STEM-EELS analysis reveals stable high-density He in nanopores of amorphous silicon coatings deposited by magnetron sputtering
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Abstract

A broad interest has been showed recently on the study of nanostructuring of thin films and surfaces obtained by low-energy He plasma treatments and He incorporation via magnetron sputtering. In this paper spatially resolved electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS) in a scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM) is used to locate and characterize the He state in nanoporous amorphous silicon coatings deposited by magnetron sputtering. A dedicated MATLAB program was developed to quantify the helium density inside individual pores based on the energy position shift or peak intensity of the He K-edge. A good agreement was observed between the high density (\textasciitilde 35-60 at/nm\textsuperscript{3}) and pressure (0.3-1.0 GPa) values obtained in nanoscale analysis and the values derived from macroscopic measurements (the composition obtained by proton backscattering spectroscopy coupled to the macroscopic porosity estimated from ellipsometry). This work provides new insights into these novel porous coatings, providing evidence of high-density He located inside the pores and validating the methodology applied here to characterize the formation of pores filled with the helium process gas during deposition. A similar stabilization of condensed He bubbles has been previously demonstrated by high-energy He ion implantation in metals and is newly demonstrated here using a widely employed methodology, magnetron sputtering, for achieving coatings with a high density of homogeneously distributed pores and He storage capacities as high as 21 at%.
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1. Introduction

The introduction of porosity in nanomaterials has been a topic of increasing interest over the last decade, and research efforts have been focused on the synthesis and characterization of newly designed porous and nanostructured materials. A fundamental understanding of the nanostructure permits the control and design of porous materials with tailored functionalities. Among many others, porous silicon currently remains one of the most actively researched materials for diverse applications such as sensors, photonic devices, microelectronics and solar energy conversion [1-6]. Being fully compatible with the established microelectronics technology, the most attracting feature of porous silicon is the wide range of refractive indexes that can be achieved by varying the percentage of porosity. In a recent work, we proposed a new bottom-up approach to prepare porous silicon coatings by magnetron sputtering as an alternative route to the traditional electrochemical-based processes [7]. In that work, we demonstrated the possibility of producing porous silicon coatings with tailored refractive indices (from 3.75 to 4.75 at 500 nm) using magnetron sputtering with He or Ar as the process gas [7,8]. In addition to the advantages of the well-known industrial scale-up of the magnetron sputtering process, our procedure provides many possibilities for the design and production of various devices alternating for example dense and porous layers, intrinsic or doped silicon, etc. [7]. The low-refractive-index coatings produced exhibit a microstructure of closed pores that can be oriented to the coating’s growing direction. In this previous work [7], the incorporation of a high He amount (up to 30 at%) inside the coatings was detected by proton backscattered spectroscopy, and it was proposed that He was most likely trapped inside the closed pores during deposition [7,8]. In fact, in another previous paper, we used spatially resolved electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS) in a scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM) to demonstrate that amorphous porous SiN\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{y} coatings can be prepared by magnetron sputtering, revealing closed pores containing molecular N\textsubscript{2} inside [9].

At this point of the research, the challenge in the present work is to investigate the location of the He in the porous Si coatings as well as to quantify the helium with nano-scale resolution using the STEM/EELS methodology available in the TEM facilities of our laboratory. The incorporation of He in metals has been well investigated due to its importance in nuclear technology. Ion implantation has been used to re-create the conditions of the reactors mainly to investigate damage caused in materials. In these works, the extremely low solubility of He in metals has been reported to be the cause of the formation of He bubbles during ion implantation [10-12]. In most of these works, helium implanted in crystalline materials leads to the formation at a certain depth of a layer of largely dispersed bubbles with diameters ranging from 2 to 25 nm.
EELS was used for the evaluation of helium density inside these bubbles. The first approach was to use the blue shift of the He 1s → 2p transition as a function of He density [10,13]. Later, spatially resolved EELS was reported to be able to record spectra of single bubbles by several authors [12,14-16]. The advantage here is that the helium density can be retrieved at the nanoscale using two different methods. One method uses the integrated intensity under the He K-edge, and the other uses the already mentioned energy shift of the 1s → 2p transition. A description of both methods is given in reference 12 (and the references therein). The first aim of the work presented here was to apply a similar STEM/EELS methodology to confirm that the He incorporated in the amorphous silicon coatings prepared by magnetron sputtering remains inside the closed pores and, if so, to characterize the physical parameters (density, pressure) of He. Both goals were accomplished, as described in this paper, and an ad hoc MATLAB routine was also developed. Additionally, we compare the values for the He density obtained inside the microscope to the values estimated from macroscopic measurements. Note that our results presented in this paper reveal similar stabilization of condensed He in the nanopores (He densities of ~30-50 at/nm³) as that previously demonstrated in bubbles formed by high-energy He ion implantation in metals [12,14-16]. A high amount of He can therefore be stored and stabilized at room temperature inside the closed pores. To store a similar density in a macroscopic tank at room temperature, pressures of approximately 1 kbar would be required [17].

