures (Figure 5) which could favor the oxidation of mercury in an oxy-combustion atmosphere where high amounts of water vapor are present.
In addition to the formation of Hg\textsuperscript{2+} in gas phase, 20±5\% of oxidized mercury was found in aqueous phase, i.e. Hg\textsuperscript{2+} analyzed in the condensate water collected prior to the mercury analyzer (Figure 1). The fact that a high proportion of Hg\textsuperscript{0} oxidizes to Hg\textsuperscript{2+} in the presence of some of the activated carbons is very important considering that a cost-effective way to reduce mercury emissions during coal combustion processes would be to increase the oxidation of mercury to facilitate the capture of mercury in the flue gas desulphurization (FGD) units where Hg\textsuperscript{2+} can be retained. Moreover, as already mentioned, in the oxy-combustion process an increase in Hg\textsuperscript{2+} would be desirable as there would be less risk of damage to the CO\textsubscript{2} compression units.

4. Conclusions

Activated carbons obtained from leather industry waste are able to capture mercury in an O\textsubscript{2}+N\textsubscript{2} atmosphere. High mercury retention capacities were achieved using an adsorbent material that was activated directly from the raw waste with KOH and washed with HCl and H\textsubscript{2}O. In this case, the mercury retention capacity was of the order of that of a commercial activated carbon impregnated with sulphur. The pyrolysis step before activation resulted in the loss of surface area, micropores and sulphur, disfavouring mercury retention, whereas the acidic character of the activated carbons benefited the capture of mercury. The mercury retention capacity of the activated carbons decreased in an atmosphere of oxy-combustion but part of the Hg\textsuperscript{0} was oxidized to Hg\textsuperscript{2+}. This behaviour could be exploited to retain mercury in FGD units already installed in power plants and to prevent the risk of damage to the CO\textsubscript{2} purification and compression units.
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Table 1. Textural properties of the activated carbons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>ρHe g cm⁻³</th>
<th>S_{BET} m² g⁻¹</th>
<th>V_{TOT} cm³ g⁻¹</th>
<th>V_{um}⁰ cm³ g⁻¹</th>
<th>V_{mm}⁰ cm³ g⁻¹</th>
<th>V_{tot} microp⁰ cm³ g⁻¹</th>
<th>V mesop⁰ cm³ g⁻¹</th>
<th>W₀ cm³ g⁻¹</th>
<th>E₀ KJ/mol</th>
<th>L nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCT0.33</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>1602</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>0.512</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.528</td>
<td>23.92</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCTP1</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1163</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>27.16</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCTP1*</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>28.18</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Evaluated from DFT applied to N₂ adsorption isotherm at –196 ºC.
Table 2. Capacities of mercury retention and percentages of homogeneous and heterogeneous oxidation for the activated carbons in an O₂+N₂ and an oxy-combustion atmosphere.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>O₂+N₂</th>
<th>Oxy-combustion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hg ret. (μg g⁻¹)</td>
<td>Hg²⁺(g)_{in} (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCT0.33</td>
<td>2007±200</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCTP1</td>
<td>822±100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCTP1*</td>
<td>127±5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBHG3</td>
<td>1649±200</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hg ret.: mercury retention capacity; Hg²⁺(g)_{in}: oxidized mercury by homogeneous oxidation; Hg²⁺(g)_{out}: oxidized mercury by homogeneous and heterogeneous oxidation.
Figure captions

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the experimental device used for mercury retention

Figure 2. Chemical composition of the materials

Figure 3. N₂ adsorption isotherms at -196 °C and pore size distribution applying the DFT method to the activated carbons.

Figure 4. CO₂ adsorption isotherms at 0°C of the activated carbons

Figure 5. Water vapour adsorption isotherms at 25°C of the activated carbons

Figure 6. SEM micrographs of the activated carbons (x5000): a) BCT0.33; b) BCTP1; c) BCTP1*.

Figure 7. Mercury adsorption curves obtained for the activated carbons in a O₂+N₂ atmosphere

Figure 8. Mercury adsorption curves obtained for the activated carbons in an oxy-combustion atmosphere
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