Many-body interactions and Rashba splitting of the surface state on Cu(110)
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Using high-resolution angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy, we elucidate the Rashba splitting of \( \Delta k_F = 0.003 \text{ Å}^{-1} \) near the Fermi level \( (E_F) \) in the Shockley surface state of Cu(110) at the \( Y \) point of the surface Brillouin zone. The observed energy-band dispersion exhibits a kink structure at \( \sim -20 \text{ meV} \), which is a clear indication of band renormalization caused by an electron-phonon interaction. The electron-phonon coupling parameter is found to be \( \lambda_{ep} = 0.17 \pm 0.02 \) based on the experimentally obtained real part of the self-energy. First-principle calculations yield \( \lambda_{ep} = 0.160 \) and \( \Delta k_F = 0.004 \text{ Å}^{-1} \) at \( E_F \), which are fully consistent with the experimental results. In addition, the contributions of the electron-electron and electron-phonon interactions to the linewidth of the surface state at the \( Y \) point are experimentally determined to be \( \Gamma_{ee} \sim 9 \text{ meV} \) and \( \Gamma_{ep} \sim 7 \text{ meV} \), respectively. We demonstrate that the Rashba splitting must be resolved by photoemission line-shape analysis for an accurate determination of the electron self-energy and coupling parameters.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Shockley-type surface states on metal surfaces have been widely used as a testing system to study various interactions [1–3], such as the electron-electron interaction (EEI) [4], the electron-phonon interaction (EPI) [5], and the electron-defect interaction (EDI) [6]. Many-body interactions in solids influence a variety of physical properties, ranging from electrical transport to superconductivity [7]. In particular, these interactions cause the renormalization of excited quasiparticles, which manifests itself via phenomena such as a reduced lifetime [3,4] and kinks in quasiparticle dispersion [5,8], and play an important role in catalysis and photochemistry [9,10].

High-resolution angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) is a powerful tool for studying many-body interactions on solid surfaces [5,8,11]. From the quantitative line-shape analysis of ARPES spectra, one can experimentally evaluate both the imaginary part of the self-energy \( (\text{Im } \Sigma) \), which is related to the lifetime broadening, and the real part of the self-energy \( (\text{Re } \Sigma) \), which represents an energy shift from the noninteracting band [5,8,11]. However, it is still challenging to experimentally determine the self-energy because an accurate measurement requires high energy and momentum resolutions.

The total linewidth \( \Gamma_{tot} \) of an electronic state contains contributions from the EEI \( (\Gamma_{ee}) \), EPI \( (\Gamma_{ep}) \), and EDI \( (\Gamma_{ed}) \). However, because of the broken translational symmetry at the surface, spin-orbit coupling (SOC) induces spin splitting of the surface states (the Rashba effect [Ref. [12]]). When the spin splitting of an electronic state caused by the Rashba effect (Rashba splitting) is comparable to the linewidth broadening due to the EDI, it is not possible to evaluate contributions from the EEI and EPI except for the high-symmetry points where no Rashba splitting exists. Therefore, it is crucial to determine the magnitude of the Rashba splitting for spectroscopic studies of many-body interactions.

The Rashba effect has been extensively studied in surface states that are mostly centered at the \( T \) point of the surface Brillouin zone (SBZ) in many heavy elements, such as Au(111) [2,13,14], Ag/Au(111) [15], and low-index surfaces of Bi [16,17], as well as in the surface alloys Sb/Ag(111) [18], Bi/Ag(111) [19,20], and Bi/Cu(111) [21]. Because SOC is weaker in elements with a smaller nuclear charge, it has been difficult to detect the Rashba splitting in light elements. Just recently the splitting has been reported for the Cu(111) surface [22].

On the (110) surfaces of Cu, Ag, and Au, there exist Shockley-type surface states at the \( Y \) point of the SBZ [see Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)]. However, the band renormalization in the surface states at the \( Y \) point remains unexplored. While first-principle electronic-structure calculations predict the existence of Rashba-type spin splitting in the surface state of Au(110) [24,25], the splitting on the (110) surfaces of noble metals has not yet been observed experimentally even for heavy metals such as Au(110) [25].