To re-create the conditions of the cold plasma in contact with the nuclear reactor walls, some researchers have artificially introduced He in different metals using also magnetron sputtering in He/Ar mixtures [18-20]. More recently the exposure to He plasmas and He incorporation via magnetron sputtering have also been presented as a route for nanostructuring metals [21-23]. In all these articles, although the presence of He and nanopores was reported by TEM images, no quantification of the He condensed state was provided. At this point, it is important to emphasize the relevance of the present work that newly demonstrates that coatings can be prepared by magnetron sputtering containing a high density of homogeneously distributed pores filled with helium in a condensed state.

2. Experimental

2.1 Deposition details

In our previous work [7] we showed the dependence of the pore size and distribution on several deposition parameters of the magnetron sputtering deposition. Based on this information, a deposition power of 300 W in radiofrequency (RF) was selected in this paper to obtain rather
large and separated pores to facilitate the individual pore analysis by STEM/EELS (see the micrograph shown in figure 1). The magnetron head was placed at a 30° angle to the normal of the substrate at a distance of 5 cm (see the inset in figure 1 left). For comparison purposes a dense coating was also deposited using 150 W in RF-mode and a substrate bias of 100 V. Both, the dense and porous amorphous silicon coatings, were deposited from a pure Si target (Kurt J Lesker 99.999% pure). Before deposition the base pressure was $10^{-4}$ Pa. The porous coating was deposited at $p$ (He) = 4.8 Pa, while the dense coating was deposited at $p$ (Ar) = 1.3 Pa. Silicon (100) and quartz were used as substrates. Table 1 summarizes the deposition conditions and labels for the studied samples.

2.2 Characterization of the coatings

The thickness of the coatings was examined using scanning electron microscopy (HITACHI S-4800 SEM-FEG) working at 2 kV on fractured samples without any conductive coating.

The microstructure of the coatings was investigated by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) using a FEI Tecnai F30 microscope operated at 300 kV equipped with a Gatan GIF Quantum 963 energy filter at the Laboratory of Nanoscopies and Spectroscopies (LANE-ICMSE, Sevilla, Spain). Cross-sectional TEM specimens of the coatings deposited on Si substrates were prepared using the conventional approach by mechanical polishing and dimple grinding followed by Ar⁺ ion milling until electron transparency was attained. The quantitative STEM/EELS analysis of individual nano-pores of highly porous coatings requires the preparation of thin lamella of less than 100 nm thickness, which should include closed filled pores. However, overlap of pores should be avoided, and very small pores will make the STEM/EELS analysis of individual pores more difficult. In this sense the porous sample deposited at 300 W is very adequate as shown in Fig. 1.

The compositions of the thin films were evaluated using proton backscattering spectrometry (p-BS) at the National Center for Accelerators (CNA, Sevilla, Spain) using a 3-MV tandem accelerator. The spectra were obtained using two different energies, 1.0 and 2.3 MeV, for the proton beam and a surface barrier detector set at 165°. To obtain the thickness and composition of the films, both spectra were simultaneously simulated for every sample using the SIMNRA code [24] (see more details in ref. 7)

The optical characterization was performed on coatings on quartz substrates in a UVISEL spectroscopic ellipsometer from HORIBA Jobin Yvon with an incidence angle of 60° and a wavelength range of 563-1550 nm. The data were analyzed using the Deltapsi2 software developed by HORIBA Jobin Yvon.
2.3 STEM-EELS spectrum imaging data analysis

Annular dark field scanning transmission electron micrographs (ADF-STEM) and spatially resolved electron energy loss-spectra (EELS) were recorded simultaneously in the Tecnai F30 on single pores of various diameters. The spectrum images were acquired in the low-loss region with a pixel size of 1 nm using subpixel scanning (16*16). At each position, an EELS spectrum of 2048 pixels of length with energy dispersion of 0.05 eV/channel was recorded using an integration time between 0.05 and 0.07 s.

The He K-edge peak corresponding to the 1s to 2p transition was placed at approximately 22 eV on the high energy tail of the Si plasmon at approximately 17 eV [25]. To process the EELS spectrum image dataset and extract the He signal, a MATLAB program was developed based on the method described in reference 12. A version of this program is provided in the supporting information section. First, the raw data were aligned with the zero loss peak (ZLP) and subjected to multivariate statistical analysis (MSA) [26] to remove the statistical noise. The plural scattering distribution was then removed from the spectra using Fourier-log multiple scattering deconvolution (Program FLOG [27]). The specimen thickness map was calculated using the log-ratio method [27] using the mean free path calculated using the IMFP routine [27]. Using our experimental conditions, a mean free path of \( \lambda = 145 \) nm was obtained using the Malis equation [28]. The contribution of the Si plasmon was fitted with the function given in eq. 1 from reference 29:

\[
I = \frac{aE}{(E^2 - E^2_p)^2 + (E \Delta E_p)^2} \quad (\text{equation 1})
\]

Here, \( E_p \) represents the plasmon energy, \( \Delta E_p \) corresponds to the peak width, and \( a \) represents the amplitude. To improve the He signal extraction, a second peak was required at energies higher than 23 eV. This contribution may be due to surface oxide SiO\(_2\) or carbon contamination (both at approximately 24 eV). These two plasmon contributions were fitted simultaneously to the data in two ranges (from 16 to 20.5 eV and from 23.5 to 40 eV) on both sides of the He-K edge. During the fit, the \( E_p \) value of the second plasmon was fixed between 23 and 25 eV. The sum of these two contributions (Si and SiO\(_2\) plasmon) is referred to as the background signal for the He-K edge. After subtraction of the background signal, the residual signal was fitted in the He K-edge range from 20.5 to 23.5 eV. For the He 1s \( \rightarrow \) 2p transition, a simple Gaussian function was used for fitting as this function was reported to yield good results [12]. An example of the fitting procedure will be shown below in the “Results and Discussion” section (Fig. 3c).

To quantify the atomic density of He at each position of the spectrum image, two methods
were used. In Method 1 [12], the He density \( n_{He} \) is given by
\[
n_{He} = \frac{l_{He}}{l_{ZLP} \sigma_{He}} \quad \text{(equation 2)}
\]
where \( l_{ZLP} \) and \( l_{He} \) are the integrated intensities of the zero loss peak (ZLP) and the He K-edge, respectively. \( \sigma_{He} \) is the cross-section of the He 1s \( \rightarrow \) 2p transition, which was calculated using the program Sigmak3 [27]. For our experimental conditions (acceleration voltage of 300 kV, convergence semi-angle \( \alpha = 12.4 \) mrad, collection semi-angle \( \beta = 9.6 \) mrad, and integration range of 3 eV), we obtained \( \sigma_{He} = 6.4 \times 10^{-24} \text{ m}^2 \). \( d \) is the pore thickness at the pixel position. This latter value was evaluated as the complement of the specimen thickness at each particular pixel compared with the value at a reference pixel outside the pores. This method was suggested in references 14 and 15 and was cross-checked using the STEM-ADF image contrast assuming a uniform ellipsoidal volume of the pores perpendicular to the growing direction. The results of \( l_{He} \) and \( l_{ZLP} \) were stored in 2D maps, and the map of He density was calculated using these parameters.