In this study, we perform a comprehensive experimental and theoretical investigation of the many-body interactions and Rashba splitting of an occupied surface state of Cu(110). The electron self-energy derived from the EPI and the contributions of the EEI, EPI, and EDI to the linewidth are experimentally determined via a line-shape analysis of ARPES data at low temperature. We calculated the magnitudes of the EPI interactions and Rashba splitting of the surface state on Cu(110).
II. EXPERIMENTAL

High-resolution ARPES experiments were performed on the BL-9A beamline of a compact electron-storage ring (HI-SOR) at Hiroshima University [26]. The data were obtained using the angular mode of a hemispherical electron-energy analyzer (R4000, VG-Scienta, Sweden). The total energy resolution was set to $\Delta E = 5$ meV for a photon energy of $h\nu = 9$ eV. Tunable synchrotron radiation allowed us to optimize the incident photon energy. The angular resolution was $\Delta \theta = 0.1^\circ$, which provides a momentum resolution of $\Delta k_z = 0.002 \, \text{Å}^{-1}$. The sample temperature was set to 10 K during the ARPES measurements.

Single-crystalline Cu(110) (99.999%) was cleaned by repeated cycles of Ar$^+$ sputtering (1.5 kV) and annealing (420 °C) in an ultrahigh-vacuum chamber. The levels of impurities such as C, O, and S on the surface were below the detection limit of Auger electron spectroscopy. Sharp $1 \times 1$ low-energy electron-diffraction spots demonstrated long-range order and an atomically clean sample surface. The quality of the surface was confirmed by the linewidth of the energy distribution curve (EDC) at the $\bar{Y}$ point, which is sensitive to the contribution from the EDI.

III. DENSITY-FUNCTIONAL THEORY CALCULATION

Density-functional theory (DFT) calculations of the Rashba splitting for the occupied surface state were performed using the vasp code [27,28], which employs the projector-augmented wave (PAW) method [29,30] and the generalized gradient approximation (GGA). The surface was represented by 41-layer slabs, which was sufficient to avoid any artificial splitting of the surface state due to interactions between opposite surfaces of the slab.

EPI calculations were performed using density-functional perturbation theory [31] with a mixed-basis pseudopotential approach [32] and a norm-conserving pseudopotential [33] with nonlinear core corrections. The exchange-correlation energy functional was evaluated within the GGA. In this case, the surface was represented by periodically repeating 19-layer Cu(110) slabs separated by six atomic layers of vacuum.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 2(a) shows the ARPES intensity plot of the Cu(110) surface state along the $\bar{Y}$ direction, which exhibits parabolic dispersion. By fitting the momentum distribution curves (MDCs) and EDCs to a Lorentzian superimposed on a linear background, we determined the peak positions, which are plotted as open circles in Fig. 2(c). At the $\bar{Y}$ point ($k_z = 0.873 \, \text{Å}^{-1}$), the energy of the surface state was $\omega_0 = -466$ meV at 10 K, which agrees with previous studies giving $\omega_0 = -470$ meV at 140 K (Ref. [1]), and $\omega_0 = -450$ meV at room temperature (Ref. [34]). However, previous study estimated $\omega_0$ at 0 K as $\omega_0 = -510$ meV based on the linear fit to the temperature dependence of $\omega_0$ from 630 K down to 140 K [1]. Present study indicates that the temperature dependence is much smaller below 140 K because $\omega_0$ at 10 K and at 140 K is almost identical.

By fitting the observed EDC at the $\bar{Y}$ point to a Lorentzian [Fig. 2(b)], the total width was determined to be $\Gamma_{tot} = 26$ meV, which includes three independent contributions: $\Gamma_{tot} = \Gamma_{ep} + \Gamma_{ee} + \Gamma_{ed}$. The total lifetime broadening is ~50% of the previously reported value [$\Gamma_{tot} = 48 \pm 6$ meV at 140 K (Ref. [1])]; this difference is primarily attributable to the reduced thermal broadening and the smaller contribution from $\Gamma_{ed}$ in this study.