To confirm these measurements, another method (Method 2) was used for comparison. This method relies on the linear relationship between the blue energy shift (\( \Delta E_{He} \)) of the He K-edge compared with its position for the free atom (21.218 eV, ref. 30) and the helium density (\( n_{He} \)):
\[
\Delta E = C \times n_{He} + \delta \quad \text{(equation 3)}
\]
where \( C \) and \( \delta \) are constants. The energy shift is attributed to the short-range Pauli repulsion between electrons of the neighboring atoms [13]. In this work, we assume that the He density inside the pores will follow a similar linear law. In the literature, some discrepancies in \( C \) and \( \delta \) values can be observed [10,12,14,15], and we used two extreme values of \( C \). Method 2(a) uses \( \Delta E = 0.015n_{He} + 0.45 \) [15], and Method 2(b) uses \( \Delta E = 0.044n_{He} + 0.07 \) eV [14]. Finally, the He pressure \( p_{He} \) inside the pores can be estimated from the \( n_{He} \) values provided by the two methods using a suitable equation of state (EOS) [11,12] depending on the He density range.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Porous silicon coating with reduced refractive index

Figure 1 shows the microstructure of the investigated porous coating (a-pSi(300)), which consists of a highly porous structure of closed pores oriented in the magnetron direction (Fig. 1a). The high-resolution TEM image (Fig. 1b) shows the shape of the pores in detail and reveals the amorphous structure of the Si-matrix. With a RF power of 300 W supplied to the magnetron, an increase in pore size is observed compared with the coatings reported in the previous work [7].
Pores with diameters ranging from 2 to 60 nm can be observed for the a-pSi(300) coating. The pores exhibit similar minor diameters as the ones in previous samples [7] (average size of 9 ± 2 nm), while the major diameters are larger. The pores are easier to see on the ADF-STEM image which presents a higher contrast (Fig. 1c). This image points out that for our EELS analysis (section 3.2), we need to choose a thin region of the specimen to find isolated pores (close to the border) but not too thin so that the pores remain closed.

The proton backscattering (p-BS) spectrum of this sample is compared with that of the dense sample in Figure 2. A strong signal corresponding to He inside the coating is observed in the porous sample, corresponding to a He amount of 21 at% (see Table 2). In addition to Si, the dense sample, a-Si(150)(bias), which was deposited under Ar atmosphere with a voltage applied to the substrate, shows a small signal corresponding to the incorporation of Ar. The incorporation of Ar in samples deposited by magnetron sputtering with an applied substrate bias has been reported previously by other authors [31]; nevertheless, this incorporation does not result in the introduction of porosity (details concerning the microstructure of this dense coating are provided in reference 7).

The introduction of porosity leads to a decrease of both the refractive index and extinction coefficient. Table 3 summarizes the values of the refractive indices and damping constants of both coatings at 605 nm. Using the effective medium approximations [32], one can express the relative electrical permittivity of the porous coating, a heterogeneous media ($\varepsilon_{r,eff}$), as a function of the dielectric constants of the continuous matrix ($\varepsilon_{r,c}$) and dispersed phase ($\varepsilon_{r,d}$) and the volume fraction ($\phi$) occupied by the pores (eq. 4, ref.32):

$$\varepsilon_{r,eff} = \frac{\varepsilon_{r,c} (1 - \frac{3\phi}\phi (\varepsilon_{r,c} - \varepsilon_{r,d}) \varepsilon_{r,d})}{1 - \frac{2\phi (\varepsilon_{r,c} - \varepsilon_{r,d}) (\varepsilon_{r,c} + \varepsilon_{r,d})}{\phi (\varepsilon_{r,c} - \varepsilon_{r,d})}}$$  (equation 4)

We calculated the dielectric constant, $\varepsilon = n^2 - k^2$, using the values of $n$ and $k$ measured by ellipsometry at 605 nm, as listed in Table 3 and derived a porosity of 22 % for the porous coating a-pSi(300) assuming that the dielectric constant of the matrix is similar to that of a-Si(150)(bias) and setting $\varepsilon_{r,d}$ for the pores to 1 [33].

3.2 Extraction of the He EELS signal and evaluation of physical parameters (density, pressure)

In Figure 1, it is possible to observe some isolated pores in which the spatially resolved EELS analysis could be performed. Figure 3a presents the ADF-STEM signal acquired in parallel with the spectrum image, which encloses an isolated pore. Therefore, an EELS spectrum corresponds to each one of the 1 x 1 nm² pixels in the image. In the ADF signal, the matrix with higher atomic
number and scattering cross section appears bright, and the pores appear dark. In Figure 3b, three EELS spectra corresponding to three selected pixels at the center, the border and outside of the pore are presented with a vertical shift for comparison purposes.

For the selected pixel (16,10), which corresponds to a zone outside the pore, the low loss spectrum contains a peak corresponding to the Si plasmon at 17 eV [25]. Moving toward the pore, pixel (5,10) at the border, a small peak at approximately 22 eV begins to appear that becomes stronger at the center of the pore, pixel (6,13). This superimposed peak corresponds to the He K edge [25], confirming the presence of He inside the pores.

To characterize the physical parameters of He in the coating, the signal of the He K-edge at each position was extracted from the EELS spectra following the procedure described in detail in section 2.3 (see also the MATLAB program in the supporting information). To illustrate the method, fits of the background signal for the matrix position outside (16,10) and inside (6,13) the pore are presented in Figures 3c and 3d. This background signal includes the peak of the Si plasmon as well as another peak for the surface SiO₂ component. The figures also show the residual signal obtained by subtraction of the background and experimental spectra. Note that the He signal at approximately 22 eV is clearly visible in the residual signal inside the pore (Fig. 3d), while a flat line is observed above 16 eV for the position outside the pore (Fig. 3c). These results clearly validate our fitting procedure for the extraction of the He signal. The two additional features in the residual signal at energies of approximately 5 to 10 eV (Fig. 3c) and 10 to 15 eV (Fig. 3d) can be attributed to the Si/SiO₂ interface plasmon [16,34] and the cavity plasmons [16], respectively.

Figure 4 illustrates the methods used to evaluate the He density inside the pores. Using our MATLAB routine (see supporting information) and following the procedures described above, the extracted helium signal was fit with a Gaussian function (Figure 3d), and the corresponding integrals of the He intensity (Iₜₑₑ) and energy shift (ΔEₜₑₑ) relative to the He free atom value were stored in 2D maps (see Figures 4a and 4e). As demonstrated on the elemental intensity map (Figure 4a), helium is located inside the nanopore volume. The local specimen thickness at each pixel position is indicated in Figure 4b. The pore thickness map (Figure 4c) was prepared by selecting the pixel (17,9) as a reference for the specimen thickness (tₑₑ=38.8 nm). In this case, a maximum value of 6.5 nm was observed for the pore thickness, which is comparable to the minor axis of the ellipsoidal pore (7 nm) measured on the ADF-image in Figure 3a. Using the results of the He intensity and pore thickness, it was possible to prepare the helium density map as described in Method 1 (see eq. 2) and presented in Figure 4(d). By considering only the pixels close to the pore center (to avoid surface effects) [14], the density inside the pore ranges from 25 to 54 at/nm³, and
a mean density of 36 at/nm³ was measured. The decreasing of \( n_{\text{He}} \) from the top to the bottom of the pore can be explained by He desorption under electron irradiation, as reported by David et al. [15,16] during STEM recording of the spectrum imaging data.

Following Method 2 (described in section 2.3), Figure 4e shows the local energy shift \( \Delta E \) of the He K-edge from the value of the free atoms [30]. Figures 4f and 4g present the corresponding He-density maps calculated according to eq. 3 using Methods 2(a) [15] and 2(b) [14]. By comparing Figures 4d, 4f and 4g, a good agreement between the He density obtained using Methods 1 and 2(a) is observed.