The calculations performed using the vasp code yielded a surface band energy of $-540$ meV at the $\bar{Y}$ point, which is slightly lower than the experimental result. For a convenient comparison of the band dispersions, we shifted the theoretical dispersions in Fig. 2(c) upward to coincide with the experimental ones at the $\bar{Y}$ point. Far from the $\bar{Y}$ point, the surface-state dispersion splits into two branches: an inner branch $\omega_{in}(k)$ and an outer branch $\omega_{out}(k)$. Near the $\bar{Y}$ point ($|k - k_{\bar{Y}}| < 0.06 \, \text{Å}^{-1}$), this dispersion can be fitted using the Rashba model:

$$\omega_{in(out)}(k) = \omega_0 + \frac{\hbar^2(k - k_{\bar{Y}})^2}{2m^*} \pm \alpha_R(k - k_{\bar{Y}}),$$

(1)
where \( \alpha_R = \frac{\hbar^2 k_R}{m^*} = 0.12 \text{ eV Å} \) is the Rashba parameter, \( k_R = 0.003 \text{ Å}^{-1}, m^* = 0.19 m_e \) (\( m_e \): electron mass), and \( k_\pi \) represents the wave number for the \( \bar{Y} \) point. Near the Fermi level \( (E_F) \), Eq. (1) does not give a good approximation of the dispersion because of its nonparabolic character. While the wave-number splitting of the surface state at the \( \bar{Y} \) point is \( \Delta k_\pi = 2k_R = 0.006 \text{ Å}^{-1} \), this value decreases as \( |k - k_\pi| \) increases and is only \( \Delta k_F = 0.004 \text{ Å}^{-1} \) at the Fermi wave number \( k_F = |k - k_\pi| \approx 0.17 \text{ Å}^{-1} \). Note that the measured Fermi wave number along the \( \Gamma \bar{Y} \) direction was evaluated to be \( k_{\text{F}, \bar{Y}} = |k - k_\pi| \approx 0.18 \text{ Å}^{-1} \) [Fig. 1(b)] consistent with our calculation. Based on the measured Fermi surface, the carrier density of the surface state for each spin direction is calculated to be \( n = k_{\text{F}, \bar{Y}} k_{\pi, \bar{Y}} / 4\pi = 3.2 \times 10^{13} \text{ electrons/cm}^2 \), which is \( \sim 86\% \) of the corresponding carrier density for Cu(111) (Ref. [2]). The difference appears to be reasonable because the \( L \) gap of the bulk Fermi surface projected on the (110) SBZ is compressed by \( \sim 82\% \) along the \( \Gamma \bar{Y} \) direction compared with that projected on the (111) SBZ.

In the mixed-basis pseudopotential calculation, we obtained a surface-state energy of \( -465 \text{ meV} \) at the \( \bar{Y} \) point in perfect agreement with the ARPES data \( (-466 \text{ meV}) \). The calculated electron-phonon coupling parameter \( \lambda_{\text{ep}} \) is 0.155 at the \( \bar{Y} \) point is smaller than both the previously reported experimental value of \( \lambda = 0.23 \pm 0.02 \) (Ref. [1]) and the value found in previous model calculations, namely, \( \lambda = 0.24 \) (Refs. [35,36]). Furthermore, the calculated electron-phonon contribution to the linewidth \( \Gamma_{\text{ep}} = 7.7 \text{ meV} \) at \( T = 0 \text{ K} \) is slightly smaller than the contribution predicted by the model calculations, \( \Gamma_{\text{ep}} = 9.6 \text{ meV} \) (Ref. [36]). At \( E_F \), the present calculation gives the electron-phonon coupling parameter of \( \lambda_{\text{ep}} = 0.160 \), which is close to the value at the \( \bar{Y} \) point \( (\lambda_{\text{ep}} = 0.155) \).

We should note that SOC is not included in the EPI calculation. Our calculations indicate that over 90\% of \( \lambda_{\text{ep}} \) is determined by the transitions of holes from the surface state to bulk states. Because the splitting is rather small for the surface state under consideration, the rates of phonon-mediated scattering from the surface state to bulk states are nearly equal for the inner and outer branches of the surface band. Thus, the coupling parameters should be practically identical for the two split branches. The inclusion of SOC in the calculation of the electron-phonon coupling would require extremely time-consuming computations and would not allow us to use slabs of sufficient thickness.

Figure 3(b) shows a high-resolution ARPES intensity plot of the Cu(110) surface state near \( E_F \). The peak splitting is clearly discernible in the MDC [Fig. 3(a)]. We used two Voigt functions to fit the MDCs to quantitatively analyze the spectral shape. We fixed the Gaussian width, which represents the momentum resolution of \( \Delta k_\pi = 0.002 \text{ Å}^{-1} \), and we optimized Lorentzian width for these two peaks. The splitting at \( E_F \) was estimated to be \( \Delta k_F = 0.003 \text{ Å}^{-1} \), which is close to our calculated value of \( \Delta k_F = 0.004 \text{ Å}^{-1} \). Note that the Rashba splitting in Cu is one order of magnitude smaller than the corresponding splitting in the Au(111) Shockley state, \( \Delta k_F \sim 0.023 - 0.026 \text{ Å}^{-1} \) (Refs. [13,14]). The splitting is even smaller than the Rashba splitting in Cu(111), \( \Delta k_F \sim 0.006 \text{ Å}^{-1} \) (Ref. [22]).

In Fig. 3(a), the Lorentzian width (the full width at half maximum) of the inner (outer) -branch peak was determined to be \( \delta k = 0.0023 (0.0024) \text{ Å}^{-1} \). The mean-free path of the surface state may be evaluated by \( \Gamma = 1/\delta k \) (Ref. [37]) \( \sim 430 \text{ Å} \), that is, \( \sim 120a_c \), where \( a = 3.61 \text{ Å} \) is the lattice parameter of Cu (Ref. [38]).

For the surface states, the linewidth due to the final-state broadening is negligible [39], and the lifetime broadening derived from the EPI and EEI is \( \sim 0 \) at \( E_F \) \( (\omega = 0) \) at low temperature [7,8,40]. Therefore, the lifetime broadening at \( E_F \) at 10 K should originate mainly from the EDI, where \( \Gamma_{\text{ed}} = 2|\text{Im } \Sigma(0)| = \frac{\hbar^2}{8e \mu^*} |\omega_0 - \delta k| = 10 \text{ meV} \), which is assumed to be independent of \( \omega \) and \( k \). Based on the ARPES results, the gradient of the band dispersion was evaluated as \( \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial k} |_{\omega_0 = \hbar \nu_F} = 4.2 \times 10^5 \text{ eV Å} \), where \( \nu_F \) is the Fermi velocity. The lifetime of the surface state at \( E_F \) is, therefore, evaluated to be \( \tau = \hbar / \Gamma_{\text{ed}} \sim 6.5 \times 10^{-14} \text{ s} \) at 10 K. The mobility of the electrons in the surface states may be evaluated as \( \mu = e \nu / m^* \sim 600 \text{ cm}^2/(\text{V s}) \) at 10 K. While the mobility is larger than that for the surface state on Al(100) \( (\mu = 4.4 \text{ cm}^2/(\text{V s}) \) at 10 K.
Intensity (a.u.)

0

Y point, which is significantly smaller than the solid line). The dashed line indicates the gradient of the experimental Re obtained $\lambda_{ep}$ deconvoluted fitting curves, respectively. (b) The ARPES intensity plot of the Cu(110) surface state with the Rashba splitting for $\Phi_{\text{Cu}} \sim -20$ meV, which is consistent with the value obtained from $\lambda_{ep}$, which is almost one order larger than the value given by the DFT calculation. We also found that the electron-phonon coupling parameter for the (110) surface is close to the value obtained for the (111) surface $\lambda_{ep} = 0.16$ (Ref. [22]). In the case of the Cu(111) the model calculation gave a coupling parameter of $\lambda_{ep} = 0.16$ (Ref. [40]) in agreement with the experiment.