Table 4 summarizes the \( n_{\text{He}} \) values obtained in two different pores using the above mentioned methods for the characterization of the He state in porous a-Si coatings deposited by magnetron sputtering. For the two pores, a good agreement is observed for the He density using Methods 1 and 2a, which provides a good indication of the reliability of our procedure. Even if different authors agree on the linear character of \( \Delta E \) and \( n_{\text{He}} \) dependence, the values given for C vary significantly [10,12,14,15]. For our density range (approximately 20-70 at/nm³), we believe that the system is better described using Method 2a because the law given by David et al. [15] was established for a significant number of recorded data in the 20-100 at/nm³ range. The law given by Taverna et al. [14] (Method 2b) was determined using smaller He densities, 10-40 at/nm³, and thus does not completely describe our system. This idea is supported by Lucas and co-workers [13], who observed that the C value is also dependent on temperature and density.

Knowing the density of He inside the pores, the internal pressure becomes a relevant parameter to be determined. At higher atomic densities, the ideal gas law is inaccurate. Walsh [12] discussed different semi-empirical equations of states (EOSs) to calculate the He pressure inside the pores from the measured \( n_{\text{He}} \). For our density range, the Trinkaus EOS [11] is the most suitable. The maximum, minimum and mean He density values described before were used to calculate the He pressure, and the results are presented in Table 4. Using Method 1 and 2(a) for the first pore (Pore#1, presented in Figs. 3 and 4), a mean pressure of 0.3 GPa was determined, and the pressure locally reaches up to 0.8 GPa. In the second pore investigated (Pore#2), higher mean pressure values of 0.5 and 1 GPa were observed, and the pressure locally reaches up to 2 GPa. These differences in the two pores are not necessarily significant considering the large uncertainties of the He density measurements that mainly originate from the difficulty of accurately measuring the local pore thickness and from He desorption during the measurements. Nevertheless, these local measurements provide a good indication that the He pressure inside the pore is in the GPa range, which is several orders of magnitude higher than the pressure in the deposition chamber, \( p_{\text{He}} = 4.8 \)
Therefore, the obtained values should be taken as representative values of the He state in the coatings. To our knowledge, these results reveal for the first time that He can be stabilized in a condensed state inside the nano-pores of amorphous silicon coatings prepared by magnetron sputtering.

3.3 Comparison with macroscopic results

The methodology presented in the previous section is based on the direct nanoscale detection of the He signal in the amorphous silicon coatings. The use of spatially resolved EELS with nanometer-scale resolution coupled with the analysis of the data cube matrix allowed us to measure the He density inside the pores with nanometer resolution. As additional information, we propose here a strategy to determine an average value of the He density inside the pores using macroscopic measurements.

Accordingly, one must first assume a homogeneous distribution of pores in the coating and considering the porosity fraction, $\phi=22\%$, calculated using the Maxwell-Garnett equation (eq. 4) and presented in Table 3, 1 nm$^3$ of the porous coating corresponds to 0.22 nm$^3$ of the pore volume (and 0.78 nm$^3$ of the dense matrix). Considering the density of dense crystalline silicon, we can calculate a reference value of 50 Si atoms per nm$^3$ for the dense matrix. The total number of Si atoms in 1 nm$^3$ of porous silicon is therefore 0.78 x 50 = 39 Si atoms. Knowing the coating composition as measured by p-BS (79% Si and 21% He), the He amount per nm$^3$ of porous silicon coating is 10 atoms of He. From the results in the previous section, evidence is provided that all the He is inside the pores; therefore, a He concentration inside the pores of 45.5 at./nm$^3$ can be calculated. This average value calculated from macroscopic measurements is in good agreement with our mean values obtained at the nanoscale using EELS on different pores (see table 4). The macroscopic value is most likely overestimated because the density of crystalline silicon was assumed for the calculation.