Figure 3(d) shows the experimentally determined energy-dependent part of the linewidth from the formula $2|\text{Im}\Sigma(\omega)|$ = $\lambda_{ed} = \frac{\hbar}{2}\delta k - \Gamma_{ed}$. Excellent agreement is observed again between the theoretical $2|\text{Im}\Sigma(\omega)|$ and the experimental $2|\text{Im}\Sigma(\omega)|$ values, which is a clear indication of the negligible contribution from the EEI in this energy range. The measured broadening due to the EEI at 10 K is $\Gamma_{ee} \sim 7$ meV for an energy range of $k_{\|}\Theta_{D} \sim 27$ meV $< |\omega| < 100$ meV, consistent with the value obtained from our calculation: $\Gamma_{ee} = 7.7$ meV. Thus, the lifetime broadening due to the EEI should be $\Gamma_{ee} = \Gamma_{ion} - \Gamma_{ed} \sim 9$ meV at the $\Upsilon$ point, which is significantly smaller than the broadening predicted by model calculations, $\Gamma_{ee} = 21$ meV (Ref. [43]). We note that an accurate experimental evaluation of the lifetime broadening contributes to the critical assessment and fundamental development of many-body theory.

Finally, we emphasize the importance of including Rashba splitting in line-shape analysis to quantitatively evaluate the electron-phonon coupling parameter and electron self-energy. To demonstrate this point, we present ARPES results from a Cu(1110) surface with a higher defect concentration in Figs. 4(a)–4(d). The Rashba splitting cannot be resolved because of the large contribution from $\Gamma_{\text{ion}}$ [Figs. 4(a) and 4(b)]. The EDC width at the $\Upsilon$ point is as large as $\Gamma_{\text{ion}} = 34$ meV. In Fig. 4(a), the MDC width at $E_F$ represented by the Lorentzian width is $\delta k = 0.022 \AA^{-1}$, which is almost one order
of magnitude larger than the results presented in Fig. 3(a). The experimentally determined Re $\Sigma_{ep}(\omega)$ peak is located at $\sim -30$ meV [Fig. 4(c)], whereas the theoretical one is located at $\sim -20$ meV. The electron-phonon coupling parameter was determined to be $\lambda = 0.22$ using the gradient of the experimental Re $\Sigma_{ep}(\omega)$ in Fig. 4(c), which is sufficiently larger than the theoretical value $\lambda = 0.160$ and the experimental result $\lambda = 0.17$ with the Rashba splitting taken correctly into account. We consider that these deviations in the real part of the self-energy most likely arise from the energy dependence of the relative intensities and/or linewidths of the Rashba-split bands [42]. The experimental $2|\text{Im } \Sigma(\omega)| - \Gamma_{ed}$ neglecting the Rashba splitting is about two times larger than the theoretical one in Fig. 4(d), yielding inaccurate information on the lifetime broadening of quasiparticles.

V. CONCLUSION

We have performed a comprehensive experimental and theoretical study on the electron self-energy due to the EPI and Rashba splitting in the Shockley surface state of Cu(110). The observed Rashba-type spin splitting near $E_F$ is $\Delta k_F = 0.003 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ in agreement with the theoretical calculation of $\Delta k_F = 0.004 \text{ Å}^{-1}$. The magnitude of this splitting is one-half of the value $\Delta k_F \sim 0.006 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ obtained for the Cu(111) surface. A kink structure associated with the EPI exists in the energy-band dispersion at $\sim -20$ meV. The values of the electron-phonon coupling parameter derived from ARPES ($\lambda_{ep} = 0.17 \pm 0.02$) and DFT calculations ($\lambda_{ep} = 0.160$) coincide well. The contributions of the EEI and EPI to the linewidth of the surface state at the $\overline{Y}$ point were experimentally determined to be $\Gamma_{ee} \sim 9$ meV and $\Gamma_{ep} \sim 7$ meV, respectively. We have demonstrated the importance of resolving the Rashba splitting of surface states in ARPES line-shape analysis, even for light elements with weak SOC. These results improve our quantitative understanding of the magnitudes of many-body interactions and Rashba splitting at a surface, which provides a firm basis for advanced surface science. At present, it is difficult to directly resolve the spin direction of the surface state on Cu(110). However, we believe it would be possible in the future based on the rapid progress of the spin-resolved ARPES technique [44].
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