In addition to that the He pressure ($P$) inside a pore of radius ($R$) can be estimated as the required value to balance the surface tension ($\gamma$) of a spherical cavity in a solid [35]:

$$P = 2\gamma/R \quad \text{(equation 5)}$$

Using the surface energy $\gamma=1.05$ J/m$^2$ for amorphous Si (given by Hara et al. in ref. 36), and considering a radius $R$ between 3 and 9 nm for the pore studied in details in our article (pores are elongated), we obtain an He pressure ranging from about 0.2 to 0.7 GPa. This approach gives comparable pressure values than our EELS measurements.
Conclusions

Spatially resolved EELS was used here for the first time on a-Si coating with closed porosity formed during deposition by magnetron sputtering, demonstrating the helium trapping inside the pores. A good compromise between the adequate deposition conditions and proper TEM sample preparation (thin enough for analysis without pores overlapping but not too thin to have individual closed pores in the field of view) is relevant for the use of the methodology present in this work.

The MATLAB program developed to extract the He signal proved to be a valuable procedure, and the results of the integrated He-K peak intensity and energy shift were used to calculate the He density, \( n_{\text{He}} \), inside the pores in the form of 2D maps. This program is provided in the supporting information section of this article. A good agreement was observed between the results of Method 1 and Method 2a, demonstrating the reliability of the analysis. The He pressure inside the pores was calculated, being very high in the GPa range, while the He working gas pressure during deposition was only a few Pa. A review describing experiments and calculations for density and pressures of helium bubbles stabilized in implanted metals can be found in Ref. 35. The reported data are consistent to the ones reported here in the coating grown by magnetron sputtering.

A strategy is proposed to provide a rough estimate of the He density in the pores from the bulk proton backscattering measurements coupled to porosity estimations from ellipsometry. The results are on the same order of magnitude as those calculated from spatially resolved EELS measurements.

This study provides new insights on the trapping of gas inside closed porosity formed by magnetron sputtering deposition. Amorphous silicon coatings can be prepared containing a high density of homogeneously distributed pores with He storage capacities as high as 21 at % at room temperature using a widely used methodology such as magnetron sputtering. In our previous work [7], we also described other experimental deposition parameters that could be used to obtain more than 30 at % He. Our work newly demonstrates that He is located inside the nano-pores in a condensed state for coatings prepared by magnetron sputtering. Several applications can be envisaged considering that magnetron sputtering is also one of the most common methods in the vacuum-based deposition coating industry. The tuning of the refraction index was already mentioned as fundamental for optical application devices. The electron excitation of liquid helium produces emission in the vacuum-UV. A possible application of the materials characterized in the present work can be as alternative sources to classical VUV light sources [17]. The extension of the
method to other materials is currently under investigation in our laboratory.
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Figure captions
Figure 1- (a) TEM cross-sectional view of sample a-pSi(300). Insets: Deposition geometry and pore orientation schemes. (b) Details of high resolution showing pores shapes. (c) ADF-STEM image of the Si coatings showing the pores in dark.
Figure 2- Proton backscattering spectroscopy of (a) porous sample a-pSi(300) and (b) dense sample a-Si(150)(bias)
Figure 3- (a) STEM-ADF image recorded in the vicinity of one isolated pore. (b) Low loss EELS spectra plotted for the various (x,y) positions indicated in (a) (at the center, at the border and outside the pore). (c) and (d) Fitting analysis of the EELS spectra recorded outside and inside the pore. The green line corresponds to the fit of the main background signal (the dashed lines are the Si and SiO₂ fitted components). The blue curve represents the residual signal containing the He K-edge at approximately 22 eV (only visible inside the pore). The fit of the He K-edge using a Gaussian function is plotted in red (see also details in the inset in (d)).
Figure 4- (a) Helium elemental map (He-K edge integrated intensity Iₜₑₑ map). (b) Specimen thickness map. (c) Pore thickness map. The pixel (17,9), close to the center of the spectrum image was selected as a reference for the specimen thickness (tₑₑ=38.8 nm). (d) He density map nₜₑₑ
obtained using Method 1. (e) Energy shift map of the He-K edge, $\Delta E_{\text{He}}$, relative to the free atom energy (21.218 eV). (f) He density map $n_{\text{He}}$ obtained using Method 2a. (g) He density map $n_{\text{He}}$ obtained using Method 2b. To improve the clarity, the pixels outside the pore (of low He-K intensity) have been removed in (d), (e), (f) and (g).
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